

Mechanical thrombectomy for acute ischaemic stroke: an implementation guide for the UK

Edited by

Professors Gary Ford, Martin James and Phil White

April 2019





Mechanical thrombectomy for acute ischaemic stroke: an implementation guide for the UK

Version	I
First published	April 2019
Prepared by	Oxford Academic Health Science Network
Editorial support	Jemma Lough, Independent Medical Writer and Editor
Funding	Medtronic provided an unrestricted educational grant for the production of this guide.

Foreword

Juliet Bouverie Chief Executive, Stroke Association

Mechanical thrombectomy can have an extraordinary impact on an individual. Take Ronnie, for example, a very fit young man with a young family. Last Christmas Eve, he was working out in a gym when he collapsed on the running machine. His left side was paralysed. Ronnie was taken to hospital in Lincoln, where scans confirmed he'd had a stroke due to blockage of a large blood vessel in the brain. He was thrombolysed, but his condition remained severe. He was then taken to Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, where he had a thrombectomy.

Ronnie told me recently:

"I feel incredibly lucky. I know how close I came to living very differently, I just couldn't move, I was in a wheelchair. I can remember how frightened I was at the thought that I wouldn't walk or run again, or that I wouldn't be able to pick up and cuddle my kids. It's a vivid memory that haunts me, even today...Thrombectomy gave me my life back."

Ronnie was keen for me to share his experience as testimony to what a powerful positive intervention thrombectomy can be. It is also one of the most cost-effective treatments in the NHS and could be a catalyst for better care across the stroke pathway. This 'how-to' guide sets out those opportunities and explores how they might be put into practice.

There is no hiding from the fact that there are significant challenges to delivering thrombectomy in many parts of the UK. We do not have enough trained specialists; services in many areas are not configured in the most appropriate or efficient way; and availability of equipment is also an issue. We also know that some places aren't even delivering the expected levels of routine interventions like scans and thrombolysis, never mind something as specialised as thrombectomy. Even if we overcome these obstacles, only relatively few people – around 12% of stroke admissions – will ever be eligible.

To all of that my answer is quite simple: the gains are worth the challenges.

The Stroke Association wants as many people who are eligible as possible to receive thrombectomy, and we are not alone in that ambition, as NICE, the Royal College of Physicians and all four of the UK governments have expressed their support for that goal. I imagine everyone reading this wants to make the 'postcode lottery' of stroke care a thing of the past.

In order to deliver thrombectomy services nationally, local stroke services need to be configured in the most efficient and effective way. Providing a good thrombectomy facility is only possible within properly organised acute settings that are adequately resourced to deal with the requirements of such a highly specialised service, as Chapter 9 of this guide illustrates.

Developments are already changing things for the better. The National clinical guideline for stroke now includes thrombectomy and reflects emerging evidence that thrombectomy may be effective in some cases up to 24 hours after stroke. The Stroke Association has worked with NHS England and others to renew the national focus on stroke and to prioritise the changes we all want to see. Together we have established the National Stroke Programme, which underpins NHS England's NHS Long Term Plan and will scale up models of existing quality treatment and care

But improvement is not just needed in England. There are currently no thrombectomy services in Scotland or Wales. We are working across the whole of the UK to make the case for modernising and improving access to services, including access to thrombectomy. The evidence suggests that the cost of fully implementing thrombectomy across the UK would be around £400 million, but it would save $\pounds 1.3$ billion over five years. So the benefits are not only in improved patient outcomes but also in savings for the NHS and social care system, which, especially in these resource-constrained times, has to be a serious consideration for any commissioner.

We firmly believe thrombectomy to be a game-changing intervention that could and should act as a catalyst for change and improvement across the whole pathway. I hope this how-to guide will give you the evidence and information you need to help make thrombectomy a routine option for stroke treatment for the benefit of people affected by stroke across the UK.

Contents

Foreword	3
Juliet Bouverie	
Introduction	5
Gary Ford, Martin James and Phil White	
 Evidence base for mechanical thrombectomy in acute ischaemic stroke 	7
Phil White	
2. How many stroke patients in the UK are eligible for mechanical thrombectomy? Peter McMeekin and Martin James	12
3. How many comprehensive and primary stroke centres should the UK have?	16
Michael Allen, Kerry Pearn, Martin James, Phil White and Ken Stein	
4. Organising ambulance services for effective implementation of mechanical thrombectomy	23
Chris Price and John Black	
5. Imaging for stroke thrombectomy and resource implications Alexander Mortimer	28
6. Implementation of mechanical thrombectomy: lessons from implementation of primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST segment elevation	
myocardial infarction	35
Jim McLenachan	
7. Establishing a 24/7 interventional neuroradiology service to deliver hyperacute stroke care: core elements of the project and lessons learned Sanjeev Nayak	40
8. Lessons from the implementation of intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischaemic stroke Gary Ford and Martin James	46
9. Planning and implementing major system change in acute stroke services: lessons from London and Greater Manchester Angus Ramsay, Stephen Morris and Naomi Fulop	51
10. Establishing a regional thrombectomy service	57
Don Sims	
II. Developing a business case for mechanical thrombectomy	62
Marcus Bradley and Carolyn Roper	
Trial acronyms and abbreviations	67
Contributors	70
Acknowledgements	71
References	72

Introduction

Gary Ford, Martin James and Phil White

Stroke remains a major worldwide threat to health despite substantial improvements in both prevention and treatment in recent years. In Western countries, ischaemic stroke due to large artery occlusion (LAO) predominates as a cause of disability, institutionalisation, and costs to healthcare and society.¹

Major advances in the treatment of non-communicable diseases are rare. In an era when we have grown accustomed to eking out marginal gains for patients from small advances in existing treatments, mechanical thrombectomy (MT) for acute ischaemic stroke (AIS) represents nothing less than an extraordinary leap forward. Mechanical thrombectomy was developed to address the problem that intravenous thrombolysis (IVT) is effective at opening the artery in only 10–30% patients with LAO. Early treatments with intra-arterial thrombolysis and insertion of permanent stents and clot extraction devices, such as the MERCI device, evolved into the stent-retriever devices used in most of the pivotal trials and, more recently, aspiration devices.

The evidence base for MT for anterior circulation LAO stroke is clear and unequivocal, with 11 randomised controlled trials published since December 2015 demonstrating substantial benefits over best medical therapy. The compelling evidence for MT in patients presenting early after stroke has recently been joined by high-quality evidence for benefit in carefully selected, late-presenting patients. There is palpable excitement in the stroke world at these new opportunities to spare many people from major disability, with MT offering a dramatic change in the management of the largest cause of adult disability and the third biggest killer in the UK.²

Mechanical thrombectomy is the archetype of a 'disruptive innovation', particularly within the unique circumstances of the UK NHS, where service development has been restrained while definitive evidence is awaited. Such a step-change in treatment for many people with major disabling stroke requires considerable infrastructure to deliver and is sure to involve further centralisation of services for hyperacute stroke. In the UK, such centralisations, prior to the advent of MT, have been limited to a small number of mainly metropolitan areas, with others proving protracted or even stalled.

So the challenge is implementation, not more research. The promise of this new era in therapeutics demands the most rapid implementation achievable – 'we must do as much of it as we can as soon as we can'. Yet we are acutely aware of some of the challenges this is already presenting – in workforce, imaging, redistribution of services and reconfigurations and in how best to serve rural and remote populations. The danger is that such complexity contributes to inertia, and the NHS does not have a great track record for the rapid uptake of disruptive innovations.

The current situation with implementation places the UK on a par with our colleagues in Eastern Europe and the Balkans (Figure 1).³ In 2017–18, 781 MT procedures were recorded in England, Wales and Northern Ireland in the national stroke audit SSNAP (approximately 1% of all ischaemic strokes).

Figure 1. Map showing the proportion of patients with ischaemic stroke receiving mechanical thrombectomy across Europe.³ Reprinted by permission of SAGE Publications, Ltd.



For England, the NHS Long Term Plan describes the objective of a 10-fold increase in this figure by 2022. Such ambition is commendable, but it needs to be matched by the sort of resources and leadership that have proved necessary to implement similar innovations in the recent past. Meanwhile, as we write, other parts of the UK are virtually devoid of a MT service.

The purpose of this implementation guide is therefore to accelerate the uptake of this high-value treatment by distilling the best available expertise within the UK into a single definitive volume. We have brought together the most incisive evidence and analysis, practical experience from early adopters, and lessons learned from earlier cardiology and stroke service reorganisations related to delivering primary percutaneous coronary intervention and IVT a decade ago. This guide is meant to be pragmatic, practical and of immediate relevance in the UK.

Mechanical thrombectomy for AIS represents a once-in-a-generation opportunity to alter the miserable prognosis for the most devastating form of stroke, with substantial benefits for individuals and for wider health and social care. On this occasion, the NHS's habitual, inertia-laden incremental approach to medical progress won't do. An opportunity such as this calls for visionary and concerted effort to deliver this treatment from all agencies and disciplines involved in stroke care, and we trust that this implementation guide will make a useful and enduring contribution to that effort.

Note on nomenclature in this guide

We have adopted certain terms and abbreviations consistently throughout this guide because they are both the most commonly used and/or the most descriptive. For instance, we use 'large artery occlusion' (LAO) throughout rather than the term 'large vessel occlusion' favoured by other authorities. We use the term 'mechanical thrombectomy' (MT), as mechanical differentiates the proven technologies for endovascular stroke treatment from other techniques such as intra-arterial thrombolysis. In describing hyperacute stroke units, in the absence of a recognised nomenclature in the UK, we have adopted the internationally used terminology of 'comprehensive stroke centres' (CSCs, those capable of delivering both thrombolysis and thrombectomy) and 'primary stroke centres' (PSCs, those capable of only thrombolysis, with onward transfer to a CSC for MT). Trial acronyms and abbreviations are defined in a section at the end of the guide.

I. Evidence base for mechanical thrombectomy in acute ischaemic stroke

Phil White

Key points

- MT for anterior circulation stroke due to proven proximal large artery occlusion within 6 hours of onset is safe and highly effective and sets the new standard of care.
- Overall good functional outcome rate at 90 days is about 20% greater with MT than best medical therapy alone, with about half of patients achieving good outcomes after MT.
- Favourable outcome from MT in most patients is strongly time dependent ('time is brain'); best results are achieved with limited early ischaemic brain injury; if good re-canalisation is achieved within 4.5 hours, the absolute rate of good functional outcome exceeds 60%.
- In carefully selected patients, MT between 6 and 24 hours (using advanced brain imaging techniques and applying trial selection criteria) is also highly effective and safe.
- MT is highly likely to be cost-effective (at conventional willingness-to-pay thresholds) or even cost dominant over a lifetime analysis (minimum 20 years).
- The cost of implementing MT across the UK would be around £400 million but would give net savings of £1.3 billion over five years.

Clinical safety and efficacy of mechanical

thrombectomy

Since January 2015, 11 positive RCTs of MT in the anterior circulation have been published (Table 1),⁴⁻¹⁴ leading to a revolution in the care of patients with AIS due to LAO. Of the accompanying raft of meta-analyses and systematic reviews, only the largest meta-analysis using individual patient record data and the latest systematic review incorporating trial sequential analysis will be discussed further.^{15, 16} Trials reporting on MT without a non-MT control arm^{17, 18} and trials on early generation devices (such as the MERCI device) without imaging-confirmed LAO prior to enrolment¹⁹⁻²¹ are not considered further as they do not inform current practice.

Efficacy of MT within 6 hours of stroke onset

For trials after MR CLEAN,⁴ which predominantly or exclusively enrolled patients within the licensed IVT time window (up to 4.5 hours) and used only modern devices (see Figure 2) (EXTEND-IA,¹⁰ SWIFT-PRIME,⁹ THRACE,⁶ PISTE,⁷ and EASI⁸), there is remarkable consistency in the good outcomes for best medical therapy, with rates of functional independence (mRS 0–2) between 35% and 42%. MR CLEAN⁴ is an outlier at 19% functional independence obtained with best medical therapy, probably due to the higher rate of ICA occlusions (more than one-third) compared with other trials and a protocol to potentially wait to see if IVT worked.

The range of good functional outcomes in MT arms is wider (33–71%), which most likely reflects the impact of additional advanced brain imaging selection criteria used

Table I. Effect of MT compared with best medical therapy of	n good
functional outcome (mRs ≤2* at 90 days).	

Trial	Patients (%, n/N)		Absolute	
	МТ	Best medical therapy	benefit of MT (%)	(95% CI) ITT]†
MR CLEAN⁴	33 (76/233)	19 (51/267)	14	2.2 (1.4 – 3.4)
EXTEND IA10	71 (25/35)	40 (14/35)	31	3.8 (1.4-10.2)
SWIFT-PRIME ⁹	60 (59/98)	35 (33/93)	25	2.8 (1.5-4.9)
ESCAPE	53 (87/164)	29 (43/147)	24	3.1 (2.0-4.7)
REVASCAT⁵	44 (45/103)	28 (29/103)	16	2.1 (1.1-4.0)
THRACE ⁶	53 (106/200)	42 (85/202)	П	1.6 (1.1-2.3)
*THERAPY ¹² ‡	38 (19/50)	30 (14/46)	8	I.4 (0.6-3.3)
PISTE ⁷	52 (17/33)	38 (12/32)	14	2.1 (0.7-6.9)
EASI ⁸	50 (20/40)	38 (14/37)	12	Not available
DAWN ¹³	49 (52/107)	13 (13/99)	36	Not available
DEFUSE-3 ¹⁴	45 (41/92)	17 (15/90)	28	2.7 (1.6-4.5)

*Corresponds to slight or no residual disability as a result of the stroke.

†Where given, a trial's own calculated OR is presented rather than that derived from a systematic review. ‡A substantial proportion of patients in THERAPY (30/55) were not treated with second-generation (modern) devices. in some trials that selected patients with a greater volume of salvageable penumbral tissue (such as EXTEND-IA, ¹⁰ SWIFT-PRIME,⁹ ESCAPE, ¹¹ DAWN¹³ and DEFUSE- 3^{14}).

In the five modern device trials without such advanced brain imaging selection,⁴⁻⁸ the absolute benefit range for MT is more modest but consistent at 11–16%. All trials used vascular imaging to confirm LAO. Considerable consistency of adjusted odds ratios is seen across trials, most being in the range 2.1–3.1 (see Table 1). The efficacy of MT is unmatched by any previous therapy in stroke medicine,²² with an NNT of less than 3 for an improved functional outcome (1-point improvement in 90-day mRS).¹⁵

There is now overwhelming level IA evidence that modern-device MT achieves significantly higher re-canalisation rates than alteplase for LAO stroke⁶ and better clinical outcomes, with an 11–36% absolute increase in patients recovering from AIS to be independent in activities of daily living. Mechanical thrombectomy is associated with a similar risk of sICH to IVT and most trials show no statistical difference in mortality (though generally trend to lower).^{15, 16, 23}

To date, no convincing evidence has shown that one MT device class is superior to another. Two recent randomised trials and a systematic review have shown no statistically significant difference in functional outcome between aspiration and stent retriever-based MT.²⁴⁻²⁶

Figure 2. (a) Stent retriever with extracted clot, and (b) large bore distal aspiration catheter with aspirated clot in acute stroke MT cases using these modern (second-generation) devices.





Efficacy of MT beyond 6 hours from onset

The REVASCAT trial used advanced brain imaging in patients recruited 4.5–8 hours after stroke onset,⁵ and ESCAPE used ASPECTS/collateral score selection up to 12 hours after onset.¹¹ Both trials found no statistical difference in outcomes following MT between those randomised early or late within the trials, suggesting that patient benefit remains out to 8–12 hours when these imaging selection techniques are used.

Two RCTs that subsequently focussed on intervention up to 24 hours after stroke onset/patient LKW - DAWN (6-24 hours)¹³ and DEFUSE-3 (6-16 hours)¹⁴ confirmed that MT remains highly effective and far superior to medical therapy alone in highly selected patients (see Table 1). Both trials used perfusion-based imaging selection based on RAPID[™] (iSchemaView, California, USA) software processing of CTP or MRI data. In DAWN, only more proximal occlusions were enrolled (ICA and/or proximal MI segment), and selection was on the basis of high NIHSS relative to small infarct core, as calculated by RAPID.¹⁰ DEFUSE-3 was slightly less restrictive in its inclusion criteria, allowing moderate-sized core infarcts as long as there was sufficient mismatch, as calculated by RAPID.¹⁴ Overall, combining the two trials, no statistically significant difference between endovascular and control arms was found in mortality (15% for MT versus 22% for controls) or sICH (6% for MT versus 4% for controls). The Cincinatti group assessed DAWN/DEFUSE-3 eligibility among their population of 2,297 ischaemic strokes over a one-year period: 34 met the DAWN trial eligibility criteria and a further 19 were DEFUSE-3 eligible - total eligibility rate of 1.9%.²⁷ This extremely low eligibility rate on current evidence does not suggest large MT volumes will arise from this population (the 12-24-hour subgroup was estimated to comprise just 6% of the LAO stroke patients with NIHSS >6 in the UK).^{27, 28}

How generalisable and robust and is the evidence?

The benefits of MT have been demonstrated in a wide range of healthcare systems – the trials listed in Table I recruited from nine countries across Western Europe (including the UK in PISTE and ESCAPE), USA, Canada, Korea (ESCAPE), Australia and New Zealand (EXTEND-IA).⁴⁻¹⁴

After MR CLEAN first reported in October 2014,⁴ most MT trials^{5-7, 9-12} stopped early. This effect might introduce bias, including an overestimation of the treatment effect. However, in most cases, these trials stopped early only after accumulating data showed that a predefined efficacy stopping point (favouring MT) had been reached.^{5, 6, 9-11} Only two trials were stopped due to evidence removing the clinical equipoise necessary to continue randomising.^{7, 12} As regards more delayed MT (>6 hours), individual trials were relatively small and used different selection criteria, so although the evidence for late MT is still classed as level 1, it is not as strong as that for MT up to 6 hours.

In addition to the reproducible consistent treatment effect seen in the early trials of MT, sequential trial analysis shows no change in the odds ratio for treatment benefit with inclusion of later trials (see Table I and Figure 3 for trials^{4-7, 9-12}). In contradistinction to functional independence, an updated meta-analysis (not including DAWN/DEFUSE-3) indicates that MT does not confer a mortality advantage, although there is a non-significant trend to a 20% reduction (see Figure 4). The effect of MT on mortality is more striking in the trials that used advanced brain imaging selection and selected for late intervention (beyond 6 hours) – namely ESCAPE, DAWN and DEFUSE-3.^{11, 13, 14}

Figure 3. Meta-analyses of first eight trials' data for mRS 0-2 at 90 days.^{4-7, 9-12}

Study	м	т	Standard	d care			
	Events	Total	Events	Total	OR, rand	lom, 95% Cl	
ESCAPE	87	164	43	147	2.7 (1.7 to 4.4)		
EXTEND-IA	25	35	14	35	3.8 (1.4 to 10.2)		
MR CLEAN	76	233	51	267	2.1 (1.4 to 3.1)		
PISTE	17	33	12	32	1.8 (0.7 to 4.8)	-	_
REVASCAT	45	103	29	103	2.0 (1.1 to 3.5)		
SWIFT PRIM	E 59	98	33	93	2.8 (1.5 to 4.9)		
THERAPY	19	50	14	46	1.4 (0.6 to 3.3)	-	
THRACE	106	200	85	202	1.6 (1.1 to 2.3)		
Total (95% Cl)	916		925	2.1 (1.7 to 2.5)		•
Total events	434		281		. ,		
						0.1 0.2 0.5	2 5 10

avours	standard	care	Favours

Trial sequential analysis indicates that meta-analyses are substantially underpowered to show differences for sICH. Nevertheless, no increase in sICH has been shown for MT over best medical care alone.^{15, 16}

Procedural safety of MT

A recent comprehensive narrative review by Balami *et al* summarises the whole field succinctly.²³ Mechanical thrombectomy is associated with a number of intraprocedural and postoperative complications (Table 2), which need to be minimised and effectively managed to maximise the benefits. Overall, from the recent RCTs, the risk of complications from MT with sequelae for the patient is approximately 15%. Minimising the frequency and impact of complications are life threatening, and many lead to increased length of stay in intensive care and stroke units. Others increase costs and delay the start of rehabilitation. Some may be preventable; the impact of others can be minimised with early detection and appropriate management. Both neurointerventions and stroke specialists need to be aware of the risk factors, strategies for prevention, and management of these complications. Nonetheless, procedure-related morbidity and mortality nearly all

Figure 4. Meta-analyses of all trial data for mortality at 90 days.^{4-7, 9-12}

Study	м	т	Standar	d care		
-	Events	Total	Events	Total	OR, rando	m, 95% Cl
ESCAPE	17	164	28	147	0.5 (0.3 to 0.9)	
EXTEND-IA	3	35	7	35	0.4 (0.1 to 1.6)	
MR CLEAN	49	233	59	267	0.9 (0.6 to 1.4)	-
PISTE	7	33	4	32	1.9 (0.5 to 7.2)	
REVASCAT	19	103	16	103	1.2 (0.6 to 2.6)	
SWIFT PRIM	E 9	98	12	97	0.7 (0.3 to 1.8)	
THERAPY	6	50	11	46	0.4 (0.2 to 1.3) -	
THRACE	24	202	27	206	0.9 (0.5 to 1.6)	
Total (95% CI))	918		933	0.8 (0.6 to 1.1)	
Total events	134		164			

Favours standard care Favours MT

 Table 2. Complications of MT.

Procedural complications	Other complications
Access-site problems	Anaesthetic-related complications
 Vessel/nerve injury 	 Contrast-related complications
Access-site hematoma	 Postoperative haemorrhage
Groin infection	 Extracranial haemorrhage
 Device-related complications 	Pseudoaneurysm
• Vasospasm	
 Arterial perforation and dissection 	
Device detachment/misplacement	
Symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage	
Subarachnoid haemorrhage	
• Embolisation to new or target vessel territory	

occur within 30 days and so are incorporated within the net benefit of MT on 90day clinical outcomes, which strongly favour MT.

Areas of uncertainty

Current meta-analyses include hardly any patients with posterior circulation LAO. Although the technical re-canalisation results of MT in the posterior circulation match those in the anterior in non-randomised series, the clinical benefit remains to be confirmed definitively in posterior circulation strokes, and trials are ongoing. Recently the Chinese BEST trial of basilar artery occlusion was stopped early due to excess crossovers, and information has been presented but not published, indicating there was no benefit for MT on the intention-to-treat analysis but benefit in the as-treated analysis. Similar uncertainty applies to patients with mild stroke (NIHSS <6) but confirmed LAO, those with LAO AIS and more extensive early infarct changes, and those with more distal occlusions. Latest meta-analysis data from the HERMES collaboration indicate that MT is similarly effective in **proximal** M2 MCA occlusions.²⁹

Trials are also required to investigate whether less selective brain imaging can be used to select patients undergoing MT in the later time window and, conversely, whether there is benefit from wider use of advanced brain imaging in early presenters, in the use of general *versus* local anaesthesia in a pragmatic real-world situation, and in the use of MT in patients with pre-stroke disability (mRS 2–4).³⁰

It is also critical to note that MT has been performed in all of the discussed trials by experienced neurointerventionists in centres with high volumes of neurointerventional procedures. Neither the efficacy nor safety profile of MT has been confirmed for non-expert operators or low-volume units.

Cost-effectiveness of mechanical thrombectomy

A systematic review of economic evaluations on stent-retriever MT for AIS published in 2018³¹ identified 20 possibly relevant studies. After further screening and assessment, eight original articles were included: three from the USA, one Canadian, one Swedish, one French and two from the UK (note that the PISTE data discussed above postdates this systematic review). The findings of this systematic review are supported by primary studies with substantial methodological heterogeneity but consistently found that MT is likely to be cost-effective (all eight studies) at a high probability level (79–100%) at conventional thresholds or even cost-dominant (three of eight studies).

Several studies that assessed the cost-effectiveness of MT in combination with IVT compared with IVT alone concluded that MT is potentially cost-effective³²⁻³⁹ and cost-saving.⁴⁰⁻⁴³ Two model-based cost-utility analyses from the perspective of the UK NHS have been published.^{35, 42} Based on one meta-analysis of RCTs, MT in combination with IVT compared with IVT alone was associated with an additional £7,061 per QALY gained.²⁶ In the other study, carried out by the same team but based on individual patient record data from a RCT conducted in the USA and Europe (SWIFT-PRIME), MT in combination with IVT was reported to be associated with cost savings of £33,190 per patient.⁴²

One study has estimated the budget impact of adopting and implementing MT nationally in Eire. A national endovascular treatment service would lead to incremental costs and benefits of €2,626 and 0.19 QALYs per eligible patient. The ICER was €14,016 per QALY at five years, with a probability of being cost-effective of 99% at a threshold of €45,000 per QALY gained,³⁴ and a 9% probability of the intervention being cost-saving relative to standard medical care. Based on treatment being delivered at two centres and treating 268 patients per year, the cost of implementation to provide 24/7 coverage for the 4.8 million population of Eire was estimated to be €7.2 million over five years, comprising €3.3 million in the

first year, with estimated annual running costs thereafter of ${\in}0.8$ million– ${\in}1.2$ million. 34

However, when adopting 'surgical' interventions into clinical practice, there are appreciable challenges to implementation, including investment in staff, capital equipment and service reorganisation, which will have an impact on cost-effectiveness calculations that do not consider the costs of service change and implementation.⁴⁴ For the UK, the PISTE trial team⁷ conducted an economic evaluation based on seven RCTs^{4-7, 9-11} to determine the cost-effectiveness of MT but also estimated the monetary cost and value of enhancing implementation of MT using UK clinical and cost data.³⁶

In the PISTE analysis, cost-effectiveness was expressed as ICER and incremental NMB. The NMB is a measure of the health benefit expressed in monetary terms, which incorporates the cost of the new strategy, the health gain obtained, and societal WTP for those health gains. The economic model found that MT plus IVT had a total cost of £46,684 compared with £39,035 for IVT alone. Over a lifetime horizon, the intervention group gained 7.61 QALYs compared with 5.41 in the control IVT group. This equates to an incremental cost of £7,649 and 2.21 QALYs associated with the addition of MT to standard treatment and an ICER of £3,466 per QALY. The incremental NMB was £36,484 per patient. One-way sensitivity analysis on the key parameters driving the cost-effectiveness estimate of MT in this model found that varying all key parameters had no decisive impact on the ICER, with all estimates remaining below £20,000 per QALY.

The PISTE health economics team also calculated the value of implementation as the value of perfect implementation minus the cost of implementation measured over a five-year time horizon. They estimated the maximum potential value of implementation as the NMB of achieving 100% MT implementation across the UK (51,404 patients treated by MT over five years) and then subtracted from that the cost of expanding services, equipment and staff in 29 CSCs to operate 24/7 across

the UK. The analysis included costs of staff salaries and set-up costs, such as training and equipment, many of which were not included in the Eire analysis. The value of perfect implementation is the NMB from MT (£36,484 per person) multiplied by the effective population (51,404). This implies that the expected value of perfect implementation in the UK would be £1.7 billion, set against an estimated cost of £413 million to implement, which suggests an expected value of implementation of £1.3 billion over five years. The study also estimated the 'break-even' point at which the NMB obtained from the proportion of eligible patients treated is equal to the cost of implementation, which was about 30% – or about 3,000 patients treated by MT per year.

Conclusion

Mechanical thrombectomy for anterior circulation stroke due to proven proximal LAO within 6 hours of stroke onset is safe and highly effective and sets the new standard of care. The overall rate of independent functional outcome (mRS 0-2) at 90 days is about 20% greater with MT than with best medical therapy alone (which in most cases included IVT), and about half of patients achieve very good outcomes after MT. Favourable outcomes from MT in most (but not all) patients are strongly time dependent ('time is brain'), and best results are achieved when early ischaemic brain injury is limited (for example, ASPECTS score \geq 6). If good re-canalisation is achieved within 4.5 hours, the absolute rate of good functional outcome is 61%. In carefully selected patients (using advanced brain imaging techniques and applying trial selection criteria), MT between 6 and 24 hours after stroke onset is also highly effective and safe. Furthermore, MT is highly likely to be cost-effective (at conventional WTP thresholds) or even cost-dominant over a lifetime analysis. The cost of fully implementing MT across the UK would be around £400 million but could give net savings of £1.3 billion over five years, offering unprecedented promise both to patients with potentially disabling stroke and to healthcare systems by reducing the long-term costs of care.

2. How many stroke patients in the UK are eligible for mechanical thrombectomy?

Peter McMeekin and Martin James

Key points

- MT in the UK is starting from a very low baseline of 0.9% of all strokes receiving MT.
- Based on the available evidence, about 11-12% of stroke patients admitted to hospital are eligible for MT.
- Advanced imaging has little effect on total eligibility, but its use would affect treatment decisions in about 16% of cases, ruling out and ruling in a similar number of patients.

The infrastructure demands for MT create the need for a more centralised model of hyperacute stroke care, and robust activity estimates are required for accurate planning to inform service reconfiguration. We combined results from landmark RCTs with data from registries to estimate the number of patients who would be eligible for MT in the UK in one year.²⁷ We also highlight the effect that advanced imaging techniques used in the most recent RCTs have on this estimate.

We developed a decision tree to estimate the proportion of all stroke patients eligible for MT (Figure 5), independent of geographic or infrastructure constraints. Using national registry data from the prospective SSNAP for England, Wales and Northern Ireland⁴⁵ and adjusted for Scotland using data from the SSCA,⁴⁶ we determined that 95,500 patients with stroke are admitted to hospital each year. A decision tree was constructed based on key inclusion and exclusion criteria from published trials: stroke type, severity, presence of anterior or posterior LAO, onset time, pre-stroke disability, the extent of ischaemia on CT (or MRI), re-canalisation before MT and optional advanced imaging. These criteria were applied consistently irrespective of eligibility for IVT.

Further data on the distributions for stroke severity and onset time were extracted from two large UK stroke services. The final decision tree has 12 steps (see Figure 5) and includes pathways using advanced imaging within and beyond 6 hours after stroke onset. Although basilar artery occlusions were not included in the trials, we have included them in our estimates of eligibility in early presenting patients because they are treated in practice, but we have not included those after 12 hours, as they represent a small but imprecise number.

Eligibility by stroke type, location and severity

We estimate that 41% of ischaemic strokes admitted to hospital are due to LAO (Figure 5, points A/B).^{15, 47, 48} 'Minor' strokes (NIHSS <6) are not proven to benefit

from MT and have not been included.¹⁵ Of the major RCTs, only MR CLEAN⁴ specifically enrolled patients with NIHSS <6 and failed to show statistically significant benefit from MT in the subgroup with NIHSS 2–15. We applied an NIHSS cut-off of 6, aligning with the three trials that included the largest numbers of patients in the NIHSS range 6–10. The STOP-Stroke study⁴⁷ reported that 20% of LAO strokes had NIHSS <6 (Figure 5, point C), an observation reinforced by recent UK data.⁴⁸ These proportions give an annual estimate of 26,590 patients with moderate/severe stroke and LAO in the UK.

Time of onset and eligibility

Eligible patients were defined by a known onset time of <12 hours or had stroke of unknown onset time with a LKW time within 12 hours. Two recent RCTs included patients up to 16 hours (DEFUSE-3)¹⁴ and 24 hours (DAWN)¹³ after LKW. Presentation times were derived from two large UK centres (Northumbria and Exeter): 78% of patients with NIHSS ≥6 presented within 12 hours of known onset, with the remainder divided between unknown onset with LKW within 12 hours (68.5%) and known onset time >12 hours (31.5%) (Figure 5, points D/E).

Those with known onset within 12 hours divide between 74% within 4 hours and 26% between 4 and 12 hours⁴⁵ (Figure 5, point F). After exclusions, two cohorts of patients are potentially eligible for MT: 'early presenters' presenting within 4 hours, mostly eligible for IVT within 4.5 hours, and 'late presenters', ineligible for IVT either because of onset >4 hours ago or because they had stroke of unknown time of onset but LKW within 12 hours. At this point, about 24,750 (25%) of admissions are potentially eligible for MT. It is assumed that only early presenters would be able to receive MT treatment within 6 hours of onset.¹⁵ From this point onwards, the two groups (Figure 5, points G and H) are differentially influenced by use of advanced imaging.



Figure 5. Eligible population: (A) Total UK population, including those deemed to be geographically inaccessible; (B) Confirmed infarcts, excluding about 2% of patients whose status is unconfirmed. Besides cerebral infarcts, most acute subdural haematomas would also not be entered in to SSNAP or SSCA.
(C) Includes basilar artery occlusions eligible for treatment if presenting within 12 hours. Others are assumed eligible unless they meet any subsequent exclusion. (D) Early presenters – those presenting within 4 hours. Note: Patients within the large lower grey shaded box are all dealt with by advanced imaging (10,140–11,530 patients); those who are early presenters (10,900 on the left-hand side) can bypass that step.

Clinical and radiological exclusions among the intravenous thrombolysis-eligible population

Early presenters comprise the largest group eligible for MT: 13,770 patients per year (14% of all stroke admissions). Exclusions within this group were ASPECTS⁴⁹ <6 or visible infarction of more than one-third of the MCA territory (up to 30% of patients^{15,50}) and pre-stroke mRS \geq 3 (about 9% of patients^{15,47}). Pre-stroke disability and non-contrast CT thus would exclude about 29%. We estimate that among the early-presenting, IVT-eligible population, 11% are eligible for MT before advanced imaging exclusions, equivalent to 10,900 patients per year (Figure 5, point G).

Various modes of advanced imaging (CTP, CTA-CS combined with ASPECTS, and MRI) have been proposed to identify patients with salvageable brain tissue (penumbra) at any time after onset. Data from EXTEND-IA¹⁰ and the SONIIA registry⁵¹ suggest that advanced imaging excludes 5% of early presenters with moderate/severe LAO stroke and pre-stroke mRS <3 because of a large core and small penumbra. In the early-presenting group, therefore, 500 patients would be excluded by advanced imaging, leaving a MT-eligible population of 10,360 patients before any re-canalisation (Figure 5, point I).

Clinical and radiological exclusions among late presenters

In patients who present with unknown onset but LKW within 12 hours or with known onset time between 4 and 12 hours, information about MT eligibility is significantly less robust. In patients with LAO, we estimate 5,390 moderate-to-

severe ischaemic strokes have a known time of onset of 4–12 hours and a further 4,010 would be LKW within 12 hours (1,610 between 12 and 24 hours and 2,400 after 24 hours⁴⁵). This suggests that advanced imaging might identify salvageable brain tissue in 9,400 patients, with most having pre-stroke mRS <3 (Figure 5, point H). However, a high proportion (up to 73%) of late-presenting patients are excluded by ASPECTS <6 on initial CT.^{19, 52} Pre-stroke disability would exclude another 8%⁴⁷ (203 patients), leaving only 25% (2,340 patients) of those considered for advanced imaging as eligible for MT (Figure 5, point H). Of these, 1,330 would remain definitely eligible for MT as they have a small core (<70 ml) and large penumbra⁵³ (Figure 5, point K).

Of the 1,830 patients excluded at point E in Figure 5 because they presented after 12 hours of onset, most presented within 24 hours (1,620 patients). Data from DAWN¹³ (Figure 5, point M) suggest that as few as 5% (90 patients) would be eligible for MT, giving a total of 1,420 late-presenting patients eligible for MT.

Re-canalisation prior to mechanical thrombectomy

A small proportion of patients will re-canalise spontaneously or in response to IVT before MT is performed. In the HERMES meta-analysis, this occurred in 7% of those receiving IVT,¹⁵ and spontaneous re-canalisation in patients not receiving IVT is estimated at $2\%^{54}$ (Figure 5, points J and L). Re-canalisation prior to MT thus excludes 770 patients.

Discussion

Based on the available evidence, we estimate that 10,140-11,530 patients in the UK with AIS are eligible for MT annually – that is, about 11-12% of strokes admitted to hospital. Elsewhere, Chia *et al*⁵⁵ estimated a range of 7–13% for MT eligibility among patients presenting to two of three Australian hyperacute stroke sites. The lower bound of our estimate is defined by restricting MT to early presenters (10,140 patients/year). The upper bound (11,530 patients/year) is defined by the inclusion of all early-presenting patients without the use of advanced imaging (10,140 patients/year) plus those late-presenting patients with a favourable imaging profile (1,390 patients/year). Advanced imaging might exclude about 5% (550/10,900) of early-presenting and otherwise eligible patients but would include

about 36% (1,420/3,970) of late-presenting patients. Thus, although the overall requirement (eligibility) for MT is relatively unchanged by advanced imaging, its use would affect decisions about MT treatment in about 16% (3,075/19,530) of otherwise eligible patients.

The proportion of patients appropriate for MT depends on the frequency of LAO, but previous reports vary. Recent MT trials report a rate of LAO of 48–53%.^{10, 11} Rai *et al* estimated the incidence of LAO as only 12% in a retrospective sample of nearly 3,000 secondary referrals,⁵⁶ whereas Smith *et al* identified a LAO rate of 46% in patients with confirmed stroke referred to two large academic centres in the USA, a proportion of which included the anterior and posterior cerebral arteries and M2 branches.⁴⁷ A recent prospective study in the UK identified a LAO rate of 39%.⁴⁸

The main uncertainties are in the smaller group of late-presenting patients with LAO and NIHSS >6 (Figure 5, point H), for whom high-quality data are limited, as this population is the least represented in trials. However, this group is small and so has relatively little impact on overall outcomes.

The selection of patients by advanced imaging has a relatively modest effect on the overall numbers eligible for treatment but alters the eligibility decision in 16% of cases. The impression that a relatively small proportion of early-presenting patients with LAO on CTA would be subsequently ruled out by advanced imaging (5% in our model) is corroborated by the EXTEND-IA trial.¹⁰ The results from the DAWN trial, in which advanced imaging was used to select an unknown but small proportion of late-presenting patients for MT, suggest that there is considerable further potential for benefit within this population.¹³ The magnitude of the absolute treatment effect (30% difference in an excellent outcome of mRS 0-2 with MT) suggests that the DAWN sample were overselected - an absolute benefit of 10%, akin to that seen in the MR CLEAN trial⁴ would mean that a far higher proportion of late presenters still stood to benefit from MT, albeit to a lesser extent. Benefit for this group of patients will have to await clarification in further trials, so, for the time being, we are left applying MT to a highly selected group of late presenters according to the restrictive eligibility criteria for DAWN and DEFUSE-3,¹⁴ but we must anticipate that this proportion will rise as evidence accrues.

Conclusion

Mechanical thrombectomy in the UK is starting from a very low baseline. In 2017– 18, 781 MT treatments were recorded in SSNAP in England, Wales and Northern Ireland, equivalent to 0.9% of all stroke, and with very few procedures in Scotland. The midpoint of our estimate suitable for MT (11.3% of UK stroke admissions) combined with the absolute benefits estimated in an individual patient data metaanalysis⁵⁷ suggest that MT with national coverage could achieve an additional 2,550 patients with independent functional outcomes or as many as 4,520 patients (5% all stroke admissions) with a reduced level of disability compared to IVT alone. Implicit in these estimates is the assumption that outcomes for posterior circulation MT (which are included in our estimate of the early-presenting eligible population) are the same as those for anterior circulation MT. Overall, between 10,140 and 11,530 stroke patients per year in the UK could be eligible for MT based on current level I evidence, which approximates to 11-12% of stroke admissions.

3. How many comprehensive and primary stroke centres should the UK have?

Michael Allen, Kerry Pearn, Martin James, Phil White and Ken Stein

Key points

- To maintain the recommended 600 admissions to any hyperacute stroke centre, the number of acutely admitting centres in the UK will need to be reduced. For example, the maximum number of centres in England would be about 80.
- To maintain a minimum of 150 MT procedures per unit per year, the maximum number of MT-capable CSCs would be about 40.
- A drip-and-ship system is justified in order to reduce time to first hospital and to limit admissions numbers to CSCs, but this will lead to some delay in MT for patients attending a local PSC first.
- Time to MT is reduced if patients directly attend a CSC, even if that involves travelling further than the nearest PSC. However, such decisions jeopardise the sustainability of networks by overloading CSCs and reducing admissions to many PSCs to unsustainably low levels.
- Planning for both PSCs and CSCs should be performed with the largest possible footprint; planning at STP level is likely to lead to suboptimal service organisation for patients.

The advent of MT represents a disruptive innovation in the NHS, with substantial implications for the configuration of hyperacute stroke services. These are conventionally divided between CSCs, which are both IVT- and MT-capable, and PSCs, which deliver IVT but depend on secondary transfer to a CSC for MT treatment. In the UK, the proportion of patients receiving IVT is consistently about 11%,⁵⁸ although higher rates have been achieved as a result of the reconfiguration of urban PSCs, ^{58, 59} with rates of 20% or more.⁵⁸

Broadly speaking, two main models of hyperacute stroke care have been described.^{60, 61} In a 'mothership' model, all patients with suspected stroke are taken directly to a CSC, possibly bypassing a nearer PSC. This is at the expense of greater onset-to-treatment times for IVT for some patients, but such a system removes the need for any secondary transfers by road ambulance or helicopter. In a so-called 'drip-and-ship' model (sometimes called 'treat-and-transfer'), local IVT may be delivered at PSCs before an eligible subset of patients diagnosed with LAO are transferred to the CSC. The choice between these models can depend on geography and travel times, availability of appropriately skilled staff, urban/rural split and other factors, including the maximum practical size of a CSC under a mothership configuration and the minimum recommended size of a PSC in a drip-and-ship model.

National guidelines recommend a minimum number of admissions to a PSC of 600 patients per year,⁶² with a recommendation that travel time should be 30 minutes or less and not more than 60 minutes.⁶³ There is no guidance on the maximum size of a PSC/CSC, but NHS England reconfiguration guidance

recommends a maximum of 1,500 admissions for a single team,⁶³ and the largest CSC in the UK currently has more than 2,000 admissions.⁵⁸ A unit admitting 1,500 patients with strokes/year will also admit and manage, on average, a further 500 mimics, representing around six patients per day.⁶⁴

Multisociety consensus standards for MT centres have been published,⁶⁵ with guidance on the minimum number of neurovascular procedures, including MT, to maintain individual operator skills⁶⁶ advising at least 40 procedures/operator/year. In high-volume centres in the USA (with at least 132 MT procedures/year) outcomes are significantly better than at smaller centres.⁶⁷ A robust 24/7 MT service realistically requires at least five operators, and all five could not expect to meet minimum activity levels to maintain competence if the centre volume was less than 150 MT procedures/year, equivalent to 1,500 confirmed stroke admissions/year.

Computer modelling allows the advantages and disadvantages of different configurations of hyperacute stroke care to be explored. Identifying good solutions requires multiple competing parameters to be considered – travel time needs to be minimised, while the number of admissions needs to be controlled. We have previously described detailed methods for this kind of computer modelling based on the population of England.⁶⁸⁻⁷⁰ Our findings therefore specifically relate to England, but similar principles will relate to the other UK nations, with still greater geographical challenges in some parts of Scotland prompting a greater degree of compromise over travel times and institutional size.

Total number of stroke centres

Maintaining the number of stroke centres (that is, CSCs plus PSCs) in England at a maximum of 127 centres (the current number of acutely admitting sites) reduces average travel time, increases the proportion of patients within a target of 30 minutes (to a maximum of 90%), and controls the size of the largest unit below 2,000 admissions/year (Figure 6b). However, above 85 acute sites, the proportion of patients attending a centre with \geq 600 admissions/year progressively reduces (Figure 6c). Configurations with \geq 80% of patients within 30 minutes of a centre admitting \geq 600 patients/year have between 70 and 95 centres, with varying trade-off between travel time and unit size. If configurations are further constrained by a maximum number of admissions to any single centre of 2,000/year, with at least 80% of patients within 30 minutes' travel, this narrows the potential range further to between 73 and 81 centres.

We have also examined the effect of planning footprint size on the ability to meet these travel time and admission targets.⁷⁰ In brief, the smaller the planning footprint, the poorer the performance of the system, with planning at individual STP level leading to significantly reduced ability to meet travel time and admission targets than planning over a larger area, such as NHS regions. Practically, hyperacute stroke care planning should be performed with as large a footprint as can realistically be achieved – in England, STPs should group together for planning purposes rather than seeking to plan at an individual STP level. These findings have important implications for NHS England's proposals to plan and provide hyperacute stroke care through ISDNs mapped to STP geography.

Comprehensive stroke centres

Current levels of access to existing neuroscience centres are shown in the map (Figure 7), with some populous areas of England in the East and South West not well served for emergency access. As with IVT-capable centres, there is a balance between providing optimal access to CSCs and maintaining sufficient numbers of MT procedures (\geq 150/year) to maintain centre/operator skills and achieve the best clinical outcomes. Under a pure mothership model, the maximum number of CSCs that could deliver \geq 150 procedures per year is 40 (Figure 8c). The fastest average travel time for any configuration with \geq 150 procedures/centre/year is 29 minutes,

Figure 6. The effect of changing the total number of stroke centres (PSCs plus CSCs) on (a) travel times, (b) admission numbers and (c) ability to meet travel time and admissions targets. Results show best identified solutions for each optimisation parameter (but these may not necessarily be achieved simultaneously).







Figure 7. Current access to existing neuroscience centres.

Figure 8. The effect of changing the number of CSCs, using a mothership-only model, on (a) travel times (direct to CSC), (b) admission numbers and (c) ability to meet travel time and procedure targets. Results show best identified solutions for each optimisation parameter (but these may not necessarily be achieved simultaneously).





with 62%, 85% and 95% of patients within 30, 45 and 60 minutes, respectively, of their closest CSC. Under these parameters, the largest of the 40 CSCs would admit about 3,000 patients with stroke per year (plus mimics).

Unfeasibly large admission numbers for many CSCs and excessive travel times for some patients would indicate that a pure mothership model is unsustainable. Such large admission numbers are mitigated in a drip-and-ship model. For this configuration, we took the 24 current neuroscience centres in England as a baseline CSC configuration and sequentially added PSCs from the subset of 103 remaining current sites, with the assumption that patients travel first to their closest centre of either type. We assumed a net delay to MT of 60 minutes for those patients taken first to a PSC (not the same as the 'DIDO' time, as it is partly compensated by shortened diagnostic time at the receiving CSC).

If additional PSCs are chosen to minimise the average time to arrival at the first centre, increasing the number of PSCs reduces average time to IVT but increases the average time to MT (Figure 9). With only 24 CSCs (a pure mothership model), average time to arrival for MT and IVT is 38 minutes, with 71% of patients arriving within 45 minutes' travel. If, at the other extreme, a drip-and-ship model includes all of the remaining 103 sites as PSCs, the average time to arrival for IVT is reduced from 38 to 18 minutes, but the average time to arrival for MT is substantially increased from 38 to 96 minutes.

If all stroke centres have a minimum of 600 admissions/year, the maximum number of additional PSCs would be 58 (82 centres in total), and all centres would admit between 600 and 1,810 strokes/year. In an 82-centre configuration, average time to arrival for IVT falls from 38 minutes (with 24 CSCs only) to 22 minutes, with 80%, 94% and 98% of patients arriving within 30, 45 and 60 minutes, respectively. However, the average time to MT is increased from 38 to 89 minutes.

Ambulance bias

In a mixed configuration of PSCs and CSCs, ambulance clinicians may exhibit a preference to convey suspected stroke cases directly to the CSC, even if a PSC is closer – a phenomenon observed anecdotally, which we have called 'ambulance bias'. For example, a 15-minute ambulance bias would mean that a paramedic crew would prefer to convey a patient directly to a more distant CSC if it involved no

Figure 9. Travel times in a dripand-ship model, in which all patients first attend their closest centre, with onward travel to a CSC for MT if necessary. The base case has 24 CSCs (located in the 24 current neuroscience centres), with the chart showing the effect of adding PSCs selected from the remaining 103 stroke centres to minimise average travel time to the first hospital. Onward travel for MT includes the transfer travel time and a net 60-minute delay at the PSC.



more than 15 minutes of extra travel time in the hope of expediting MT for those eligible, albeit at the expense of a delay to IVT.

We modelled the impact of ambulance bias in a drip-and-ship model, with 24 CSCs located at the current neuroscience centres and 103 PSCs at all remaining sites. Generally, delaying IVT by directly attending a CSC increases average time to IVT and decreases average time to MT (Figure 10). However, the effects are not equal; a bias of 15 minutes increases average time to IVT by just 2 minutes while reducing average time to MT by 20 minutes. This phenomenon affects 25% of all patients, who have an average delay in IVT of 8 minutes, but the smaller number of patients eligible for MT have an average improvement in time to treatment of 80 minutes.

However, the greatest impact of ambulance bias is its destabilising effect on admission numbers to hospitals (Figure 11). In a typical mixed configuration of 24 CSCs (located at the current 24 neuroscience centres) and 103 PSCs, as ambulance bias increases, increasing numbers of PSCs fall well below recommended levels of activity, and CSCs risk being overwhelmed. With a 30-minute ambulance bias (known to occur anecdotally), more than two-thirds of PSCs have fewer than 300 admissions/year. At the same time, the number of CSCs with more than 2,500 admissions/year increases to 11 (the largest admitting more than 5,000/year) and about half of all acute stroke admissions are direct to a CSC.

Figure 10. A drip-and-ship model, in which all patients first attend their closest centre, with onward travel to a CSC if they require MT. In a configuration of 24 CSCs and 103 PSCs, the chart shows the effect of 'ambulance bias' in travelling directly to a CSC. The lines show average time to arrival for MT (purple line) and IVT (green line). Onward travel for MT includes the transfer travel time and a 60-minute net delay in receiving MT.



Discussion

Our modelling of national configurations of hyperacute stroke centres, designed to replicate the population benefits from centralisation of acute stroke services, has shown the feasibility but also the compromises necessary to maximise these benefits. Currently, 61% of patients with acute stroke are admitted to a stroke unit with at least 600 admissions per year,⁵⁸ and the NHS Long Term Plan (for England) published in 2019 proposes to increase this through centralisation into fewer, larger units.⁷¹

These centres would have staffing levels and competencies as specified in national standards^{72, 73} and provide intensive (level 2) nursing and medical care for the initial 72 hours after onset (on average) before repatriation of the patient to local stepdown services for ongoing acute care and rehabilitation. In our model, a reduction from the current 127 acute sites to between 73 and 81 centres (CSCs plus PSCs) would render it possible for all stroke patients to attend a unit of sufficient size but with a reduction in the proportion of patients within 30 minutes' travel from the current 90% to 80–82% and with 95% and 98% of patients within 45 and 60 minutes' travel time, respectively.

Figure 11. A drip-and-ship model, in which all patients first attend their closest centre, with onward travel to a CSC if they require MT. In a configuration of 24 CSCs and 103 PSCs, the panels show the effect of 'ambulance bias' in travelling directly to a CSC. The violin plot (a) shows the effect on the admissions to the first admitting centres: range, median (middle bar) and distribution (shaded body). The right panel (b) shows the effect on the number of centres below or above the stated threshold of annual admissions.



Any large-scale reconfiguration of hyperacute stroke services involves striking a compromise between institutional size and travel time, with differential effects from centralisation in urban and rural areas. In seeking to balance these often-competing priorities, an additional issue arises in avoiding any large CSC being overwhelmed by unprecedented numbers of suspected stroke admissions (true strokes plus mimics). In accommodating this constraint, we sought solutions where the largest unit had no more than 2,000 confirmed stroke admissions/year, which is the current largest English CSC at Salford Royal Hospital. In centralised configurations with all centres admitting between 600 and 2,000 admissions/year, fewer than 10% of centres would have more than 1,500 admissions/year. Large-scale reconfigurations raise significant issues around the capacity of a small number of very large CSCs,

both in infrastructure and workforce, and the potential disadvantages of such large centres cannot simply be disregarded. Nonetheless, centralisation to 75–85 centres could be expected to provide a significant benefit to most patients. To yield these benefits, the large majority of patients will travel only moderately further (if at all) to reach a CSC or PSC. The potential disbenefits are to the approximately 1.5% of the population who would be more than 60 minutes away from a reconfigured centre compared with 0.3% with the current 127 sites and to the 2% of patients who are currently within 30 minutes of an existing site but who, with centralisation, will travel more than 45 minutes to their nearest centre. Consideration therefore needs to be given to how the longer travel times, and possible delays in treatment, might be mitigated for these patients and how the use of air ambulance services for secondary transfer might prove to be both faster and cost-effective for the most remote 1.5% (only 11-12% of whom might require MT).⁷⁴

In an ideal configuration of hyperacute stroke care, all patients would live close to a CSC offering high-quality acute stroke unit care and both IVT and MT, but our studies suggest that providing all acute stroke care in CSCs in England (that is, a 'pure mothership' model) is not likely to be deliverable. On this basis, a hybrid model of CSCs and PSCs seems inevitable and desirable. Such a model of care reduces time to admission at the first centre (providing IVT) but will delay MT for many eligible patients. The delay comes from additional transport time and from organisational delays in arranging/starting onward travel.⁷⁵ This delay could be reduced by having ambulances wait at the first-admission hospitals in case CT/CTA indicates that MT is required or by otherwise prioritising ambulance provision for transfer to a CSC (as is now done in Eire and Catalonia).⁷⁶ It is clear that the substantial impact on ambulance services would need to be considered with any of these strategies.

The optimum balance between CSCs and PSCs will vary across the country. In particular, where populations are dense and differences in travel times between centres are small, such as in major metropolitan areas, there is little to be gained from imposing a further delay to treatment by calling first at a non-MT-capable PSC, and services are ideally delivered entirely through CSCs. In London, this would be in potentially fewer CSCs than the existing eight HASUs. Where populations are less dense in more rural areas, additional PSCs will be required to limit the maximum time from onset to assessment for IVT at the first hospital. However, if

there remains an 'oversupply' of more local IVT services, time to MT will be increased significantly for the sake of only marginal improvements in time to IVT. The principal justification for maintaining PSCs in some areas may therefore be to mitigate an otherwise unmanageable number of direct admissions to CSCs.

It may be considered clinically justifiable to accept a small delay in IVT for patients to be taken straight to a CSC, as this may significantly reduce overall time to MT at the cost of a smaller effect on time to IVT (see Figure 10).⁶⁹ Indeed this clinical justification is anecdotally reported to influence decision-making by ambulance paramedics, who may be willing to travel 15-30 minutes further to deliver the patient to a MT-capable centre; to this can be added the practicality of trying to avoid being called back to the closer PSC a short time later to convey the same patient to a CSC. Our work has shown how this phenomenon of ambulance bias has the potential to destabilise stroke networks by simultaneously overwhelming CSCs and denuding PSCs of admissions, reducing a significant number of the latter below a threshold that might be considered sustainable (see Figure 11). This factor must be built in when planning systems of care, as to disregard it risks substantial unintended consequences. Although some might suggest that this effect could be mitigated through the widespread use of pre-hospital selection scales for LAO stroke (such as the RACE test, currently the subject of a RCT⁷⁷), the low specificity of such tests suggests they would have a disappointingly small impact on the large numbers of patients who are preferentially transferred directly to a CSC.

Although clinicians are familiar with the concept of 'time is brain' for both IVT and MT (better described as 'time is disability'), a refinement of a purely time-based approach would be to base modelling directly on clinical outcomes. Holodinsky *et al* examined the likely outcomes of drip-and-ship *versus* mothership models of care, focussing on decisions that maximise the likelihood of a good clinical outcome for any individual patient.^{60, 61} They demonstrated that clinical outcomes in a hybrid mixture of PSCs and CSCs are sensitive to both the initial delay in alerting help and the time taken to assess and, when necessary, refer patients in the PSC onwards. Stroke networks will need to systematically address both of these issues if the population benefit from IVT and MT is to be maximised. At present, indications are that these stroke networks, in England at least (to be termed 'ISDNs'), will be based around the current geographical unit of NHS planning – the STP/integrated care system. Our modelling has shown that population units of this size are too small

for planning complex systems of hyperacute stroke care – in many or most places that require significant reconfiguration of services for both IVT and MT, planning at a regional or national level is needed if the benefits are to be optimised.⁷⁰

Conclusion

In the recent past, apart from a handful of notable exceptions mainly in metropolitan areas, reconfiguration of stroke services to optimise delivery of IVT and other hyperacute interventions in the UK has stalled. The advent of MT as a highly effective intervention for a significant minority of stroke patients is a disruptive innovation, which should stimulate the rationalisation of services and the urgent development of new capacity to deliver the treatment. This should occur in a planned way, using the largest possible planning footprint (ideally the NHS regions

in England and the devolved administrations elsewhere in the UK), taking full account of the impact of shifting admission and repatriation numbers; imminent further expansions of the eligible population for MT; and other behavioural issues such as ambulance bias. The twin objectives of maximising the population benefit from these interventions within a sustainable system are best served by an increase in the number of CSCs offering MT within an overall reduction in the number of centres offering hyperacute care (including IVT) organised in collaborative regional networks. Metropolitan areas will be best served by a small number of large CSCs with a direct-to-mothership policy, but more dispersed populations will require a hybrid arrangement of CSCs and PSCs in order to limit the maximum time to IVT through the use of a drip-and-ship approach.

Additional contributors to this chapter

Professor Gary A Ford, Chief Executive Officer, Oxford Academic Health Science Network Dr Peter McMeekin, Reader, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne

Professor Anthony G Rudd, Consultant Stroke Physician, Kings College London and Guy's and St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust, London

This study was part-funded by the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care for the South West Peninsula. The views and opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors, and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research, or the Department of Health.

4. Organising ambulance services for effective implementation of mechanical thrombectomy

Chris Price and John Black

Key points

- Ambulance services and commissioners must be involved early in the planning of acute stroke MT pathways, including repatriation pathways.
- The content of a national emergency pathway for suspected stroke, which includes options for primary and secondary transfer for MT, should be standardised.
- Services must plan to deal with the resource implications of an increasing number of MT secondary 'drip-and-ship' transfers.
- The content of training and audit to support effective deployment of the emergency stroke pathway needs to be established.
- Services should be prepared for rapid adoption of technology that can improve the accuracy of LAO identification.

Current pre-hospital pathways

The centralisation of stroke care already requires ambulance services to provide pathways promoting rapid access to specialist care in line with national clinical guidelines.⁷² Regional variations exist, but the current core components are:

- Call handlers should be trained to recognise people with symptoms indicating
 possible acute stroke, using dedicated clinical prioritisation software such as NHS
 Pathways/AMPDS, and to initiate an emergency response consistent with national
 ambulance standards (that is, Category 2). Although this is unlikely to change
 because of MT, operational staff and standards need to take into account that the
 emergency care pathway for stroke is becoming more complex, with potential
 implications for dispatched crews.
- Ambulance clinicians should identify patients with suspected stroke before they arrive at the hospital, using simple and sensitive clinical checklists such as FAST. As FAST may not identify stroke caused by other symptoms, such as loss of coordination and vision, paramedics are also encouraged to make a provisional diagnosis based on clinical judgement alone. Hypoglycaemia should always be excluded by measurement of capillary blood glucose. However, even with the use of FAST and blood glucose assessments, 40% of pre-hospital suspected stroke patients later receive a non-stroke ('mimic') final diagnosis after admission, as many conditions produce similar symptoms, and there is no standardised training content on stroke identification for ambulance personnel.

- Ambulance on-scene times should be kept to a minimum, and investigations more appropriately undertaken on arrival in hospital (e.g. 12-lead ECG) should not be performed on scene (see below). However, no national target is set or monitored, and a lengthier assessment to identify patients potentially suitable for MT may prolong on-scene time.
- Transfer to the nearest HASU may require bypass of the nearest hospital. Pre-hospital redirection does not generally add significant extra journey time, as transport times to nearest HASUs are <60 minutes in most parts of England. Some regions avoid this through remote video assessment by a stroke specialist once the patient arrives at the nearest hospital. However, the much smaller number of regional centres providing MT means it will be necessary to balance the efficiency of pre-hospital redirection versus assessment in hospital with and without video.
- Ambulance pre-arrival alerts to the ED or HASU are associated with significant improvements in time to CT and intervention for stroke patients.

When interpreting national guidelines, the results of a recent survey of stroke pathways within ambulance services in the UK show considerable variation (Table 3).⁷⁸ Pathways were last formally updated between 2011 and 2018. During expansion of MT provision, it will be important for regions to consider whether differences may impact on efficient direct transfers for treatment, such as the conscious level (GCS) threshold below which patients will be taken to the nearest ED rather than HASU.

Ambulance service	Stroke identification tool	Time (hours)	GCS exclusion	Capillary glucose (mmol) exclusion	Seizures excluded
1	FAST	4	<8	<4.0	Yes
2	FAST	4.5			Yes
3	FAST + AVVV	5	Conscious	<3.0	Yes
4	FAST	4.5			
5	FAST	5.5		<4.0	Yes
6	FAST				
7	FAST	Variable		<4.0	Yes
8	FAST	3			
9	FAST MEND	Variable		<3.5	
10	FAST	4.5		Hypoglycaemia	
11	FAST	5	<	<3.5	
12	FAST	4.5		<4.0	
13	FAST Leg/visual symptoms	<12	<8		Yes
14	FAST	4			

Table 3. Content of UK ambulance stroke pathways in May 2018.Adapted from McClelland et al (2018).78

The impact of MT on regional ambulance services

The main immediate impact of MT provision for ambulance services will reflect the further centralisation of specialist care for a small proportion of patients with major stroke. It is estimated that 10% of emergency stroke admissions are suitable for MT,²⁸ although there will be geographical variation. In the current system, using

FAST alone for identification of possible stroke, five out of 100 suspected stroke patients who present to ambulance services are likely to have the clinical and radiological characteristics appropriate for MT. These patients should be identified early and rapidly transported to a MT centre to reduce time to treatment. In addition, as advanced imaging becomes more widely available and treatment decisions for patients with unknown symptom onset (e.g. wake-up presentation) can be based on objective quantification of potentially salvageable brain tissue, the proportion of cases needing secondary transfer to MT centres will increase further.

If the nearest HASU provides MT on site, there may be no immediate impact on emergency ambulance cycle times. However, as 100 HASUs currently do not have a MT facility, all ambulance services will be affected by increasing use of a 'drip-andship' secondary transfer model for patients assessed as potentially suitable for MT by the initial HASU. Currently, there are no clinical or diagnostic processes used by UK ambulance services to identify patients who may be suitable to bypass the current HASU and transfer directly to a MT centre (see below).

To provide an efficient MT service, services must consider the following:

 Ambulance resources – Additional rapid transfer may be required from the initial HASU to the MT centre, which is likely to increase pressure on emergency frontline ambulance responsiveness for other emergencies in the community. The potential impact needs to be considered by commissioners. It is important that this secondary transfer receives the same emergency response as the initial dispatch (Ambulance Response Programme Interfacility Category 2), as this is a confirmed time-critical emergency. Observational evidence suggests that the DIDO time is at least 60-90 minutes, because of initial clinical and radiological assessment, communication between sites, initiation (and in many cases, completion) of IVT, and availability of a second emergency ambulance. As HASU DIDO times improve (potentially to as little as 30 minutes), it may be feasible for the first ambulance to wait while the patient is assessed to reduce the risk of an ambulance transfer delay if an initial rapid screen during handover in hospital confirms that MT may be appropriate. An Australian study demonstrated that using the initial ambulance crew for secondary transfer was associated with shorter DIDO times.⁷⁶

 Skills – During secondary transfer, an intravenous infusion may be required to continuously administer medication for thrombolysis or parenteral BP-lowering treatment (if agreed by local protocols). Current trials of tenecteplase offer the prospect of alteplase infusion being replaced in the future by bolus tenecteplase injection. Transfer should not be delayed waiting for these treatments to finish. Ambulance clinicians are not currently trained to supervise thrombolytic infusions or provide emergency management of hypertension during acute Most were developed through retrospective analysis of hospital datasets compared against a radiological diagnosis.⁸⁴ Consequently, they do not reflect the typical suspected stroke population presenting to ambulance services, and it is unclear how well they would work in practice. When the RACE scale was evaluated prospectively in an ambulance population, a score >4/9 resulted in 85% sensitivity and 68% specificity for LAO.⁷⁷

stroke. An appropriately trained healthcare professional (doctor or nurse) from the HASU will be required to accompany the patient and supervise clinical management during the ambulance transfer, and local protocols will need to be developed for responses to complications such as angioedema, bleeding and seizures. An anaesthetic transfer may occasionally be needed if there is a deterioration in conscious level.

 Air ambulance – Secondary transfer by air ambulance may need to be considered in parts of England where transfer times to the MT centre are likely to exceed 60 minutes, as this may reduce call-to-intervention times in these circumstances. Most CSCs providing MT will be located at hospitals with tertiary neuroscience and major trauma centres with helipad facilities and will be accustomed to receiving patients by helicopter.

Selective pre-hospital redirection of suspected large artery occlusion Clinical identification scales

Once stroke is suspected, ambulance services in the UK currently have no additional pre-hospital stratification to identify patients potentially suitable for MT. Identification scales for LAO that use combinations of neurological symptoms to create a score indicating the probability of LAO have been published (Table 4).⁸¹⁻⁸³

Table 4. Examples of published LAO symptom scales.^{77, 79, 80}

RACE ⁷⁷	FAST-ED ⁷⁹	GFAST ⁸⁰
 Facial palsy Absent or mild (0) Mild (1) Moderate to severe (2) Arm motor function Normal to mild (0) Moderate (1) Severe (2) Leg motor function Normal to mild (0) Moderate (1) Severe (2) Leg motor function Normal to mild (0) Moderate (1) Severe (2) Head and gaze deviation Absent (0) Present (1) Aphasia* (if right hemiparesis) Performs not task correctly (0) Performs neither task (2) Agnosia† (if left hemiparesis) Performs one task correctly (1) Performs neither task (2) Total score 0–9 Score ≥5 indicates possibility of LAO 	 Facial palsy Normal or minor paralysis (0) Partial or complete paralysis (1) Arm weakness No drift (0) Drift or some effort against gravity (1) No effort against gravity or no movement (2) Speech changes Absent (0) Mild to moderate (1) Severe, global aphasia or mute (2) Eye deviation Absent (0) Partial (1) Forced deviation (2) Denial/neglect Absent (0) Extinction to bilateral simultaneous stimulation in only one sensory modality (2) Does not recognise own hand or orients only to one side of the body Risk of LAO stroke Score 2–3: ~30% Score ≥4: ≥~60% 	 Gaze in only one direction Face/facial drop (1) Arms/legs week (1) Speech slurred, confused Time lost is brain lost (1) Total score 0-4 Score 3-4 considered 'positive' for possible LAO

*Aphasia: Ask the patient to (1) "Close your eyes", and (2) "Make a fist", and evaluate if the patient obeys.

†Agnosia: Ask the patient: (1) "Whose arm is this?" while showing them the paretic arm, and evaluate if they recognise their own arm, and (2) "Can you lift both arms and clap" and evaluate if they recognise their functional impairment.

Other scores are unlikely to have higher degrees of accuracy because:

- 12% of hospitalised strokes are due to haemorrhage
- lacunar stroke can occasionally result in a high symptom severity score due to face/arm/leg weakness (NIHSS >10), but LAO will not be present
- 'atypical' mild LAO presentations can occur (20% see Chapter 2)
- mimic conditions will not be excluded
- some symptoms may not yet have developed at the early stage when ambulance personnel assess patients
- in some patients, MT would not be appropriate, even if LAO is present, for other reasons, such as pre-existing severe dementia or disability.

The best-case scenario (in hospital populations with few mimics) is that clinical scores fail to identify 20% of LAOs in exchange for 15% false-positive identification of mimics. Clinical cut-off scores with sensitivity of 90% would label most suspected stroke patients as possible LAO due to low specificity, which would defeat the purpose of pre-hospital stratification and risk overwhelming CSCs.⁸⁴ Therefore, although some patients suitable for MT may receive faster treatment following routine pre-hospital application of a LAO scale, arrangements must be in place to enable rapid secondary transfer of 'false-negative' patients with LAO who were not identified pre-hospital to ensure that LAO cases with unusual or deteriorating symptoms are still able to receive treatment. Similar to some regional HASU models, it would also be essential to have systems in place for efficient repatriation of untreated stroke and mimic patients from the centre, who will arrive in significantly larger volumes than through a drip-and-ship approach.

Overall, the attractiveness of direct pre-hospital transfer for patients with LAO symptoms is offset by the complexities created in terms of false-positive direct transfers and false-negative LAO patients who still arrive at the local HASU or ED first. Systems to deal with these groups would need to be very actively managed across a large geographical area and involve an additional burden falling on ambulance services. However, clinical identification scales may be useful in future as a first triage tool to decide whether to activate a remote specialist review or deploy a point-of-care diagnostic for LAO.

Remote stroke specialist review

Remote video review by a stroke specialist is not a new concept but has previously been limited by the lack of technology in ambulances to support implementation and by concerns that it may extend the pre-hospital phase without necessarily changing the patient destination or treatment.^{85, 86} Ambulance services in the UK are increasingly investing in this capability, and this approach could enable accurate pre-hospital identification of patients who are more likely to have LAO and be suitable for MT. The use of a LAO identification scale by the ambulance service may help identify patients suitable for review by a remote stroke specialist, who can then use their expertise in history, examination and interpretation and knowledge of mimics to decide between direct transfer to a MT centre, HASU or ED. Although the specialist would not be able to specifically identify LAO among ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke, it is more likely that the redirected group would be eligible for treatment and mimic transfers could be reduced.

As technology is becoming more affordable and reliable, clinical trials are evaluating the benefits for thrombolysis delivery when combined with ambulances offering head CT scan capability.⁸⁷ Although there is already published evidence that adapted ambulances ('mobile stroke units' with a physician and CT scanner on board) can reduce time from call to initiation of IVT by 15–30 minutes, the resource implications are substantial and there is currently no evidence that MT is facilitated, although this seems likely.^{88, 89} The mobile stroke unit trials showed benefit for patients living near large urban HASUs where the vehicle was housed and vascular neurologists were immediately available. The units were not part of regional ambulance service provision, and a standard emergency ambulance was dispatched in parallel because most patients were either stroke cases that did not receive thrombolysis or mimic presentations that needed transfer to ED. Although mobile stroke units can reduce treatment times for IVT in specific high-resource settings, further evidence is needed to show whether a remote or direct specialist review pre-hospital can facilitate MT.

Diagnostics

There is currently no point-of-care diagnostic test for stroke or LAO for use in ambulances. However, because of the obvious benefits, this is an expanding

research topic, with clinical trials currently evaluating point-of-care blood assays and non-invasive portable devices to help identify ischaemic stroke, haemorrhagic stroke, LAO and stroke mimics. If these technologies are supported by clear clinical evidence, hospital and ambulance services should prepare to adopt them by agreeing on funding, their role in the clinical pathway, and training implications.

Training

There is no standardised training module for pre-hospital stroke pathways, and most training is provided within individual services. This approach has been reasonable for use of FAST to initiate a linear pathway, but more standardised content or models may be required to provide workforce training for an additional pre-hospital LAO identification step plus redirection response. Previous observational studies have shown that whole-service system performance for IVT delivery can be changed through a programme of multidisciplinary workshops to implement new clinical protocols,⁹⁰ whereas specific aspects of care – such as reducing the time on scene – can be addressed by smaller scale initiatives targeted at paramedics.⁹¹

Training content will need to remain under review to enable effective future deployment of a new diagnostic and remote specialist video assessment. As advanced imaging becomes more widespread for patient selection, it will also be necessary for training to acknowledge that selected patients with an unknown onset time (for example, those waking with symptoms) should have the same MT response as those known to have onset within the previous 6 hours.

Audit

The evidence underpinning MT treatment clearly shows the importance of minimising delays along the whole pathway from symptom onset. Due to the additional brain imaging required and possible secondary transfer to a MT centre, efficient early care is particularly important – for example, the time spent on scene should be as short as possible once stroke is suspected.

For all suspected stroke cases with recent onset, it is necessary to have an audit standard for on-scene time, as well as initial response. This is a potentially complex issue, as introduction of remote assessment or diagnostics may extend on-scene time but reduce the overall time from onset to MT through efficient early stratification and pre-notification. As well as services aiming to achieve a maximum on-scene target time for all suspected acute stroke (for example, 20 minutes), this should be reported separately for MT cohorts in the context of overall onset-to-treatment time.

All English ambulance services regularly audit and report their responsiveness for patients with acute stroke to NHS England, and compliance with a stroke care diagnostic care bundle is published nationally.⁹² This is reported for the whole emergency stroke pathway, using data from both ambulance and hospital services to establish delays and identify training needs, and should be extended to recognise the whole MT pathway, as well as initial IVT. As well as service-level audit, feedback to individual paramedics about adherence to clinical protocols improves performance.⁹³

5. Imaging for stroke thrombectomy and resource implications

Alexander Mortimer

Key points

- Stroke MT requires additional imaging for patient selection, and a combination of NCCT and CTA represents the most appropriate combination to allow this.
- Most patients will be imaged in PSCs before onward referral to CSCs, and standard protocols are required.
- The relatively low levels of MT currently being performed in the UK are, in part, due to lack of routine use of CTA in local stroke imaging protocols.
- A number of solutions exist to aid better implementation of the necessary imaging, but most need increased resourcing and appropriate training in image interpretation.
- The role of multiphase CTA or CTP in addition to standard CT/CTA remains unclear in early presenting patients. For late presenters, either approach may be used up to 6–12 hours, but current evidence only supports CTP triage thereafter, so, in the longer term, implementation of advanced imaging will be required in addition to CT/CTA.

Emergency vascular imaging of stroke due to LAO is a critical step in the selection of appropriate patients for onward referral for MT. As most initial stroke imaging will be performed in non-neuroscience centres, this is where the largest expansion in provision of acute stroke imaging will be needed. With the UK currently significantly below other western European countries in terms of MT rates,³ increased use of appropriate advanced imaging to identify patients with LAO stroke swiftly is a key step in improving uptake of this highly effective treatment.

Imaging modalities in acute stroke

Broadly, imaging for acute stroke can be performed using CT or MRI techniques. In the UK, CT is the basis of most emergency neuroimaging due to speed and ease of access, and this lends itself well to stroke imaging, which is so time critical. Furthermore, use of acute NCCT prior to IVT is well established. Much of the information needed for MT triage can be extrapolated from NCCT combined with single-phase CTA, the latter involving a CT scan of the head and neck after intravenous injection of a timed bolus of iodinated contrast medium to image the cervical and intracranial arteries (Figure 12). This imaging strategy formed the sole basis for MT selection in four of the landmark published trials.^{4, 7, 8, 12} Together, NCCT and CTA allow identification of the site of intracranial occlusion, noninvasive assessment of the cervical vessels and any tandem cervical arterial lesion, estimation of ischaemic core infarct, and, to a degree, collateral flow assessment plus cortical venous opacification, which is increasingly recognised as a marker of effective collateral flow. Combined NCCT/CTA therefore represents the minimum baseline imaging required for effective diagnosis and triage of patients, and universal coverage in all hospitals receiving emergency stroke patients represents the first phase in forming effective acute stroke MT networks across the UK.

Figure 12. CTA showing an atherosclerotic left cervical ICA occlusion (black arrow) and intracranial left ICA occlusion (white arrow).

Non-contrast CT allows exclusion of intracerebral haemorrhage and initial estimation of core infarct extent, displayed as regions of low density caused by a shift in brain tissue water content secondary to ischaemia. Core infarct of the MCA territory can be

estimated using the ASPECTS system, which divides the MCA territory into 10 regions, covering the basal ganglionic and supraganglionic levels (Figure 13). A normal scan is designated a score of 10, with one point subtracted for each area with features of infarct. Most MT trials excluded patients with ASPECTS <6, as prior observational evidence suggested re-canalisation could be futile or could be harmful in patients with low ASPECTS. Meta-analysis of trials suggests clear benefit for patients with ASPECTS >5,¹⁵ but data for patients with low ASPECTS (0–5) are currently limited. Criticisms of the ASPECTS system include moderate inter-rater variability,⁹⁴ failure to correlate with infarct volume and failure to take into account the functional significance of infarct location, but it is an established predictor of stroke outcome.



Figure 13. Non-contrast CT ASPECTS. Scoring regions at ganglionic (left) and supra-ganglionic levels (centre). Example case (right) showing low-density infarction in left caudate nucleus, lentiform nucleus and insular cortex outlined in black with an ASPECTS of 7. C, caudate nucleus; I, insular cortex; IC, internal capsule; L, lentiform nucleus; M1–3, cortical regions at the ganglionic level; M4–6, cortical regions at the supraganglionic level.



Although most radiology departments routinely provide NCCT, CTA is variably provided but is of great practical use, including in 'grey-area' IVT decision-making. As a result of more routine use of CTA, the number of patients identified as eligible for MT (and possibly IVT) will increase. Multiphase CTA is an additional tool that involves second and/or third CT acquisition after initial CTA. Use of this technique was commonplace in the North American-led MT trials.^{9, 11} It allows dynamic assessment of the collateral flow and may improve outcome prediction over singlephase CTA.⁹⁵ This technique is useful in cases where the single-phase examination is performed relatively early following contrast medium injection or in patients with reduced cardiac output. In both scenarios, there may well be underestimation of collateral flow on a single-phase examination (Figure 14). Multiphase CTA is an option in radiology departments with an established CTA service. Although there is more complexity in image acquisition and interpretation, it becomes a relatively straightforward examination to deliver once there is departmental experience. An alternative option to aid interpretation is remote specialist neuroradiologist assessment of the imaging, perhaps if this modality is implemented across a network.

Figure 14. Dual-phase CTA. Axial MIP CTA showing right terminal ICA occlusion (left, arrow). Early arterial phase CTA (centre, showing relatively poor collateral flow to the right hemisphere, arrows). Venous-phase CTA (right) showing delayed collateral filling of the pial network over the right hemisphere (arrows).



Routine use of CTP imaging in patients presenting early is more controversial, and expert opinion remains divided. This technique allows discrimination of the nonsalvageable core infarct from salvageable penumbra, with the aim of identifying a 'mismatch' between the extent of each based on dynamic measurement of iodine contrast density as it passes through the brain tissue (Figure 15). Computed tomography perfusion requires additional imaging and contrast injection plus image post-processing using semi-automated or fully automated software. Image acquisition is often relatively simple using modern systems but would require some additional training for radiographers (perhaps through a short elective period in a neuroscience department). Computed tomography perfusion was used as part of imaging selection in several RCTs^{5, 10, 11} in which clinical results were superior relative to other trials that treated patients within 6 hours based on CT/CTA alone. However, with more extensive patient selection comes the danger of denying treatment to a group of patients who do not meet imaging criteria but may still benefit from the therapy. Indeed, post-hoc analysis of the MR CLEAN trial suggests that this is often the case.⁵³

Figure 15. CTP. Axial CTA (left) showing left M1 MCA occlusion (arrow) and good collateral flow. CTP maps of cerebral blood flow (centre left) and cerebral blood volume (centre right) approximating to maps of a large penumbra (arrows) and no clear infarct core respectively. Post-MT CT (right, arrow) showing small insular infarct only.



Computed tomography perfusion formed the basis for imaging selection in the DEFUSE-3 and DAWN trials, which investigated MT treatment of patients LKW beyond 6 hours up to 16 and 24 hours, respectively.^{13, 14} Following the results of these trials, there will be increasing demand for use of CTP in wake-up stroke or late-presenting patients. As CTP will not be available in many hospitals receiving these patients, a decision on transfer to neurointerventional centres will most commonly have to be made on the basis of NCCT and CTA (often with CTP being undertaken in the MT centre on arrival). In cases of favourable collateral flow and limited core infarction, this may be a straightforward decision, but for more borderline cases, CTP performed in PSCs to allow decision-making prior to consideration of transfer would be very useful and may be considered mandatory for patients in the 12–24-hour window. Imaging networks could allow remote specialist neuroradiological interpretation.

Computed tomography perfusion is useful in a number of scenarios: confirming suspicion of very early widespread core infarction, identifying salvageable penumbra despite a large core infarct, and determining the extent of ischaemia in patients with a mild clinical syndrome but proximal occlusion. Most neurointerventional centres have CTP capability and variably use this tool depending on local preference, but radiology expertise may be limited in non-neuroscience centres. Use of validated automated software (such as those used in published trials, e.g. RAPID or MIStar) to provide the local clinical team with a technical report as a basis for discussion with the neurointerventional centre would be a pragmatic way of breaking down barriers to adopting CTP for a proportion of acute stroke patients who would require it. Adoption of CTP in PSCs, particularly to improve selection in latepresenting and wake-up strokes, represents a second phase in the evolution of stroke imaging in the UK.

Magnetic resonance imaging offers another option in acute stroke: MRA can be used to identify sites of arterial occlusion, and specific sequences such as DWI and FLAIR imaging are very sensitive in determining the extent of early core infarction. Perfusion techniques allow identification of the extent of ischaemic but salvageable brain ('penumbra'). In other countries, particularly France, MRI is used for a much higher proportion of acute stroke imaging. However, access to acute MRI in UK hospitals is limited. Magnetic resonance imaging scanners are commonly not situated close to EDs, and MRI departments are most commonly configured as an outpatient imaging facility, with variable access for acute or out-of-hours cases. In our practice, MRI is most useful as an occasional decision-making tool, particularly in cases of basilar artery occlusion that present at later timeframes to assess the extent of core infarction in the posterior circulation. Although it is possible to prognosticate with CTA-based scoring systems,⁹⁶ directly imaging the brainstem is not easy using CT, and MRI may be superior in this situation. Yet, in addition to the factors described, the practicalities of routinely scanning acutely unwell patients with MRI (which can be more time consuming and subject to degradation by motion artefact in restless patients), coupled with the difficulties in accessing acute MRI in the UK, will limit widespread adoption of MRI for the foreseeable future.

Implementation of routine computed tomography angiography

Barriers and solutions to more widespread, routine and timely use of CTA at both departmental and individual levels involve image acquisition, image interpretation and image transfer.

Computed tomography angiography requires connection to a contrast-medium pump and acquisition of a second localiser before image acquisition; however, image acquisition takes seconds on modern CT systems, and pre-hospital alerts can minimise delay, allowing contrast-medium pumps to be loaded before patients arrive. A number of studies have demonstrated no significant delay in delivery of IVT if CTA is acquired,^{97, 98} as the alteplase bolus can be administered prior to CTA acquisition, although this can still result in delay to the subsequent 90% infusion (future use of bolus-only tenecteplase for IVT might eliminate that issue). If CTA is not performed at or close to the time of NCCT at presentation, delays in transferring patients from wards or EDs back to radiology departments for CTA will significantly delay stroke onset to re-canalisation times for MT.

Concerns regarding the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy are probably unfounded. In two retrospective reviews of 175 and 224 patients,^{99, 100} the incidence of contrast nephropathy was 2.9% and 3%, respectively. In a subsequent observational cohort study, contrast agents did not seem to cause rates of renal injury above those normally encountered in this population.¹⁰¹ Another consideration is the increased radiation dose incurred by additional acquisitions. The potential for significant benefit, as demonstrated by trial evidence, will invariably outweigh the risk of radiation in a largely elderly patient cohort. The risk to young patients is higher, but the potential for long-term morbidity through failing to identify and re-canalise a proximal intracranial LAO is also high in this population, even in the case of pregnancy. As with all CT imaging decisions, a radiation risk– benefit decision needs to be made, but the balance is strongly in favour of the use of advanced imaging in patients with potentially severely disabling stroke.

Acute radiology services across the UK are stretched and under-resourced in terms of reporting capacity.¹⁰² Anecdotally, there are concerns that routine implementation of CTA for a large number of patients with acute neurological presentations would stretch resources further in terms of scanning and reporting time. The extra activity with implementation of more routine use of CTA should be linked to financial incentives for the receiving hospitals in order to facilitate an enhanced stroke imaging service.

Implementation of advanced imaging should involve collaboration with industry to aid standardisation of scan protocols. More widespread radiographer training will be needed, which could be achieved by identifying a local lead to undertake a short elective period at a neuroscience centre to learn and disseminate protocols, pearls and pitfalls. Alternatively, staff from neuroscience centres with established services could visit and aid construction of services in referring hospitals. Indeed, such initiatives are already occurring *ad hoc* at a local level in the UK.

Although NCCT is seen as a general investigation, there is often an assertion that CTA is a specialist investigation, and many radiologists whose subspecialty interest lies in another field may feel they lack competency in reporting these examinations without additional training. Short, intensive CTA training courses can significantly reduce discrepancies among radiology trainees,¹⁰³ and it is highly likely that this could be replicated for consultant radiologists who regularly report acute imaging. However, some co-ordination and funding for such training is required. A longer term solution is the inclusion of stroke CTA interpretation in core radiology registrar training, with the potential for the same to be applied to stroke physicians.

Automated decision aid software to assess NCCT (for core infarct via ASPECTS) and CTA for LAO to aid identification and speed up referral is already commercially available and could be a major aid to CT implementation. However, as yet, these tools have limited independent validation and are costly at the individual hospital level. Higher level support for licensing use of advanced imaging software tools would be a significant facilitator to implementation.

With more routine use of MT, local networks should be constructed with the aim of strengthening inter-hospital links and governance. Imaging is an important facet of this, and it is vital for local leads for neuroimaging to be involved in stroke networks. On a practical level, fast image transfer to the MT centre is needed to obtain an interventional neuroradiology opinion prior to transfer. This could involve access to web-based PACS viewers or a standardised imaging cloud shared by all hospitals within a network. Contributing to upkeep of these systems incurs a financial cost that may need to be shared across NHS organisations but would have significant clinical advantages that extend far beyond stroke.

As MT provision extends to 24/7 coverage, around-the-clock expert imaging interpretation will be needed to facilitate clinical decision-making. A formal immediate CT/CTA report from a local radiology department is not necessary out of hours; indeed, many hospitals use outsourced emergency radiology reporting with variable CTA expertise, and the number of radiologists with a neuroradiology

interest in most hospitals is small. There are a number of solutions for this, which will depend upon local preference and could even be combined:

- Use of an INR network as a first point of contact for MT decision-making across multiple INR centres has the advantage of protecting rotas with smaller numbers of INRs from being overloaded with out-of-hours calls but the disadvantage of the potential barrier of setting up IT systems across regions.
- Provision of acute CTA reporting by emergency outsourcing companies will require radiology training and audit to ensure quality.
- In-house training of radiology registrars to flag LAO stroke to INRs may be preferable in terms of financial cost but will require regular training and retraining. Over time, this has an added long-term benefit of disseminating the skill of CTA interpretation.
- Use of automated software to identify LAO stroke to local clinicians (e.g. RAPID or MIStar) incurs a financial cost, and potential barriers include uniform implementation across hospitals.
- Computed tomography angiography interpretation by stroke physicians will require training and networked support similar to that seen for delivery of IVT in some regions.

The common denominator of most of these solutions is the need for training in NCCT/CTA interpretation and, therefore, efforts should initially be directed at education. The principles of this can be divided into two factors – identification of the site of occlusion and assessment of the viability of the ischaemic territory – which form the basis for a training curriculum.

Image interpretation

Site of occlusion and thrombus load

The hyperdense artery is a well-established sign of hyperacute stroke on NCCT but might not be seen in up to 50% of acute MCA occlusions using standard 3-mm NCCT section thickness (Figure 16).^{104, 105} More than 10% of patients with LAO show absence of hyperdense thrombus, even using thin-section reconstructions, which are more sensitive for detection.¹⁰⁶ In contrast, CTA accurately demonstrates the site of occlusion (Figure 17).^{107, 108} With a thick 30-mm MIP slab orientated along the plane of the temporal lobes, the entire middle cerebral circulation can often be demonstrated on a single image, and proximal occlusions

can clearly be recognised. Similarly, coronal reconstruction can clearly demonstrate basilar artery occlusions (Figure 18).

Figure 16. NCCT (left) showing no clear hyperdensity of occluded left MCA (arrow). CTA (right) showing occluded left terminal ICA and MI MCA (arrow).



Figure 17. Axial 30-mm MIP CTA showing the MCA anatomy (left): terminal ICA (black arrow), MI MCA (white arrow), M2 MCA (grey arrows). Example case (right) of a left MI MCA occlusion.



Figure 18. Coronal CTA showing normal terminal basilar artery anatomy (left) and acute occlusion (right, arrow).



The caudal extent of CTA coverage should extend to the aortic arch to ensure that cervical arterial steno-occlusive disease and aortic arch anatomy can be characterised – both important considerations for MT, aiding the INR in decision-making regarding route of access, equipment choices, anaesthetic approach, and the need for adjunctive treatments such as carotid angioplasty or stenting (see Figure 12). In our experience, it is useful to image the distal extent of the thrombus to guide endovascular planning, but patients with proximal occlusion should be considered for MT regardless of clot load.

Core infarct estimation and collateral flow

In proximal LAO, collateral vessels preserve viable tissue and can potentially extend the time window for re-canalisation. The extent of core infarct following arterial occlusion is inversely related to collateral status and dependent on collateral flow,¹⁰⁹ so infarct volume at a specified time post-ictus can vary widely between patients.¹¹⁰ Core infarct volume at presentation can help to predict outcomes: those patients with large core infarct volumes >70 ml often fail to achieve independence at follow-up despite endovascular re-canalisation¹¹¹ and may be at higher risk of reperfusion haemorrhage.¹¹² Estimation of core infarct and collateral flow can be gleaned from CTA interpretation.

Hypodensity on CTA-SI demonstrates regions of non-enhancement and represents a form of perfusion imaging. As with NCCT, ASPECTS can be estimated from CTA-SI. When read from CTA-SI, ASPECTS shows better inter-reader agreement and is more accurate in the early stages of infarction.¹¹³ Additionally, CTA-SI ASPECTS shows better correlation with baseline stroke severity and infarct expansion.¹¹⁴ However, care should be taken in interpretation, as very early-phase CTA may overestimate these changes, and this could impact adversely on patient selection.¹¹⁵ A version of ASPECTS for the posterior circulation using CTA-SI has also been devised.¹¹⁶

Collateral status can be estimated using thick 30-mm axial MIP images from CTA source data. Numerous studies have shown that favourable collateral scores are associated with favourable clinical outcomes, and, conversely, poor collaterals often coincide with poor clinical outcomes.¹¹⁷ Various grading systems have been devised, but a simple, commonly used system is a scale of 0–3, where 0 is assigned to no

collateral filling, I to collateral filling <50%, 2 to collateral filling >50% and <100%, and 3 to 100% collateral filling.¹¹⁷ A relatively simple imaging marker is a malignant collateral profile,¹¹⁸ where almost no collateral vessels are seen, which correlates well with a large core infarct (Figure 19).

It is becoming clearer that baseline CTA collaterals are a robust determinant of final clinical outcome.¹¹⁹ Patients with poorer collaterals show less benefit from MT, and collateral status has potential to select patients at different intervals after onset; however, its utility is dependent on the phase of imaging. Poor collateral status has been used to exclude patients from clinical trials.⁹ Multiphase CTA improves prognostication.⁹⁵

The ability of collateral vessels to preserve tissue integrity could be guided by the filling of cortical veins. In a recent *post-hoc* analysis of MR CLEAN data,¹²⁰ patients with acute MCA stroke with absence of cortical vein opacification in the affected hemisphere seemed to have no benefit from MT, whereas patients with venous opacification did benefit. However, given the *post-hoc* nature of this analysis, cortical vein opacification cannot yet be recommended as a means of patient selection and requires further exploration as a prognostic predictor.

Figure 19. Two patients with right MI MCA occlusions but very different collateral profiles. Collateral flow approximating to the normal left side (left) and malignant collateral profile in the right hemisphere (right).



Conclusion

Mechanical thrombectomy for stroke requires additional imaging for patient selection. A combination of NCCT and CTA represents the most appropriate combination to deliver this and is very likely to remain sufficient in early presenters. The relatively low levels of MT in the UK are, in part, due to lack of routine use of CTA in stroke imaging protocols in referring non-neuroscience centres. A number of solutions exist to aid better implementation of the necessary imaging, but most are underpinned by the need for resourcing and appropriate training in image interpretation so that patient selection for MT can be reliably supported around the clock.

The role of multiphase CTA or CTP in addition to standard NCCT/CTA remains unclear in early-presenting patients and requires further research. For late presenters and wake-up stroke, if we accept that acute MRI is unlikely to provide the necessary imaging capacity in the UK, either CT-based approach may be used up to 6–12 hours. Beyond 12 hours, current evidence only supports CTP-based triage. In the longer term, implementation of advanced imaging tools will be required in addition to NCCT/CTA.

6. Implementation of mechanical thrombectomy: lessons from implementation of primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST segment elevation myocardial infarction

Jim McLenachan

Key points

- Continuous data monitoring, of both process and outcome measures, is essential to demonstrate that the treatment is benefitting patients and providing cost-effective care.
- All centres offering a new emergency interventional treatment should have a plan that ensures patients will be able to access this treatment on a 24/7/365 basis within a finite time period (e.g. two years).
- · Co-ordinated regional networks were critical to the successful implementation of reconfigured services for PPCI.
- Regular communications, and regular meetings, allow newer centres to move quickly up the learning curve and to adopt best practice.

Standard treatment for patients presenting with STEMI throughout the late 1980s and early 1990s was IVT. Three papers published in a single edition of the *New England Journal of Medicine* in 1993 suggested that immediate percutaneous balloon coronary angioplasty (later renamed 'primary percutaneous coronary intervention') was a more effective treatment than IVT– the standard of care at that time.¹²¹⁻¹²³ By the mid 1990s, small numbers of patients were being treated with PPCI, but the general assumption was that the inherent delay in transferring patients to PPCI-capable hospitals, followed by the time to perform the procedure, would offset any potential benefit of PPCI for most patients. Logistically, PPCI as the default treatment for STEMI was just too difficult.

In 2003, an updated meta-analysis showed that immediate PPCI, when feasible, was superior to IVT in reducing mortality, reducing stroke, and, importantly, shortening hospital stay.¹²⁴ The same year, the UK Prime Minister's Delivery Unit conducted a review of national policy for treatment of heart attack, which recommended that the Department of Health develop a clear policy for expanding PPCI and draw conclusions on the feasibility of national implementation of the service.¹²⁵

Clinical enthusiasm for PPCI, particularly in London, meant that some hospitals had started offering the service in an *ad-hoc* way. Discussions between the Department of Health and the BCS resulted in the 'National Infarct Angioplasty Project'. This was not a randomised trial but a feasibility study to determine whether PPCI was a practical treatment for STEMI patients in a UK NHS setting. Six major PPCI centres

in England enrolled 2,245 patients between April 2005 and April 2006. The study was published in 2008,¹²⁵ with many of the study's findings used to inform subsequent implementation of PPCI to the rest of the country.

The Department of Health and professional societies (BCS and BCIS) provided strong support for implementation of PPCI as the new standard of care for STEMI.¹²⁶ At the time (around 2008), a strong network system comprising 29 cardiac networks in England was under the leadership of NHS Improvement (an entirely separate organisation to the current organisation called NHS Improvement). In general, each cardiac network comprised one or more tertiary cardiac centres and a number of DGHs. A national clinical lead and a national improvement lead were appointed to facilitate the implementation over a three-year period.

Implementation by cardiac networks

Regional differences in population density and travel time to the nearest PPCI centre meant that different regions of England needed to evolve different services. Implementation of the PPCI strategy through the cardiac networks allowed for bespoke local solutions to the challenges raised by the implementation of PPCI.

Networks were encouraged to be consistent in their implementation plans in a number of areas (Box I), but different networks in some areas took different approaches according to their experience, resources and geography.

Who should make the diagnosis?

Some areas of the country had already invested in a system for telemetry of the ECG from the ambulance to the receiving hospital to support pre-hospital thrombolysis. Several areas continued with ECG telemetry to support PPCI. In most areas, however, the PPCI centre agreed to accept paramedics' interpretation of the ECG.

Repatriation or not?

A strategy of PPCI reduced the median hospital stay from 5–7 days to 3–4 days. In some hospitals, the patient remained in the PPCI centre for the duration of their inpatient stay. In other centres, the patient was transferred back to their local hospital after the procedure – often at 6 hours. This meant that a link was established between the patient and their local hospital in terms of further investigation, secondary prevention and initiation of cardiac rehabilitation.

Box I. Areas in which consistent approaches to national implementation of PPCI were recommended.

- Diagnosis should be based on standard and widely-accepted ECG criteria together with the clinical presentation.
- Pre-hospital (ambulance) diagnosis with direct admission to the catheterisation laboratory ('cath lab') was the preferred route of access. Travel through an ED at either a DGH or the PPCI centre introduced significant delays that offset the benefit of the PPCI strategy. This had been clearly demonstrated during NIAP, in which transit through ED prolonged the call-to-balloon time by an average of 54 minutes compared with the patient being taken directly to the cath lab by the ambulance service.
- The service had to be 24/7. It was recognised that this was not always possible immediately, but there should be a plan to gear up to 24/7 within a defined period.
- Time standards (call-to-balloon time and door-to-balloon time) were agreed, monitored and reported to the national database (MINAP).

Implementation by geography or by hours of work?

With only one or two exceptions, networks started with a PPCI service that was limited either in time (8 am–5 pm or 8 am–8 pm) or in geography (immediate population first, then implementation to cover surrounding DGHs). Each network was encouraged to have a phased implementation over a finite period of time (usually I-2 years). For each DGH, setting up the initial service involved extensive

consultation and discussion with local cardiologists, cath lab, CCU, ED and rehabilitation staff and, most importantly, the ambulance service. Each time the catchment area was extended to include the population from another DGH, this series of meetings was repeated. For the PPCI centre, extending the catchment area was fairly straightforward and simply led to an increase in referrals. The major challenge to the PPCI centre was moving from limited hours to a 24/7/365 service, with all of the consequent implications for rotas, shifts and daytime working. For most cath lab staff, including cardiologists, in most PPCI centres, the full 24/7 service meant that they were likely to be working during the night and unavailable for normal work the following morning.

Contentious areas

A number of issues and discussion points occurred during the implementation.

Call-to-balloon time

This is defined as the time, in minutes, from the point at which the patient, or their carer, calls the ambulance service to the time when the occluded artery is identified and first instrumented, usually with a balloon or an aspiration catheter.

For both of the established treatments for STEMI – PPCI and IVT – the benefit of the treatment is reduced by delays to treatment. The relationship between the loss of benefit and time is complex and differs for the two modes of treatment. It also varies according to the site of infarct and the time since onset of symptoms. In practice, it was necessary to establish an expected upper limit for the call-to-balloon time; if it was likely that PPCI could not be performed within that time period, IVT was offered as an alternative treatment. The upper limit of the expected call-to-balloon time was chosen as 150 minutes. Some people felt that this was 'pushing' PPCI, because the ESC had set an upper limit of 120 minutes; however, their definition was from the time of 'first medical contact' to balloon time. As a compromise, an average of 30 minutes between calling the ambulance service and 'first medical contact' was felt to be reasonable, hence the 150-minute standard.

Institutional activity

Prior to implementation, guidance about levels of institutional activity and infrastructure was produced by the BCIS and last updated in 2016.¹²⁷ The guidance
specified that:

- services must be 24/7
- must have two adjacent cath labs
- must do a minimum of 150 PPCI procedures per annum
- all PCI operators should participate in a PPCI rota
- all operators should perform at least 20 PPCI procedures per annum.

However, in reality, the BCIS guidance, while laudable, was not enforced, and decisions regarding implementation were made without adhering strictly to these recommendations. Many centres with anomalous low PPCI activity have continued unchanged ever since.

Loss of local services

One of the greatest hurdles in the implementation of a national 24/7 PPCI service was the perception that local services were being removed. Cardiology had seen two decades of decentralisation – diagnostic coronary angiography, pacemaker implantation and coronary angioplasty had all started out as tertiary centre-only procedures but had all moved out to the larger DGHs. The DGHs had invested in staff and equipment, often with local charitable and press support. Primary percutaneous coronary intervention was sometimes then seen as recentralisation of clinical services, with the role of the local CCU being downgraded. It was important to explain that the PPCI strategy would save lives, even if the treatment was delivered later than the time at which local IVT could have been given.

24/7 service

A number of hospitals with a growing PPCI service wished to provide a limitedhours PPCI service (anything from 9 am–5 pm to 8 am–8 pm Monday–Friday) but were unable to provide a 24/7 PPCI service. In general, this was not the preferred operating model; the ambulance services, in particular, were keen that the referral pathway was the same regardless of the time of day or the day of the week. Nevertheless, some networks evolved workable solutions. In the southwest of England, centres in Bath, Swindon and Cheltenham provided daytime PPCI, while Bristol provided 24/7 PPCI to its local population and out-of-hours PPCI to the surrounding areas. In the southeast, Eastbourne and Hastings operated an alternate-week PPCI service. Although originally intended as short-term compromises, these have, in reality, persisted ever since, with little apparent appetite on the part of the commissioners to pursue further rationalisation.

What went well?

Cardiac network support

The cardiac network system, with overarching co-ordination by NHS Improvement and the Department of Health, was critical to the successful implementation of PPCI. It allowed clinicians, managers and commissioners to meet together under one roof to establish patient pathways that focussed not only on making the diagnosis and delivering the initial interventional treatment but also on length of stay, repatriation, discharge planning and rehabilitation. The network system also allowed individual networks to reach bespoke solutions that were appropriate for their local geography and their local hospital and ambulance providers. This also ensured that pre-existing local skills and services were recognised and used to best advantage. This included, in different areas, recognition of the ECG interpretation skills of paramedic personnel and the use of ECG telemetry between the ambulance and the hospital. The result was that different networks had different implementation rates.

Figure 20 shows the change from IVT to PPCI as the default treatment for STEMI in England by quarter between 2008 and 2011. Of those patients receiving reperfusion therapy, only 39% received PPCI during the second quarter of 2008. By the first quarter of 2011, this had risen to just over 90% (equivalent to 16,500 patients per annum) (MINAP data, personal communication). The graph gives the impression of a steady and orderly change in treatment; however, this was achieved in different ways in different networks. East Midlands, which had more than one PPCI centre, achieved the change in stepwise fashion as the centres took on larger areas of the population. In contrast, the Kent cardiac network, which had a single PPCI centre at Ashford, effectively switched from IVT to PPCI on I April 2010.

Figure 20. Change in treatment for STEMI in England by 2008–11 for those patients receiving reperfusion therapy (3-monthly datapoints) (MINAP data, personal communication).



Continuous data collection

Cardiology was fortunate that there was already a functioning system for national data collection that covered both myocardial infarction (MINAP) and PPCI (BCIS). A number of fields were added to the PPCI database to allow identification of all PPCI procedures and collection of data on time of onset of chest pain, call time, door time and balloon time. These data were then used to report on the performance of PPCI. However, hospital episode statistics data for STEMI were unreliable due to coding inaccuracies, obliging commissioners to rely on MINAP and BCIS data.

Communications strategy

A number of papers were published during the implementation phase. The most important of these was the Department of Health's NIAP report, *Treatment of heart attack national guidance. Final report of the National Infarct Angioplasty Project (NIAP)*', in 2008.¹²⁵ However, three additional smaller reports published by NHS Improvement between 2009 and 2012 all probably helped to galvanise doctors, managers and commissioners into activity:¹²⁸⁻¹³⁰

- A guide to implementing primary angioplasty
- National roll-out of primary PCI for patients with ST segment elevation myocardial infarction: an interim report

 Growth of primary PCI for the treatment of heart attack patients in England 2008– 2011: the role of the cardiac networks.

During the implementation period from 2008 to 2012, sessions were held each year at the annual national meetings of both the BCS and the BCIS to provide frequent updates of the PPCI implementation in the 29 cardiac networks. These were generally well received and created and sustained a sense of urgency.

What we learned from implementation

Sustainability

Providing a 24/7 service is onerous. National staff shortages mean that the burden may be felt even more by nursing, physiology and radiography staff than by medical staff. Clear guidance is needed about on-call hours and appropriate rest periods if staff are called in during the night. It is likely that there will be consequences for daytime capacity.

Sick patients with alternative diagnoses

Patients are sometimes referred along the PPCI pathway and found to have an alternative diagnosis. This may be cardiac (aortic dissection or pericarditis) or non-cardiac (pneumonia or sepsis). Clear pathways are essential so that these patients are transferred to an appropriate clinical area quickly and safely.

Increasing numbers of referrals

As the PPCI service has evolved, so the threshold for referral has fallen. Perhaps understandably, EDs are less confident about diagnosing pericarditis or 'high takeoff' on the ECG without referring the patient for angiography. The number of PPCI procedures has remained fairly constant over the past decade (at around 350–450 per million population), but the number of referrals into the pathway has risen. In some centres, the ratio of referrals to definite STEMI is approaching 2:1.

Unconscious patients

The number of patients who survive an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is increasing. In the past, such patients, if still unconscious, would be admitted to the ITU of their nearest hospital. However, these patients are often now referred along the PPCI pathway and taken to the regional PPCI centre. If they survive but remain unconscious, they will either require admission to the ITU of the PPCI centre or will require paramedic transfer – still ventilated and with an anaesthetist or intensivist – to their local hospital's ITU. This patient cohort has been an unanticipated consequence of highly successful out-of-hospital resuscitation and of the evolution of the PPCI service; it provides an enormous challenge to intensive treatment services, with consequent effects on major surgical specialties that require ITU beds for elective work.

Conclusion

Between 2008 and 2011, the default treatment for patients presenting with STEMI changed from IVT to PPCI. In the second quarter of 2008, just 39% of patients were treated with PPCI, and this had risen to 90% by the first quarter of 2011. This was achieved through the cardiac network structure, supported by substantial centrally funded investment from NHS Improvement and the Department of Health. Some of the issues, such as providing a 24/7 service and the referral criteria, were

universally accepted; other aspects of the service, such as whether or not patients were immediately repatriated to their local hospital, were decided locally. Collection of data on numbers of patients treated with PPCI, numbers of patients given IVT, process measures, such as call-to-balloon times and door-to-balloon times, and the annual publication of these data have been essential to driving continuous service improvement. Critically, successful implementation of PPCI depended heavily on strong national policy leadership provided by the then National Clinical Director (Sir Roger Boyle) and his deputy (Professor Huon Gray) together with a well-established system of cardiac networks. In recent years, with reduced resources in the networks and reduced direct access to political leaders for the National Clinical Director, the pace of quality improvement has slackened and residual issues in some areas have been left unresolved. It remains to be seen if, without a similar degree of policy direction and central resource in a reorganised NHS, a similar degree of success can be achieved for stroke service reconfiguration.

7. Establishing a 24/7 interventional neuroradiology service to deliver hyperacute stroke care: core elements of the project and lessons learned

Sanjeev Nayak

Key points

- Setting up a 24/7 MT service requires a change of institutional mindset.
- Many perceived barriers and issues with establishing the service can be overcome through collaborative working within a regional network.
- Co-ordination with ambulance services is essential, with agreement of a 'critical code' to expedite transfers from outlying referral centres.
- High-quality, post-MT procedure care is essential to achieve successful patient outcomes.

A 24/7 MT service was established at the University Hospital of North Staffordshire NHS Trust (now called University Hospitals of North Midlands NHS Trust) in January 2010. This chapter describes how this service was established and some lessons that may be of use to other healthcare providers setting up a similar service.

Establish a multi-professional and patient project group

A working group was formed from a range of healthcare professionals across the trust, including interventional neuroradiology, stroke, anaesthesia, intensive care and ED teams. The working group developed the case for MT and presented it to the trust's clinical governance committee and the trust board for approval.

The patient inclusion criteria and care pathways for the proposed service were defined and agreed at an early stage, which allowed practical issues such as organising staff, determining referral pathways to be mapped out, and potential problems to be resolved. Integrating opinions and input from all working group participants was complex and required time and negotiation.

Another important feature that contributed to the success of this project was the development of patient partnerships. Patients were contacted by the healthcare teams responsible for their care pathways and also via support groups to recruit them as 'stroke champions', sitting in on project meetings and negotiations with commissioners.

Information from patients and clinicians on their experiences of using the new MT service and patient outcomes was regularly reviewed to ensure that feedback on

patient experience was included and that high standards of care were maintained. This regular feedback was a key factor that facilitated early adoption of MT into routine practice.

Identify funding

The local CCG provided full funding to the trust for this service in 2010, although the service had started on a case-by-case basis in late 2009. This was due to the positive feedback received from patients and clinicians, coupled with early clinical successes. The CCG agreed to pay per procedure, with an approved coding and costing based on L712 HRG tariff for complex percutaneous transluminal embolectomy of an artery: £10,258 at the time. The funding allowed the MT treatment service for severe strokes to be offered on a 24/7 basis to local patients.

Organise staff teams

The interventional neuroradiology service was delivered by a core MDT that was available 24/7: INR, stroke consultant, radiographer, nurse (interventional vascular radiology), anaesthetist (major trauma rota), and ODP (major trauma team).

Agreement for 24/7 service provision from involved teams was achieved through numerous meetings and personal discussion with anaesthetists, interventional radiology nurses and radiographers. The MT service had to be integrated into the vascular interventional radiology service for major trauma to achieve 24/7 anaesthetist and interventional radiology nurse support and into the major trauma service to achieve comprehensive 24/7 funded cover and service, which was initially achieved through the major trauma contract. Incentives such as hourly rate payment

for call-outs, additional programmed activity payments and flexible working in job plans were offered and agreed after discussions with teams.

Staff rota

The level of staffing, shown in Box 2, required additional funding from the trust.

Anaesthetic service

A standard operating procedure was agreed for patients who were admitted following a stroke. Based on emergency theatre prioritisation codes, stroke patients were classified as requiring 'immediate transfer to theatre'.

Anaesthetist rota

A rota was set up to ensure that an anaesthetist was available at all times (Box 3).

Anaesthetic procedure pathway

The anaesthetist completes a pre-operative assessment and takes handover from the ED nurse/stroke team – or the paramedic team if the patient is arriving from an out-of-area hospital. Patients are first seen in the ED before transfer to the interventional radiology suite. The choice of anaesthetic depends on the individual case after discussion with the anaesthetist, stroke team and INR, with 60% of patients receiving general anaesthesia and the remainder conscious sedation. General anaesthesia is recommended for patients with agitation, reduced GCS,

Box 2. 24/7 multidisciplinary team care rota

- Eight band 6 nurses trained in initial assessment for thrombolysis as well as recruitment for research studies. Each nurse works on a day shift (7.30 am-8.00 pm) or night shift (8 pm-7.30 am)
- I-in-6 MT local stroke physician rota Additional stroke physicians are also available in the region, providing separate 24-hour cover for five regional hospitals on a 1-in-12 rotational basis
- INR available to provide 24/7 MT cover and weekend aneurysm coiling on a 1-in-3 basis
- A consultant neurological anaesthetist is available on call on a 1-in-7 basis (see Box 3)
- Two anaesthetic ODPs from the trauma team also assist with MT on a 1:8 rota
- Team of radiographers and nurses from the vascular/general interventional and neurointerventional service provision are available (see Box 4)
- 30-bed stroke unit, including 12-bed HASU and ITU facilities

nausea and vomiting, large dominant hemisphere stroke or posterior circulation stroke. Rapid sequence intubation is used for all non-fasted patients. Standard monitoring procedure includes ECG, pulse oximetry, end-tidal CO_2 and arterial BP – measured non-invasively every 3 minutes. The interventionist can provide access for BP measurements via the femoral sheath. Irrespective of the anaesthetic technique, the goal is to minimise any time delay and maintain haemodynamic control, with systolic BP between 140 and 180 mmHg. Patients undergoing MT often also require urinary catheterisation, active warming, and fluid balance care to prevent fluid overload.

After MT, patients are observed for a short period in the anaesthetic recovery area before being transferred to the HASU. If any clinical instability is observed, the patient is instead transferred to the ITU.

Interventional radiographer and nursing services

Box 4 shows the INR and nursing rota set up to ensure service continuity.

Diagnostic imaging

The imaging department at the hospital site delivering MT has three MRI scanners and four CT scanners. Advanced stroke imaging, including CTP with core volume/penumbra assessment or MR DWI core volume assessment, is undertaken in selected patients: those outside the 6-hour symptom-to-presentation window and patients with unknown onset time, low ASPECTS, high NIHSS scores (>24), low GCS, or poor collaterals on CTA. The use of CTP has been routine since 2018 following publication of the DAWN and DEFUSE-3 trials.

Box 3. Anaesthetic rota

- 8 am–7.45 pm Monday to Friday, one consultant neurological anaesthetist on site to ensure care for all neurointerventional/MT patients
- Out-of-hours (7.45 pm-8 am on weekdays and all weekend), first port of call is the RAC, who also co-ordinates the anaesthetic team. The RAC is supported by the consultant neurological anaesthetist (1-in-7 rota), who is also responsible for covering neurological theatre emergencies
- Consultant neuro-anaesthetists provide out-of-hours emergency cover in neurosurgery, as well as the interventional neuroradiology/MT service

Box 4. INR and nursing rota

- Theatre suites are staffed 8 am-6 pm, Monday to Friday, with a minimum of two nurses, one healthcare assistant, two radiographers and one INR
- Out-of-hours, the on-call service comprises one nurse, one radiographer and one INR.
 After completing the daytime shift, staff remain on call from 6 pm until 8 am the next day
- The radiographers and nurses also cover the vascular and neurointerventional radiology units and provide support to the nine-bed day unit
- The interventional vascular and neurointerventional service is supported by 10.8 WTE radiographers and 20.5 WTE nurses (bands 5 and 6). Out-of-hours, radiographers work on a 1:7 rota and nurses on a 1:12 rota
- An additional informal 'back-up' team of nurses and radiographers is available, if necessary, to cover MT cases if the first team is involved in another vascular or neurological emergency. If they are called in after 10 pm Monday–Thursday, they are allocated compensatory rest the following day, when staffing allows

Establish regional care pathways

Networks were set up to link each stroke unit with the regional neuroscience centre in order to provide an emergency specialist review service for local brain imaging via electronic links. Emergency transport networks (including repatriation) were also set up for patients who require treatment. Referral protocols were devised to ensure appropriate care (Box 5).

Ambulance service

Early discussions were carried out with the West Midlands Ambulance Service in order to agree protocols for patient transfer and repatriation, including introduction of a 'critical code' for patient transfer.

Establish treatment pathway

To optimise clinical benefits, it is critical to complete MT as soon as possible after the onset of stroke. To achieve this, patients are triaged in the ED and assessed by the stroke team to identify those who meet the clinical criteria for MT eligibility (NIHSS >5 and pre-stroke mRS <3) prior to having imaging with NCCT/CTA.

Box 5. Referral protocols

- Agreed protocols for regional DGHs developed through the heart and stroke network
- · Clear care pathways for referrals during working hours and out-of-hours
- 'Drip-and-ship' service for patients, with nursing escort
- Out-of-area protocol established e.g. for referrals from adjacent drip-and-ship sites

Organisation of the team and preparation

Once the decision has been made to proceed to MT, the pathway below is followed to ensure rapid organisation and preparation of the team.

- The senior stroke clinician informs the INR and discusses suitability for MT.
- Next of kin, where available, are asked to remain on site for consent/queries (or telephone contact is established).
- A senior stroke or ED clinician immediately contacts the anaesthetist and ODP via switchboard using pagers.
- The INR informs the radiographer and scrub nurse of the procedure, and the necessary equipment is set up.
- The stroke team informs the HASU to arrange a bed for the patient after MT.

Regional thrombectomy protocol

Mechanical thrombectomy should allow reperfusion ideally within 6 hours of stroke onset or as appropriate based on CTP findings. In practice, most eligible patients arrive in the neuroscience centre within 6 hours of the stroke. Those arriving late are considered for MT after assessment with CTP. Figure 21 shows the regional thrombectomy protocol for MT.

Exit pathways

Repatriation to referring HASU

Within the first 24 hours after MT intervention, the stroke service co-ordinator identifies the appropriate local HASU. The repatriation paperwork is completed, along with a medical and nursing assessment regarding the patient's suitability for transfer. Patients are repatriated to their local HASU within 24 hours of the decision to transfer between 9 am and 5 pm, whenever possible, with a 7-day repatriation policy agreed with all regional hospitals in the hub-and-spoke referral pathway. This means that they are expected to have returned to their local HASU within 24 hours of the decision to transfer them – and always within 72 hours.





Patients deemed unsuitable for treatment or whose symptoms have resolved upon arrival for MT are transferred back to the local HASU by ambulance without admission. Patient must be medically stable, and a verbal and written handover is completed.

Discharge from CSC to patient's home

When possible, patients are discharged directly from the CSC to their home or to their local ESD team. These patients are discharged with a personalised therapy and rehabilitation plan.

Discharge from CSC to hospice/palliative care

Patients requiring palliative care after MT with expected 3–4 weeks' lifespan are discharged to their local hospice or one agreed upon with their carer or family. We have an arrangement with the palliative care team, who assesses the patient and make arrangements with a palliative care bed in their local hospice, as long as a bed is available.

Follow up

All local stroke patients are followed up in outpatient setting at 6 weeks after discharge. For those undergoing MT, a 3-month follow-up clinic is arranged for local patients, while out-of-region patients are followed up in their local stroke units, with mRS/follow-up performed by telephone at 6 months. Box 6 shows current audit outcome measures.

Box 6. Key audit and outcome measures after MT

- Treatment-related mortality
- 30-day post-treatment mortality
- Disability at 6 months (mRS)
- Disease/procedure-related complications, such as sICH
- Disease-associated complications (e.g. lower respiratory tract infections and urinary infections from SSNAP)
- Time from onset to MT
- Time from onset to arrival at MT centre
- Time from arrival to arterial puncture
- Time from arterial puncture to MT

Promote mechanical thrombectomy service pathways

We used a website to raise awareness of the MT service, promote referral pathways, and share expertise and information with fellow healthcare professionals (e.g. <u>www.stroke-in-stoke.info/</u>). We developed innovative digital teaching methods with industry support. These online learning resources provide specialist training to help referring physicians interpret radiological images and identify patients with LAO. Since the launch of the MT service, consultant stroke physician training has resulted in a 20–30% increase in the number of patients being referred to us for MT from regional hospitals. We also run annual regional 'Stroke Alert' courses for stroke doctors, anaesthetists, and ambulance crews to develop the stable infrastructure necessary for the delivery of our regional service. As a result of these initiatives, we have expanded our service from a local population of 666,000 people to a wider regional catchment of 2.5 million.

Evaluate patient outcomes

Monitor and disseminate audit data

A database of stroke patients treated with MT was created to evaluate clinical effectiveness and safety. We have integrated this database into our website, so other partner NHS organisations are able to access this via a secure, password-protected portal. The audit data provided local evidence to confirm the benefits of MT in our patient series. In addition, data about all of the patients who access the MT service are also entered into the SSNAP database.

Patient outcomes

An analysis published in March 2016 by NICE based on our data identified that functional independence, mortality rates, median hospital stay (for patients who undergo MT), and discharge to home were all substantially improved. The analysis also predicted an annual saving of £2.4 million as a result of reduced hospital stays and savings from ongoing social care costs.¹³¹ Between 2010 and 2018 (Table 5), we have treated more than 500 patients with MT with improved outcomes.

Lessons learned

In our experience, the two main problems with regard to implementation of a 24/7 MT service are the current shortage of INRs and fear that a sudden increase in the number of patients requiring MT will overwhelm the system. We believe that the latter fear is unfounded. There should be buy-in from all involved teams and parties for the project to be successful, and this requires passionate and motivated team members and leaders. Involving physicians and other team members from our surrounding DGHs and ambulance personnel through our regional educational and social meetings helped boost activity, with a significant increase in our MT numbers. However, it is our experience that MT patient numbers take time to grow. It took about three years for our MT service to be fully utilised and for the referral pathways to develop. As referral numbers built up gradually, this allowed more interventional radiologists/neuroradiologists to be trained in the specialist skills necessary to deliver MT.

The implementation of workflow streamlining improved care delivery times and reduced delays for patients eligible for MT. The application of time-critical measures, including pre-hospital notification, rapid transfer from arrival at the ED to imaging in the CT scanner immediately followed by IVT, and mobilisation of the neurointervention team in parallel with the thrombolysis team, all contributed to reductions in delays. There is a need to develop modern treatment suites where patient assessment, CT scanners and cath lab are located in the same vicinity.

Table 5. Improvements in patient outcomes following introduction of theMT service.

Outcome	2010	2018
mRS ≤2 (%)	20	50
I-year mortality (%)	50	17
Median hospital stay (days)	90	14
Discharged home (%)	30	90

A well-functioning and co-ordinated ambulance service is necessary to ensure that patients are transferred rapidly to centres that provide MT. The agreement of a critical code for inter-hospital transfer significantly reduces transfer time, and it may be necessary to engage air ambulance services to ensure rapid patient transfer from more remote areas.

Ongoing issues to be resolved

The current tariff for MT only funds the procedure itself. At the time of writing, no central funding is available to support additional infrastructure such as new angiographic equipment, to provide more beds in ITU or HASU, or to employ the extra staff required to fully deliver MT services. We recommend that the Department of Health should take action to provide additional, ring-fenced funding to support these necessary transition changes and costs.

The most successful outcome for stroke treatment depends on appropriate care being given promptly after the onset of stroke. Further delays occur in hospital between the time the patient arrives and the start of MT treatment. Some of these delays are related to slow hospital procedures and policies and delays in ambulance transfers. Care systems thus need to be created to facilitate fast and efficient triage of patients with AIS to centres capable of providing comprehensive medical, endovascular, and surgical care and post-intervention management. Such care systems can learn from those already used to manage major trauma and STEMI.

Conclusion

In 2010, our trust was the first in the UK to implement a commissioned 24/7 MT service and since then we have treated more than 500 patients. This was achieved by redesigning our acute stroke treatment pathways within the trust and across the region. Communication has been key throughout this project, and it could not have worked without the co-operation of many specialties. However, the real challenge for successful implementation of a MT service is to change current thinking and mindset at an institutional level.

National delivery will require a similar change in mindset, together with reorganisation of current stroke services in the UK, substantial investment in staffing and equipment, refinement of care pathways, and extensive co-operation between ambulance services and hospitals.

Additional contributors to this chapter

- Dr Albin Augustine, Clinical Lead in Neuroanaesthesia, Royal Stoke University Hospital, Stoke
- Mrs Caroline Burrows, Interventional Modality Team Leader and Radiographer, Royal Stoke University Hospital, Stoke
- Mrs Maria Hall, Superintendent Radiographer Interventional/Neuro Radiology, Royal Stoke University Hospital, Stoke
- Dr Rohit Mittal, Consultant Neuroanaesthetist, Royal Stoke University Hospital, Stoke
- Dr Indira Natarajan, Consultant Stroke Physician and Clinical Director of Neurosciences, Royal Stoke University Hospital, Stoke

8. Lessons from the implementation of intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischaemic stroke

Gary Ford and Martin James

Key points

- Regional clinical networks provided clinical leadership and managerial support and were key to the development of local implementation plans and sharing best practice to deliver IVT.
- Working with ambulance services and ED teams was critical to improving recognition of stroke and expediting the emergency response.
- Protocol-driven access to urgent brain CT imaging was critical to reducing door-to-needle time for IVT.
- Development of teams to deliver around-the-clock IVT services required multiple specialties to support on-call rotas.
- Increasing public awareness and support from patient groups and charities was important in endorsing the service transformation necessary to deliver regional stroke services.
- In some large metropolitan areas, rates of IVT did not improve until services were centralised.

In 1995, the pivotal North American NINDS study reported that IVT with alteplase within 3 hours of onset of ischaemic stroke improved outcomes, with a 12% absolute reduction in disability 90 days following stroke.¹³² Although some UK sites had participated in previous trials of streptokinase in AIS, NHS services and the Department of Health had no plan or strategy for the implementation of an acute stroke therapy requiring immediate expert clinical review and CT brain scanning. Thrombolysis was a disruptive innovation that was challenging to incorporate into existing care pathways for stroke. Hence it generated a conservative reaction from some quarters on both sides of the Atlantic who were resistant to moving from a pathway where stroke patients admitted to EDs were given a low priority for assessment and the consultant stroke input to many acute hospital stroke services comprised only two or three weekly ward rounds to a 24/7 service capable of delivering IVT. Not until 2012, 17 years after the publication of the NINDS trial, were all hospitals in England that received acute stroke patients delivering IVT and 11% of all ischaemic stroke admissions receiving alteplase. This chapter reviews the lessons from that journey that might be relevant to achieving implementation of MT across the NHS more rapidly than was achieved with IVT.

Key factors that influenced intravenous thrombolysis use in the UK

In 2003, the European Medicines Agency gave a provisional licence for alteplase in ischaemic stroke, which was necessary before many physicians would even consider using IVT. The publication in 2004 of the combined individual meta-analysis of trials

of alteplase provided a greater understanding of the time-dependent benefits of treatment.¹³³ A major factor that drove the reorganisation of acute stroke services was the 2005 National Audit Office report *Reducing brain damage: faster access to better stroke care*, which was highly critical of the Department of Health's approach to developing stroke services and its failure to support delivery of IVT in comparison to other countries.¹³⁴ The report projected the health gains from a 10% rate of alteplase use, which at the time was regarded as unfeasibly ambitious. This report was followed by publication of the 2006 Department of Health report *Mending hearts and brains*, which set out the case for reorganisation of acute cardiac and stroke services into fewer centres that could deliver 24/7 PPCI for acute MI (see Chapter 6) and IVT for acute stroke.¹²⁶ Although IVT rates increased only slightly between 2006 and 2008 (Figure 22), this report led those hospitals and clinicians who were not providing IVT to realise that acute stroke patients might in the future be redirected to other hospitals.

These developments led to the launch and publication of an English stroke strategy in 2007, which set clear objectives and standards to improve care across the stroke pathway, followed by similar policy initiatives in the devolved UK nations.¹³⁵ The 2007 strategy was delivered through the creation of clinical stroke networks, using the existing clinical cardiac network footprints. These networks covered populations of approximately 2 million people and funded regional clinical leadership supported by managers, who convened healthcare professionals and developed implementation plans to improve stroke services in their region, with a particular emphasis on the implementation of IVT. The networks benefited from a

Figure 22. Percentage of all stroke patients who were thrombolysed between 2004 and 2014 (data from National Sentinel Stroke Audit and the Stroke Improvement National Audit Project (SINAP)).¹³⁶



strong programme of public and patient engagement and the involvement of the voluntary sector, often via the Stroke Association.

The developments between 2005 and 2008 laid the foundations for the future delivery of IVT but saw only modest increases in treatment rates (see Figure 22).¹³⁶

The factors associated with a major change in the trajectory of increase were the favourable NICE technology appraisal of alteplase in 2007, the introduction of a tariff for alteplase, the National Stroke Strategy and the FAST stroke awareness campaign. Many clinicians used the absence of a NICE recommendation as a reason to defer making plans to introduce alteplase – the phenomenon of 'NICE blight'. The introduction of a stroke tariff helped networks create stronger business cases for providing 24/7 stroke specialist rotas and access to rapid CT imaging. The highly visible FAST campaign, with adverts on primetime TV and radio, increased public awareness of stroke and the importance of calling 999 in response to stroke symptoms and led to increased 999 call ambulance admissions and IVT treatment rates.¹³⁷ Consistent with experience of other health awareness campaigns, repeated campaigns were necessary to increase and maintain community awareness. Data on

IVT use in individual centres across the country from the National Sentinel Stroke Audit (and the Scottish Stroke Care Audit in Scotland) and SITS database reports were valuable in incentivising low-performing hospitals to make changes.

Major barriers to hospitals developing 24/7 thrombolysis services were the creation of stroke specialist rotas, which required persuading and training consultants without a major focus on acute stroke to participate in out-of-hours rotas and persuading and supporting radiology departments to provide 24/7 access to urgent NCCT brain imaging.

Creating a professional learning community

In 1998, three centres in Glasgow and Newcastle introduced 24/7 IVT protocols and started to treat small numbers of patients (10–20 per year at each centre) within three hours, fitting the NINDS trial criteria. These centres contributed cases to the SITS database, which collected real-world data on outcomes from IVT across Europe. In 2004, the UK national SITS leads, with approval from the BASP, established 'thrombolysis training days,' which were free-to-access meetings supported by an educational grant from the manufacturer of alteplase, with the content independent of industry. These were well attended by physicians, radiology and nursing staff who wished to develop IVT and shared practical experience of how to deliver an IVT service. In the late 2000s, once IVT was being provided in most hospitals, the meetings evolved into 'thrombolysis masterclasses', during which experience of managing challenging clinical cases was discussed. The stroke clinical networks played a major role in sharing experience across local regions.

Monitoring patient outcomes

Because of opposition to IVT from some groups, and as a condition of the European Medicines Agency's 2003 provisional licence for alteplase, most centres treating patients between 1998 and 2008 recorded patient demographics, processes of care, 3-month clinical outcomes and complications in the European SITS database. This allowed comparison of performance between centres and countries and was key to showing that the results achieved in clinical trials were replicated in real-world practice.¹³⁸ The National Sentinel Stroke Audit (covering England, Wales and Northern Ireland) provided more complete data on the number of patients treated but with less information on the processes of care.

Developing ambulance protocols to transport suspected stroke patients to centres able to deliver intravenous thrombolysis

In the 1990s, stroke patients were managed in a large number of hospitals that usually treated small numbers of patients – often fewer than 300 patients per year, with some centres managing fewer than 100 patients per year. At that time, no centre admitted more than 1,000 stroke patients per year. In 1997, Newcastle introduced a rapid ambulance protocol to redirect suspected acute stroke patients who were admitted to three hospitals with acute medical services to a single centre and introduced the FAST assessment to support paramedic identification of suspected stroke patients.^{139, 140} This early experience showed paramedic redirection protocols were effective at recognising acute stroke patients and bypassing hospitals without 24/7 organised stroke teams to admit patients early after stroke onset to hospitals capable of delivering IVT. These studies also showed that redirection could be achieved without overwhelming receiving stroke teams with large numbers of stroke mimics. Initial studies reported that 15–20% of suspected stroke patients had a stroke mimic at final diagnosis.

Centralisation of stroke services

As clinicians and managers considered how to develop sustainable 24/7 hyperacute stroke services, it became clear that, in urban areas, consolidation of acute stroke services to fewer hospitals enabled the creation and funding of 24/7 stroke specialist teams and higher volume units. Evidence also began to emerge of the positive association of institutional site and higher IVT treatment rates with more effective care pathways and rapid delivery of IVT.¹⁴¹ The most successful example of centralisation of stroke services was the reconfiguration of London stroke services in 2010. Chapter 9 describes in more detail the impact in London that occurred immediately after centralisation to eight HASUs and in Greater Manchester on clinical quality and the large increase in rates of IVT. This and similar service changes demonstrated the importance of establishing high-volume 'expert centres' as a means of increasing IVT treatment rates across large cities.

Outside of major urban centres, centralisation of acute stroke services was not considered practical or feasible, and telemedicine networks were established to

create 24/7 cross-organisational teams of stroke physicians able to staff a 24/7 rota and deliver IVT remotely and able to read NCCT images and assess patients by video link. These networks were successfully able to deliver IVT in hospitals where it was not feasible to provide 24/7 stroke specialist cover out of hours, with acceptable sICH complications and mimic treatment rates.¹⁴² However, such networks showed slower door-to-needle times compared with services using direct assessment. Reading of NCCT images supported by remote telephone assessment was also shown to be safe and feasible but, again, with slower door-toneedle times.¹⁴³ This indicates the potential role and limitations of remote decisionmaking support that might be used to help referring hospitals identify and transfer patients with LAO for MT.

Planning services to manage stroke mimics

Many early clinical service plans modelled bed and staff requirements on the number of confirmed stroke admitted to their hospital and failed to take account of the resources and pathways needed to also manage stroke mimics. As ambulance practice developed and the focus shifted to not missing suspected stroke patients, the proportion of mimics in 999-admitted strokes increased to more than 30%.¹⁴⁴ Suspected stroke diagnoses by ED and non-stroke specialists also had high proportions of mimics,¹³⁹ identifying the need to have clear clinical pathways for stroke mimics to minimise unnecessary admission to HASU beds. This led to the development of the ROSIER scale for use by ED physicians and nursing staff¹⁴⁵ in an attempt to reduce the number of unnecessary assessments in EDs by stroke teams.

The importance of speed and simplifying imaging pathways

When IVT was first introduced, many patients were treated close to the 3-hour time window, with slow access to brain imaging and careful decision-making. Average door-to-needle times in UK centres in the mid 2000s exceeded an hour in most centres. As clinicians became aware of the benefits of treating patients earlier, and became more confident in decision-making, the process of assessment of suspected stroke patients became an area of focus. In the 2000s, protocols for obtaining urgent brain NCCT out of hours frequently mandated discussion with and agreement from the on-call radiologist to perform the scan before a patient

was transferred to radiology for a scan, followed by imaging review and reporting by the radiologist. Most efficient IVT pathways now use pre-protocol-driven, nurserequested imaging, a checklist of eligibility for and contraindications to IVT, and image interpretation by the consultant stroke specialist for decision-making. The adoption of such practices resulted in a steady downward trend in door-to-needle times in the early years after European approval (Figure 23). Initially, delays in accessing immediate NCCT imaging meant UK door-to-needle times were on average 10 minutes slower than other European stroke services but eventually UK services developed quicker door-to-needle times.

International experience has shown that with well-organised systems of care, very short door-to-needle times can be achieved without impairing quality of clinical assessment and decision-making. Helsinki is an international exemplar, with door-to-needle times of less than 20 minutes using a 12-step model that has been implemented in other centres in different healthcare systems.¹⁴⁶ The Royal Melbourne Hospital reduced in-hours average door-to-needle times from 43 minutes to 25 minutes after introducing three key components of the Helsinki model: ambulance pre-notification with patient details alerting the stroke team to meet the patient on arrival; transfer of patients directly from triage onto the CT table on the ambulance stretcher; and delivery of alteplase in the CT room immediately after imaging.¹⁴⁷ Pre-notification of suspected stroke admissions by ambulance services to stroke teams has consistently been shown to be associated with more rapid treatment.

Services delivering MT will need to examine similarly the care pathway and use quality improvement approaches to minimise door-to-groin times. A further complexity with the delivery of MT is the need to develop rapid processes for secondary hospital transfers, which is discussed in Chapter 4.

One specialty alone could not deliver a national stroke service

In the 1990s, there was much debate about which medical specialty should manage acute stroke. Many neurologists considered that only they possessed the





knowledge and skills to diagnose stroke accurately, while geriatricians provided most care to stroke patients who required rehabilitation and were often participating in acute medical rotas, under which most patients with stroke were admitted. The clinicians who had developed acute stroke services and were early adopters of IVT had come from both geriatrics and neurology, with a small number from other specialties such as clinical pharmacology. The creation of stroke medicine as a medical subspecialty, with a defined curriculum including skills from both neurology and geriatrics, was a key development in delivering the necessary workforce and attracting trainees from a range of medical specialties able to deliver high-quality acute stroke care and deliver IVT.

Similar challenges face regional stroke networks and CSCs in reporting CTA imaging and developing sustainable 24/7 interventionist teams able to perform MT. There are insufficient INRs to provide 24/7 services across the 24 neuroscience centres commissioned to deliver MT and to interpret all CTA imaging undertaken in referring hospitals. As was the case for NCCT imaging and delivery of IVT, stroke specialists in spoke-referring hospitals will need to develop skills to interpret CTA to identify LAO and organise transfer to the CSC. Artificial intelligence developments in CTA analysis may assist physicians in this decision-making process.

Training of individuals from other interventional specialties to deliver MT will be necessary to provide sustainable teams of 5–6 operators. NHS England has recently introduced funding to support experienced centres train interventional radiologists to deliver MT.¹⁴⁸

Conclusion

The implementation of IVT for stroke faced many challenges and was met with opposition by different groups for many years because of the need for radical changes in the way stroke care was provided and the support required from radiology. Although the evidence base for MT is much clearer than was the case after the early trials of IVT, the clinical teams and imaging support required are

much more complex, and a number of professional groups oppose implementation of the service changes necessary to deliver MT, albeit less vocally than was the case for IVT. Valuable lessons can be drawn from the experience of implementing IVT to inform the future planning and delivery of MT services across the UK. These include breaking down professional barriers; training stroke specialists to interpret CTA imaging to make treatment and inter-hospital transfer decisions and remove delays in requiring radiologist interpretation; monitoring outcomes and complications over a long period and compared with European centres; working with ambulance and ED teams, increasing public awareness and engaging patients in service transformation plans; and creating multi-professional networks at a regional level.

9. Planning and implementing major system change in acute stroke services: lessons from London and Greater Manchester

Angus Ramsay, Stephen Morris and Naomi Fulop

Key points

- Service models should be designed so that all stroke patients have access to timely evidence-based care.
- Local change leaders should have sufficient authority to ensure all relevant stakeholders engage throughout the planning process.
- Meaningful clinical standards linked to financial incentives, including capital investment and additional transition funding, can help ensure services have sufficient capacity to deliver care.
- Sufficient operational capacity, e.g. from networks, can help facilitate timely implementation of change.
- A clear evaluation plan can help determine whether the planned objectives are achieved.

This chapter describes learning from the implementation of the centralisation of acute stroke services conducted in two large metropolitan areas in England: London (population 8.2 million) and Greater Manchester (2.7 million),¹⁴⁹ drawing out some reflections on how this might inform the approach to delivery of MT across England, which will require collaboration across stroke services within a region served by a centre delivering MT.

Although evidence exists on the impact of centralising acute stroke services on delivery of evidence-based care and patient outcomes,¹⁵⁰⁻¹⁵⁸ little is known about how centralisation of stroke services is led and implemented. Exceptions include research on implementing change in Ontario¹⁵⁹ and Denmark¹⁵⁰ and running integrated stroke systems in the USA.¹⁶⁰ We discuss how key factors identified in this other work applies.

Background: the drivers for centralisation

The centralisations implemented in London and Greater Manchester's stroke services in 2010 were driven by a potent combination of compelling evidence and policy ambition. The National Sentinel Stroke Audit for 2006 provided evidence of substantial variations in care, with many hospital stroke services performing less well than they had in 2004.¹⁶¹ There was a lack of 24/7 delivery of care, meaning only people presenting to certain hospitals within certain hours were receiving the right care at the right time – a 'postcode lottery'. There was, in particular, recognition of the need to improve access to IVT, which in 2006 was provided to less than 1% of ischaemic stroke patients.¹⁶¹

In 2007, the National Stroke Strategy recommended improvements across the whole stroke care pathway – from prevention through to long-term rehabilitation.¹³⁵ A key recommendation of the national strategy was that acute stroke care should, where appropriate, be centralised into a reduced number of services.¹³⁵ The recommendations noted evidence demonstrating how centralisations could be achieved, including prioritisation of organised stroke care,^{126, 134} rapid identification and transfer to stroke units by ambulance,^{134, 162} and potential contribution of 'hub-and-spoke' networks of stroke services.^{126, 135} However, there was limited evidence on the impact of centralising acute stroke services at scale and on how such major system changes should be implemented.

The changes: centralisation in London and Greater Manchester

The centralisations are summarised in Table 6. Before reconfiguration, patients with suspected stroke in both areas were taken to the nearest hospital with an ED and then admitted to a specialist stroke unit or general medical ward, with significant variation in quality of care. In 2010, both London and Greater Manchester implemented 'hub-and-spoke' models, with a small number of HASUs providing acute stroke care over the first hours following stroke, transferring stable patients to units providing ongoing acute rehabilitation care.¹⁶³

In London (Table 6), eight 24/7 HASUs were created, offering rapid access to imaging, specialist assessments, and treatment with IVT if appropriate. All suspected

 Table 6. Pre- and post-reconfiguration models in London and Greater

 Manchester. Adapted from Fulop et al (2019).¹⁶⁴



stroke patients within 48 hours of onset were eligible for treatment in a HASU, and HASUs were located such that all patients in London were within a 30-minute 'blue-light' ambulance journey. Once stable, patients were discharged to the community or transferred to one of 24 SUs providing acute rehabilitation closer to home. Through the changes, five hospital acute stroke services were decommissioned.¹⁶³

In Greater Manchester (Table 6), three HASUs were created (one operating 24/7 and two operating 'in hours', with 'out-of-hours' patients being transferred to the 24/7 HASU). The original plan had been for all patients to be transferred to a HASU, but, following resistance from local providers, a 'partial' centralisation was implemented: only stroke patients arriving at hospital within 4 hours of symptom onset and thereby potential candidates for IVT were eligible for treatment in a HASU. Therefore all services – three HASUs and 11 DSCs – still treated acute stroke patients, and no hospitals lost their stroke services.¹⁶³

Following a review that showed this system had not delivered the anticipated benefits, Greater Manchester further centralised acute stroke services in 2015 (Table 6). Through this, all suspected stroke patients were eligible for treatment in a HASU (in line with London), and the in-hours HASUs extended to a 7-day service, receiving patients between 7 am and 11 pm.¹⁶⁴

The impact of the changes

Figure 24 summarises the impacts of the service models implemented in London and Greater Manchester. The **London** centralisation resulted in significant reductions in patient mortality and length of hospital stay over and above those seen in the rest of England (with an estimated 96 additional deaths prevented per year)¹⁵⁷ and significantly higher likelihood of delivering evidence-based clinical interventions than elsewhere;¹⁵⁶ the changes were cost-effective through their impact on outcomes.¹⁶⁵ Follow-up analysis demonstrated that London's greater impact on care and outcomes compared to the rest of England was sustained through to 2016.^{158, 164} **Figure 24. Summary of findings in relation to framework for major system change.** Figure adapted from Fulop *et al* (2019).¹⁶⁴



Greater Manchester A had significantly reduced length of stay but no significant effect on patient mortality over and above the changes seen in the rest of England.¹⁵⁷ Although HASUs were more likely to deliver clinical interventions, only 39% of patients were treated in a HASU, resulting in Greater Manchester patients being no more likely to receive interventions than elsewhere.¹⁵⁶ The changes were cost-effective, mainly due to reduced costs through reduced length of stay.¹⁶⁵

Following further centralisation, **Greater Manchester B** had significant reductions in length of stay, a borderline significant reduction in mortality overall, and a significant reduction in mortality over and above the changes seen in the rest of England for the 86% of Greater Manchester patients treated in HASU; patients were also significantly more likely to receive clinical interventions than elsewhere.¹⁵⁸

In terms of **patient experience**, qualitative research suggested that patients and carers had positive experiences of centralised stroke care: although patients had concerns about not going to their nearest hospital, they prioritised high-quality care over shorter travel times. Patients indicated the importance of having clear information at every stage of care.¹⁶⁶

Planning the changes

At the time, the evidence on how to centralise acute stroke services was not strong, and both areas saw much debate on how best to design a new system. There was resistance from local services, clinicians and the public, raising concerns about loss of services and risks to patient safety through increased travel times.¹⁶⁷

The London changes were part of a wider programme to reorganise health services led by the strategic health authority (a body with formal authority over local payer organisations and in setting regionwide healthcare objectives). Programme leaders thus had 'top-down' regional authority and infrastructure to support high 'bottomup' engagement by local clinical leaders in planning the changes.^{167, 168} A wide range of stakeholders – including (hospital and ambulance) providers, payers, politicians, and patient and public representatives - contributed to development of the service model. They were involved in programme oversight, designing new service standards and pathways, and developing financial arrangements. Such arrangements included an uplifted stroke tariff to cover delivery of the new system, particularly acute and ambulance services (representing about £20 million additional funding annually); in addition, £9 million capital costs were borne by local hospital services. Local hospitals applied to provide the new services and were selected based on their ability to deliver on service standards and geographic location (to ensure that patients were within 30 minutes of a HASU by 'blue light' ambulance).¹⁶⁷ Two waves of formal consultation contributed to the London changes. The first of these established substantial public support for the creation of 'about seven' HASUs to serve the London area. The second consultation focused on the shape of the service model and which hospitals would host the new services. Each consultation was led by local commissioners and scrutinised by a committee representing all local authorities across London, helping to achieve pan-London engagement from both payers and politicians.¹⁶⁷ By combining 'top-down' regionwide authority with

'bottom-up' clinical leadership, system leaders of the changes were able to 'hold the line' when significant local resistance to change arose, e.g. in relation to the number, function and location of HASUs.

In Greater Manchester, the changes implemented in 2010 were led by the local stroke clinical network with endorsement from local commissioners, without involving the strategic health authority. This network's approach was mainly 'bottom up', dependent on ongoing support from local stakeholders. Many stakeholders contributed, with local clinicians leading the design of new services and commissioners leading development of financial arrangements. Instead of conducting formal consultation on the changes, planners engaged regularly with local clinicians and held consensus events. The new service model was approved by local commissioners and an advisory group combining external experts and local representatives.¹⁶⁷ As implementation began, some local services became concerned about risks to patients and loss of activity. Because the network's approach had been reliant on consensus, this threat to unanimity led to a revised service model, introducing the '4-hour window'.¹⁶⁷ A 12-month review in October 2011 recommended that further centralisation should be considered. While this prompted new stakeholder consensus events in summer 2012, major obstacles to change emerged at this time, including the impending reform of the English NHS: this led to uncertainty and delays in agreeing further change.¹⁶⁴ A new implementation board was convened in 2014: this regained a degree of systemwide leadership by drawing on local commissioner leadership, increasing engagement of local clinicians, and using evidence from national audit and independent evaluation to make the case for further change. An independent evaluation estimated that further centralisation would save 50 additional lives per year.^{158, 164} These changes were then implemented in 2015.¹⁶⁴

Implementing the changes

How change was implemented also played an important role. Key factors included the models themselves, launch date, use of standards linked to financial incentives, and degree of hands-on facilitation.¹⁶⁹

The London model was seen as clear and inclusive: it was more likely to be understood and followed by hospital and ambulance staff, maximising the proportion of patients who were treated in a HASU. The London HASU/SU services had to accredit against clear clinical standards in order to launch, and delivery of standards was linked to an uplifted tariff for stroke services. Clinicians and managers indicated that the financial incentive and regular service reviews were an important lever to ensure ongoing prioritisation of stroke by local senior management.¹⁶⁹ While HASU/SU services developed over several months, change leaders agreed (in line with feedback from ambulance services) that there should be a single official launch date after which the referral pathway was applied to all suspected stroke admissions. This single launch date increased people's clarity about the system and thus increased the likelihood of all patients being transferred appropriately.¹⁶⁹ Services had much to do to meet the new service standards (e.g. recruiting staff and developing new care protocols) by the launch date. The local stroke networks took a hands-on approach to facilitate change, providing service development advice, project management support, and central oversight that prioritised timely implementation. This combination of service model and implementation approaches probably increased the likelihood of patients receiving evidence-based care.¹⁶⁹ In addition, many key aspects of implementation – including standards linked to financial incentives, regular service reviews and clinical leadership - were identified as important to the ongoing sustainability of the system;¹⁶⁴ another factor was independent evidence of the impact of the London changes (e.g. national audit data and independent evaluation), which increased clinical and managerial support for the centralised system.¹⁶⁴

Greater Manchester's more complex referral pathway resulted in reduced adherence.¹⁶⁹ Although service standards were developed in Greater Manchester, services were not accredited against them in order to launch. There was no linkage to financial incentives, which may have led to greater variation across services.¹⁶⁹ Compared to London's launch on a single date, implementation in Greater Manchester was phased, with the system changing repeatedly over a 15-month period. This caused uncertainty among hospital and ambulance staff about where potential stroke patients should be treated, both during and after implementation.¹⁶⁹ This combination of factors reduced the likelihood of patients

being treated in a HASU (including many patients who were eligible for HASU care), and delivery of evidence-based care varied more across the system. Taken together, this helps explain why patients in Greater Manchester were less likely to receive evidence-based care.¹⁶⁹

Greater Manchester B was simpler and more inclusive than its predecessor and was associated with a significant increase in the proportion of stroke patients being treated in a HASU. In addition, change leaders learned from previous experiences, drawing on service reviews to drive change, and they 'held the line' in insisting on there being a single launch date. Finally, an operational delivery network became a key support in embedding the new system post-implementation, facilitating regular audits and systemwide discussions needed to maintain effective system operation.¹⁶⁴

Lessons from centralising acute stroke services in London and Greater Manchester

If MT is to be provided safely and effectively to stroke patients across the English NHS, regional acute stroke systems will need to be developed and reorganised so that units have the necessary capacity to deliver MT 24/7 and so that all patients can access these units in a timely manner.^{69, 70} Delivering this will require major system change, similar in complexity to the changes described in this chapter. Several important lessons for future reconfigurations to deliver MT may be drawn from these experiences.

Although this research extended understanding of the implementation and impact of centralised stroke services, other forms of centralisation exist and may be relevant when planning service models for delivering MT. Examples include where 'spoke' services carry out initial assessment and treatment of patients before transfer to a specialist centre, and full co-location of hyperacute care and acute rehabilitation. Furthermore, it should be noted that London and Greater Manchester are large, urban areas; therefore, the degree to which our findings can be applied to more rural contexts is limited.

We present clear evidence that models that prioritise delivery of an intervention that benefits only a small proportion of stroke patients (e.g. as Greater Manchester

A did with its 'thrombolysis window') reduce the likelihood of systemwide improvement. Planners should therefore ensure that optimising access to MT is not at the expense of other interventions that offer significant benefit to all stroke patients, especially access to organised stroke care/HASUs.

Centralisation of the acute pathway – whether to increase access to HASUs or MT centres – will result in increased travel times for many stroke patients, particularly in rural settings. We found that patients and carers are willing to travel for longer if the new system delivers better care and outcomes. However, planners should engage actively with patient and public views on proposed changes to ensure that future models are considered acceptable and do not disadvantage specific groups.

To ensure selection of a suitable service model, local planners should combine systemwide, 'top-down' authority with 'bottom-up' clinical leadership. This can help align multiple stakeholders to overcome likely resistance to change. Use of top-down authority has also been observed internationally, where regional politicians in Central Denmark stood firm on their planned number of regional specialist stroke centres in the face of opposition from a local hospital that wished to host its own centre.¹⁵⁰

Engaging relevant stakeholders across the system (including hospital and ambulance services, payers, patients, the public, and patient and public representatives) can support systemwide ownership of proposed changes. The value of engagement is also identified in international experience of reorganising services in Ontario and providing integrated stroke services in the USA (Florida, Massachusetts, New Mexico, and New York), which describes how local champions (clinical or non-clinical) can facilitate widespread support for the system.¹⁶⁰ In contrast, in Central Denmark, change leaders rejected engagement (e.g. with patient representatives, professional organisations, primary care, and municipal level of governance), and this change saw an unexpectedly large increase in referrals and lack of awareness of the new system outside hospital services.¹⁵⁰

Collecting and sharing evidence (e.g. local reviews, national audit and independent research) should be used to drive and sustain change. International experience

reflects this, with local inspection and accreditation identified as supporting ongoing compliance and improvement.^{159, 160}

Clear specification – of both service model and implementation approach – may support better understanding and uptake of the new system. Use of quality standards linked to financial incentives increases the likelihood of services delivering evidence-based care. International experience also supports this, noting how regionwide pathway guidelines for services^{150, 159, 160} and implementation¹⁵⁹ facilitated shared understanding of the system among staff and across organisations.

Although phased approaches are common in quality improvement activities, the experience presented here suggests that a single launch date for a new system offers clarity for hospital and ambulance staff. A phased approach was used when

reorganising Central Denmark's stroke system, with gradual closure of beds over a 20-month period, but the impact of this was not evaluated.¹⁵⁰

Hands-on facilitation by networks – e.g. through on-site project management and central oversight of timelines – provides the operational capacity and impetus to ensure timely delivery of change. Independent evidence (through audit or evaluation) can help sustain a model that seems to be working well or build a case for further change where necessary.

Finally, change is not a one-off: assessing the evidence and responding accordingly might result in further change; internationally, the Ontario changes were used as a platform to develop new research to generate new evidence,¹⁵⁹ which was felt to be key to ongoing development of the system.¹⁵⁹

This paper draws on independent research commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Services and Delivery Research Programme, funded by the Department of Health (study reference 10/1009/09). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. NJF is an NIHR Senior Investigator and SM and NJF were supported in part by the NIHR Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC) North Thames at Barts Health NHS Trust.

10. Establishing a regional thrombectomy service

Don Sims

Key points

- Staff across the care pathway, including those who interpret CTAs and assess patient suitability in referring centres, must be trained with the new skills to deliver MT.
- A clear idea of total costs and how many thrombectomies are required to deliver a financially sustainable service is crucial.
- Necessary infrastructure must be planned, including access to biplanar neuroangiography equipment and sufficient HASU capacity at the MT centre to manage patients transferred from other hospitals.
- Engaging ambulance services with tools to identify possible LAOs out of hospital and convey them directly to a 'mothership' may be crucial in future.
- Appropriate system governance is required to review outcomes and feedback results and timings to referrers to ensure the pathway is safe and efficient.

Mechanical thrombectomy is particularly challenging to deliver, because it is a specialised, time-critical intervention requiring a significant-sized team that must be available in a timely manner to maintain an effective service. In contrast to other stroke service developments, such as IVT, MT is much more complex as it requires collaborative working across multiple HASUs and specialties, including interventional neuroradiology and anaesthesia, that have until recently had little involvement in the management of AIS.

Many of those setting up a MT service will be familiar with starting an IVT service, so it offers a good starting point for comparison. Office-hours IVT services were often started in trusts after the stroke specialist nurse and stroke consultant attended a single training day. Negotiation with radiology was needed to get CT scans performed immediately, and staff on stroke wards required training in monitoring and managing complications after IVT. From this platform, services could be extended around the clock, often involving consultants who were not necessarily stroke specialists but were keen to support delivery of this innovative treatment.

Mechanical thrombectomy requires many more specialist staff to run often entirely new rotas out of hours, with the training for interventionists unfamiliar with the procedure requiring years rather than days. Like thrombolysis, MT also has to be delivered as soon as possible to preserve as much brain parenchyma as possible, and the mantra of 'time is brain' remains crucial. An additional challenge is that while IVT is delivered in all hospitals with HASUs (nearly 150 in the UK), MT can currently be delivered only in a much more limited number of neuroscience centres with on-site interventional neuroradiology service (just 26 in the UK at the time of writing). This therefore requires assessment and rapid onward transfer of significant numbers of potentially unstable patients to MT centres.

Neuroradiology staffing

Personnel costs make up most of the NHS budget, and staffing a service is the biggest challenge faced, because MT requires additional staff across a number of disciplines and a skill mix that is already in short supply. It is difficult to exactly specify the additional staffing needed to establish or expand a MT service, because every hospital has different configurations of existing staff depending on the other specialties and services they offer. A hospital with a large neuroscience centre that already does significant volumes of interventional work will have different needs to smaller centres, but nevertheless many similarities and parallels can be drawn.

For the procedure itself, the overwhelming majority of current centres and most future centres will need consultant INRs trained in the intervention. Although an initial office-hours service can be supported by three or four INRs, a sustainable 24/7 rota requires at least six operators to ensure the service is resilient. Too many operators on a MT rota can also cause issues if the number of cases is insufficient for the staff to maintain the skills required. If a new MT service is set up in a non-neuroscience centre run by trained interventionists from other specialties only doing MT for acute stroke, the level of activity to maintain competence will need to be monitored.

Depending on the number of existing INRs present in the centre, some would need an additional two to four consultants. In addition, neurointerventional nurses are needed to support the procedure, as is a radiographer trained in the use of biplanar digital subtraction angiography. Anaesthetic support is also needed to safely deliver MT; although most patients do not require escalation to a general anaesthetic, it can be hard to determine prior to the procedure those who will require this. Currently, our experience has been that about 10-15% of patients have required a general anaesthetic, either initially or during the procedure, and we have had a neuroanaesthetist and an ODP available for all procedures.

The limited number of neuroanaesthetists is nearly as challenging as the shortage of INRs in the UK. Other teams with whom we work and speak confirm that the anaesthesia required for MT is not particularly complex, so although services should be led by a neuroanaesthetist, the procedure itself could be delivered by a general anaesthetist. This still requires support from an ODP, who are also in short supply in the UK.

The need for MT to be done in a timely manner means that staff cannot, for the most part, be used for other services without jeopardising the timely delivery of MT. Our service needed additional nurses and radiographers knowing that the frequency they would be called in would greatly increase but that they could stay on existing aneurysm coiling rotas, which can usually be delayed for more urgent cases. However, as the anaesthetic team was already fully occupied undertaking other resident roles, we needed a completely new rota specifically for MT and so the costs in our centre (Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham) were high, although this may not be the case elsewhere.

Stroke staffing

Procedures have to be in place to determine whether the patient is still suitable for MT on arrival and to ensure that appropriate acute care is started for a potentially unstable group of patients after MT. The decision to proceed to MT is complex and should be made jointly between a stroke physician and INR.

Patients require HASU care for at least 24 hours following the procedure. Some centres have been successfully undertaking MT followed by immediate repatriation, bypassing the need for stroke team and HASU involvement at the MT centre. However, we believe that this model of care carries risks due to the lack of close involvement of a stroke physician and team in the peri-procedural period.

Stroke teams also have a potential peri-procedural role in cases where the MT is proceeding under only light sedation. A stroke team assessment of the patient's clinical status (by stroke specialist nurse or consultant) can help INRs to determine whether any remaining vessel occlusion after a partial successful procedure is worth pursuing due to residual neurological deficit, potentially reducing the risk of the procedure continuing unnecessarily if the symptoms are sufficiently resolved. Our practice has been that the decision to continue when the procedure starts to move towards an hour with no improvement or when the total time passes the 6-hour window is made jointly between the interventionist and stroke physician.

Post-procedure, a joint decision is again needed about the type and timing of antiplatelet therapy, as research trials in this area have yet to be done. A judgement is needed based on how much instrumentation was required, whether lysis was given, and the patient's risk factor profile for bleeding complications.

Finally, although many patients have near full or significant improvement immediately after MT, a significant proportion do not. Some patients will still have the total anterior circulation stroke that was originally threatened, and a few will have symptomatic haemorrhagic transformation or need subsequent decompressive hemicraniectomy, necessitating neurosurgical involvement. As most MT is carried out in neuroscience centres with onsite neurosurgery, it seems counterintuitive to repatriate early, only for the patient to need to return for hemicraniectomy. Our opinion therefore remains that, in most cases, 24 hours post-procedure on our HASU to allow for repeat CT and check the potential need for other interventions is appropriate before repatriation to the referring HASU, even if this is a comparatively rare occurrence at around I–2%.

We have based our service on the expectation that about 4 hours of stroke consultant time is needed per MT case. This includes initial discussion of the patient with the referrer right through to the patient arriving on the HASU after their post-procedure CT, as well as the governance to maintain a safe service. In centres with a tier of middle grade medical staff, it may be that this can be reduced, but certainly in our centre, like many others, it remains a consultant-delivered service from all involved specialties.

Staffing an expanded HASU, with the additional trained nurses competent in post-MT care, is also essential, as are the therapy requirements that go with HASU care. The additional bed capacity needed for any individual neuroscience centre that delivers MT is difficult to generalise. It depends particularly on two factors – the total number of MTs being provided and also particularly how many are referrals external to the neuroscience centre and the average length of stay at the MT centre. For our service to date, one additional HASU bed has been needed per 100 MTs undertaken annually.

Financial implications

The delivery of successful MT offers a real potential for saving hospital bed-days, in acute and community trusts. The total savings dwarf the cost of the intervention when the reductions in social care costs and informal care costs are also taken into account. However, from a single trust perspective, the finances are much more challenging and need to be considered before starting the service. An overall reduction in total acute hospital stay for a typical MT patient compared with an untreated similar case is very likely. Our own internal audit suggests this may work out at an average of 3 days or more in reduced acute hospital stay per treated patient. Although this sounds promising, it is not the complete story, because this is the saving on an individual neuroscience centre's HASU length of stay when you consider only the cohort that would normally have presented to their front door. Most neuroscience centres serve a MT catchment area well in excess of their local catchment and will be receiving many, if not most, patients from external HASUs. The savings will still be accrued in total length of stay, but the neuroscience centre is now looking after patients on its HASU that it normally never would have seen, and, even with prompt repatriation on day one or two, these are all extra bed-days in the MT centre that need to be accommodated in any business case.

The NHS overall and, most importantly, patients will undoubtedly benefit from a regional MT service in terms of less disability and shorter lengths of stay, but individual neuroscience centres must recognise that they may need significantly more HASU beds – with all the associated staff – to deliver these savings.

All of these factors mean that the business case for MT, especially for an individual trust, is finely balanced and needs careful planning not just internally but also with neighbouring trusts and specialised commissioning. It is not possible to generalise costs for individual centres because of the range of variables involved and the different starting points for each centre. The economies of scale play an important role in finding the balance or break-even point. Our internal calculations suggest a break-even point at about 250–300 MT cases at the current English tariff. This would change with different tariffs and would be more favourable if MT devices were 'excluded' from the tariff or if costs come down, either because of competition between device providers or increased use of cheaper aspiration catheters.

Because MT will require new rotas of staff available at a moment's notice, most costs are incurred upfront. In England, the income from MT is set by specialised commissioning and is paid on a per-patient basis. This means that once the service is set up, we have estimated that about 75% of all eligible patients in our catchment need to be receiving MT for the costs to be covered by the tariff. It is particularly important for individual trusts to calculate this correctly. Whatever clinicians feel about the market model, if you get these calculations wrong for MT and rotas of staff are in place but the cases do not present (for whatever reason), unrecovered costs can amount to millions of pounds a year. As stated earlier, it is very difficult to make generalisable statements about costs and bed-days, but we calculated that a HASU probably needs an extra bed per 100 externally referred MTs, but centres treating a much higher proportion of their own patients may be able to save this by reducing their overall length of stay for stroke.

Finally, there was much discussion about how to introduce the service – either 24/7 immediately or in stages. After a 9 am–5 pm start, centres may consider phased increases in service hours. Again, individual circumstances and local staffing issues may dictate the model, but, from a purely financial perspective, a model that was functional and responsive 7 days a week until 8 pm or midnight was barely cheaper than a full 24/7 service, so we elected to move straight to the latter once staff were in post. Such a rapid transition may not be feasible for centres starting from a lower baseline for staffing.

Working with referrers

Ideally, all stroke patients would be conveyed by ambulance directly to a MTcapable centre, but this would require accurate recognition of patients with LAO by ambulance paramedics, which is currently not feasible (see Chapter 4) and require substantial reconfiguration of our current service. Service modelling (see Chapter 3) suggests this will not be sustainable. For the foreseeable future, therefore, patients will still have to be transferred urgently from HASU spokes to MT hubs, which need to be able to work effectively with referring hospitals in their region (Box 7).

Agreed pathways should be in place for patients whose symptoms have resolved on arrival or in whom MT is not felt to be indicated due to futility or other issues, although in our experience this is infrequent in practice.

Regardless of the referral model, repatriation pathways and escalation policies need to be firmly in place. The lessons from longstanding similar issues in neurosurgery and more recently with major trauma centres need to be learnt if a MT centre is to avoid congestion.

Neuroscience centres that take significant numbers of additional patients for MT must ensure that those new patients and also the 85% of patients that normally present to their front door but are not candidates for MT still have access to usual HASU-level stroke care. Delays in repatriation of referred MT patients, even by a day or two, have the potential to quickly overwhelm a service, especially regarding stroke unit capacity and therefore access. Care is needed to avoid the development of a two-tier service between those who receive MT and those who do not.

With regard to repatriation, we are referring patients back to an HASU-level bed, so some medical instability should not be a barrier. We are also referring patients back to the team that initially referred them, who will likely have another MT-eligible patient to send soon, so co-operative working is encouraged. Unlike in the funding agreement with major trauma, the accepting stroke centre will (under current arrangements in England) receive a full stroke tariff despite not having the patient back until they are I-2 days into their pathway, having already received some costly interventions in the neuroscience centre.

Box 7. Requirements for MT hubs working with referring hospitals

- · Clear and rapid pathways for referral
- Engagement with ambulance trusts
- Correct infrastructure for imaging transfer
- · Clinical skills in all HASUs for caring for repatriated MT patients
- Agreements on patients being transferred with thrombolysis with alteplase often still running (unless tenecteplase becomes more widespread)
- Trained staff to support the unstable patients and any infusions during transfer.

Our experience to date suggests that clinical acceptance of a patient for repatriation is the easiest step, with physical location of a bed on the HASU usually the barrier to smooth movement of patients. Other issues, such as patients refusing to return to a referring centre and difficulties accessing local ESD services from a remote hospital, are rarely a major issue, but do occur. Financial penalties for not taking a patient back who is ready are popular among some clinicians but in practice are difficult to facilitate in a system where the MT tariff is given by specialised commissioning. Small financial penalties do not, of course, create beds.

For a 24/7 service to function and flourish, prompt repatriation is vital and may prove to be the issue that grinds otherwise functioning services to a halt. If staffing a service remains the biggest challenge to starting 24/7 services, repatriation is the biggest challenge to keeping it running, and it needs to be explicitly addressed within regional networks from the outset.

Infrastructure

It is easy to concentrate on changes in the centre providing the service, but critical parts of the pathway occur outside the MT centre. Many HASU centres across a region need to change practice to allow them to identify all potentially eligible patients with LAO in a timely manner. Sometimes the stroke decision-maker (for IVT and also now for MT) is at home out of hours, and decision-making processes are reliant on non-specialists such as medical or ED registrars. Many centres in the UK have saved costs by exporting their out-of-hours radiology reporting service to an external provider. These changes do not provide a strong foundation to prompt decision-making based on clinical assessment and accurate and timely NCCT/CTA reports (and, in some cases, advanced brain imaging).

Expecting MT centres to accept all potential MT cases seems impractical given the difficulty non-specialists (and sometimes specialists) have making a clear diagnosis of stroke, never mind one with a likely LAO. All currently available pre-hospital LAO scales have significant false-positive rates. For a hub-and-spoke model to work, some screening out of non-stroke patients and stroke patients without LAO needs to occur. Our view, in discussion with referring centres, is that the decision-maker (often a stroke physician) has to be trained to a level where they can interpret a NCCT/CTA to determine if there is a LAO in an ischaemic stroke patient. Otherwise MT centres risk being overwhelmed with mimics and patients with non-LAO strokes. It is not feasible with current UK neuroradiology consultant numbers for all CTAs obtained in referring HASUs to be immediately reported and reviewed by CSC neuroradiologists.

Conclusion

It is vital to ensure that appropriate staff across the care pathway are trained with the new skills required to deliver MT, including the ability to interpret CTAs and assess suitability of patients in referring centres. An appropriate governance structure is required to review outcomes and to feed back the results and timings to referrers to ensure the pathway is efficient. The tariff for MT is significant, but so are the start-up costs, most of which occur prior to launch. A clear idea of total costs and how much activity is required to deliver a financially sustainable service is crucial, which is very dependent on local circumstances. Planning the necessary infrastructure is essential, including access to a biplanar imaging intervention suite and sufficient HASU capacity to manage patients transferred from other hospitals.

II. Developing a business case for mechanical thrombectomy

Marcus Bradley and Carolyn Roper

Key points

- Define the clinical model before any modelling and development to give the programme a clear anchor from which to make assumptions and maintain a consistent thread through all work.
- Engage key internal and external stakeholders early, including stroke team, interventional neuroradiology, anaesthesia and theatre services, local ambulance service, local stroke network and IT services.
- Consolidate existing or *ad-hoc* service before considering expansion.
- Phase the development of the service as a manageable operational approach; accept that some assumptions about future phases will need to be revisited before the entire service expansion to 24/7 is complete and use good governance and steering groups to oversee this.
- Brief key senior decision-makers as the business case is being developed and understand their requirements and any concerns before the business case enters the approval process.

Introduction

In 2016, NHS England began a commissioning process for the provision of MT to patients in England. This was in response to a series of clinical trials that demonstrated conclusively that MT was both effective and safe for patients with AIS due to a LAO in the anterior circulation. The magnitude of this task is enormous given that this is a highly specialised procedure performed by a small number of INRs working in teams based only in neuroscience centres. To support such a service requires a fundamental restructuring of regional stroke services and expansion of the trained workforce.

North Bristol NHS Trust (NBT) hosts the regional adult neuroscience service and provides MT for a population of 2.4 million in a largely rural setting across Gloucestershire, Wiltshire, Somerset and Bristol. Prior to NHS England initiating formal commissioning, the stroke and interventional neuroradiology team at NBT had developed the *ad-hoc* capacity to deliver MT for the patients in this region within the constraints of available resources. This was possible because of a pre-existing stroke and cardiac network, which was tasked with implementing the National Stroke Strategy in 2008 and increasing uptake of IVT. This provided a network of stroke physicians, a digital infrastructure for image sharing, and a regional clinical forum to examine the latest evidence, including the evolving field of endovascular revascularisation, and also provided the impetus to develop the service to date.

During this period, the trust consolidated services on one site in a new hospital and provided new facilities from which the acute stroke service could be provided.

The stroke network provided insight into future developments in the treatment of stroke, informing the design of the new hospital, which included two biplane angiography suites suitable for neurointerventional procedures.

North Bristol NHS Trust background

North Bristol NHS Trust had an established MT service that treated approximately 100 patients in 2018 and, before service development work started, provided a service that operated 9 am–4 pm Monday to Friday – the level of service that could be provided by the neuroradiology and stroke workforce at that time. At that point, there had been no specific capacity investment in the MT service and activity had been accommodated within existing resources.

In April 2018, NHS England requested that neuroscience centres develop a plan for the delivery of a 24/7 stroke MT service. The NBT management team requested a clear service development plan and investment business case to support delivery of such a service over the next five years.

A programme started that culminated in substantial agreed investment in the MT service. In this chapter, we describe our experience in developing a business plan that gave the trust confidence to invest in the service development. The programme of work was underpinned by two key things: getting the right information in the

business case to facilitate approval and ensuring that this information was underpinned by good governance and decision-making.

Framing the business case

A good business case provides decision-makers with a clear vision of the service it intends to achieve and the investment required succinctly summarised as 'a written argument that is intended to convince a decision-maker to approve a course of action'. It is important to consider the audience reading and approving the business case, their varying knowledge of MT services and the elements that need to be covered in order to agree the case for investment. The following aspects were included:

- A description of MT and the commissioning rationale, including the compelling evidence of clinical and cost-effectiveness
- Alignment of the MT service to the wider NHS, local STP and the trust's strategy, goals and mission
- A clear clinical, operational and financial case that supported a structured argument for investment
- Involvement of all stakeholders in development and agreement of the service model and key planning assumptions, such as projected activity growth
- Key milestones to achieve the service development and enablers of and risks to delivery
- What the service would bring to the organisation's financial bottom line and any mitigation that might increase the pace at which the service yields a positive return on the investment
- The impact on other services, both internally and externally.

Good governance

A Stroke Thrombectomy Steering Group was established, which oversaw development of the MT service plan and maintained focus and resource on this service development. This group was chaired by the trust's chief operating officer who acted as the programme senior responsible officer, spanned several divisions (neurosciences, critical care and imaging), and included key decision-makers capable of progressing decisions on business cases,

The function of the group was threefold:

- To support cross-divisional development and agreement of an investment business case
- To support implementation of the service development and changes
- To raise the profile of the service and prepare stakeholders prior to the business case being submitted for approval.

Cross-divisional commitment was ultimately required to expand the service, so it was imperative that all divisions were represented and contributed to service planning. Activities included reaching agreement on key activity and growth assumptions and on the timescales for delivery. Minutes from steering group meetings provided an audit trail of key planning decisions. A number of individuals working on the steering group were representatives at the key trust executive meetings that gave final approval of the business case. Early involvement equipped them to comprehensively present and field questions during the approval process.

The level of investment required was significant – more than $\pounds 2$ million for the first stage of service development – and required approval at trust-board level.

Business case development process

Figure 25 shows the process that was followed, which combined the pragmatic application of best practice in service-development and business case-development methods to ensure the business case progressed quickly.



Figure 25. Business case development process.

Be clear on the starting position and case for investment

Information from internal governance processes and from cases submitted to the SSNAP National Stroke Audit should be used as a baseline to understand the number of potential MT patients. In developing the business case, we drew on our existing knowledge and experience of delivering MT, which supported the development of referral pathways from the ED and the wider network and had already optimised referral methods, scanning protocols and anaesthetic support.

One of the first actions was to clearly describe the current service and inform relevant stakeholders in the trust of the rationale for developing a sustainable expanded service. This included highlighting that MT was now an NHS England-commissioned service.

Clearly articulate the clinical development process

The case for investment had to be underpinned by clinical consensus on a wellarticulated pathway. The option to expand immediately to a 24/7 service was discounted at an early stage due to the national shortage of INRs and stroke physicians. A phased approach was preferred, so work focused on how to structure the phasing, with options considered against the following criteria:

- Sustainable clinical services
- Maximise currently available interventional neuroradiology capacity
- Stroke-network readiness to identify and refer patients for MT (expected referral volume for each centre *versus* actual referral volume to date)
- Workforce availability
- Cost-effectiveness investment versus return.

The MT steering group's recommended approach to service expansion was to stabilise the current service, with three phases of expansion:

- Phase I stabilisation of current service (9 am–4 pm Monday–Friday) and expansion to 8 am–8 pm Monday–Friday
- Phase 2 8 am–8 pm 7-day service
- Phase 3 24-hour 7-day service.

This phasing was used as the framework to build the activity profile and subsequent interventional neuroradiology, ITU and HASU bed capacity. Once this approach had been defined, it was agreed that a full business case covering all three phases

would be difficult to produce due to workforce uncertainty and further detailed work on workforce rotas and models. To enable activity modelling, estimated start dates for each phase were defined, based on current workforce intelligence, with the caveat that these would be reviewed in the future. The goal was to complete Phase 3 within five years – in line with NHS commissioning intentions – with intermediate stages implemented as service development permitted.

Profile the activity in the clinical development model

As with any activity modelling, various assumptions had to be defined and agreed by the steering group. For the purposes of modelling potential activity, an agreed catchment from which most patients would be referred was defined as the Severn region, which aligned with the major trauma and neuroscience centre footprint. This was tested against historic activity and confirmed as a reasonable modelling assumption.

The incidence of confirmed stroke across the Severn region served by our service was shown from SSNAP data to be about 4,500 per year. A 10% eligibility threshold was applied based on current criteria for treatment, assuming that both the necessary imaging at referring sites and the MT service were in operation 24/7. This provided a best-case total service volume for a 24/7 service, which was adjusted using the following factors to model the rate at which activity would grow:

- Rural geography of the Severn network, including the impact of transfer time
- The hours of service available for each phase of service development
- The impact of increased awareness of the NBT stroke MT service at referring hospitals and embedding of referrals pathways.

Describe the workforce required to deliver the service

The business case identified all staff groups required to support a sustainable service and phase I expansion. As NBT is an established neuroscience centre and already a training centre for INRs, this allowed clinical fellows to develop skills and the potential to meet local recruitment when the service needed to expand. This has meant that NBT has been able to encourage future trainees to further their careers within the department. The NBT stroke and interventional neuroradiology teams worked closely together on the MT pathway, and the business case included tandem recruitment to develop all arms of the service. In reality, it was difficult to align this recruitment with the plan, and some steps were undertaken out of sequence to ensure that good candidates could be recruited.

A detailed analysis to develop specialties other than interventional neuroradiology to provide MT was not considered necessary, as it is anticipated that INRs would also be required to provide aneurysm coiling. However, the role of credentialing for other professionals was seen as an important option if rota gaps need addressing in the future. A 24/7 service will require six WTEs.

Set the operational requirements and impact

Defining the potential impact on other services was essential to give the wider organisation understanding and assurance about the impact of increasing MT work. The key operational areas affected were:

- Increased numbers coming through the ED. All external referrals would be met by the stroke team in ED, having had CT and CTA at the referring centre.
- Access to interventional neuroradiology angiography suite capacity and delivery of other interventional and diagnostic neuroradiology activity alongside provision of emergency access.
- ITU and HASU bed capacity, which was closely linked to the assumed length of stay for MT patients. We assumed that patients outside of NBT's local catchment area would return to their local stroke unit within 72 hours of MT – or earlier if clinically appropriate. Precise modelling depended on the number of local HASU beds, average length of stay and agreements for transfer and repatriation of patients to adjacent HASUs. For example, a HASU admitting 1,000 patients annually, with an average length of stay of 2.5 days and 85% occupancy, may need about eight HASU beds. Adding 350 external MT referrals would require an additional 3–4 beds.

The two key operational protocols underpinning the service were those detailing the referral and repatriation processes. We were able to utilise the Severn Network repatriation policy already in place for major trauma and other tertiary services. The referral criteria aligned to specialist commissioning referral criteria and included clear imaging requirements for CTA to be uploaded via an established system supporting the regional IVT service. Most MT referrals will present to other hospitals and require a secondary transfer, and increasing numbers being transferred for MT would impact on the ambulance service, with additional category 2 ambulance transfers within the region and displacement of ambulances. All activity assumptions and referral procedures were shared with the ambulance service from the outset to facilitate their negotiations with NHS England regarding increased activity.

Develop the financial case

A full financial assessment was undertaken, including a full cost and income modelling exercise. The information generated from the financial model was summarised as a comparison of cost per case against tariff plus market forces factor and specialist centre top-up. The following costs per case were calculated to assess the impact of the service development:

- Current baseline service activity and costs
- Sustainable service requirements and activity levels
- Phase I service requirements and the profile of phased activity.

This helped to identify the volume required to support a break-even phase I service and when this could be expected. The modelling suggested that, with a tariff income of $\pounds 13,400$ plus adjustments including market forces factor, and an 8 am–8 pm 5day service in Phase I, including interventional neuroradiology and the anaesthetic team, about 220 cases per annum would be needed to break even (40% of final planned activity).

Submit the business case for approval

Given the strategic significance of this development, it was agreed that all investments should be set out in one phased business case to provide optimum strategic oversight for the trust. The final investment value set out in the full business case for phase I required approval at all key trust governance meetings and ultimately from the trust board. Early engagement was essential to a 'nosurprises' approach, with members of the steering group represented on all these groups who were able to present and support the case for investment.

The business case was approved by the trust board in October 2018, and Phase I has been implemented. The Stroke Thrombectomy Steering Group will continue to review progress against predicted activity and work on detailed implementation

plans for weekend and 24/7 expansion. Stroke thrombectomy is a key strategic trust priority, and this will ensure that momentum remains behind this project through to successful completion.

Trial acronyms and abbreviations

Trial acronyms

BEST	Acute Basilar Artery Occlusion: Endovascular Interventions vs	NINDS	National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke trial of
	Standard Medical Treatment		tissue plasminogen activator for acute ischaemic stroke
DAWN	DWI or CTP Assessment With Clinical Mismatch in the Triage of	PISTE	Pragmatic Ischaemic Thrombectomy Evaluation
	Wake Up and Late Presenting Strokes Undergoing	REVASCAT	Randomized Trial of Revascularization With Solitaire FR Device
	Neurointervention With Trevo		Versus Best Medical Therapy in the Treatment of Acute Stroke
DEFUSE	Diffusion and Perfusion Imaging Evaluation for Understanding		Due to Anterior Circulation Large Vessel Occlusion Presenting
	Stroke Evolution		Within 8 hours of Symptom Onset
EASI	Endovascular Acute Stroke Intervention	soniia	Sistema Online d'Informació de l'Ictus Agut
ESCAPE	Endovascular treatment for Small Core and Anterior circulation	STOP-Stroke	Screening Technology and Outcome Project in Stroke Study
	Proximal occlusion with Emphasis on minimizing CT to	SWIFT PRIME	Solitaire FR With the Intention for Thrombectomy as Primary
	recanalization times		Endovascular Treatment for Acute Ischemic Stroke
EXTEND-IA	Extending the Time for Thrombolysis in Emergency Neurological	THERAPY	The Randomized, Concurrent Controlled Trial to Assess the
	Deficits–Intra-Arterial		Penumbra System's Safety and Effectiveness in the Treatment of
HERMES	Highly Effective Reperfusion Evaluated in Multiple Endovascular		Acute Stroke
	Stroke Trials	THRACE	Mechanical Thrombectomy After Intravenous Alteplase Versus
MR CLEAN	Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial of Endovascular Treatment		Alteplase Alone After Stroke
	for Acute Ischemic Stroke in The Netherlands		

Other acronyms and abbreviations

AIS	acute ischaemic stroke	FAST-ED	Field Assessment Stroke Triage for Emergency Destination
AMPDS	Advanced Medical Priority Dispatch System	FLAIR	fluid-attenuated inversion recovery
ASPECTS	Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score	GCS	Glasgow Coma Scale
AVVV	Ataxia, Visual disturbances, Vertigo, Vomiting	GFAST	gaze–face–arm–speech–time
BASP	British Association of Stroke Physicians	HASU	hyperacute stroke unit
BCS	British Cardiovascular Society	HRG	healthcare resource group
BCIS	British Cardiovascular Intervention Society	ICA	internal carotid artery
BP	blood pressure	ICER	incremental cost-effectiveness ratio
CCG	clinical commissioning group	INR	interventional neuroradiologist
CCU	coronary care unit	IQR	interquartile range
CO ₂	carbon dioxide	ISDN	integrated service delivery network
CSC	comprehensive stroke centre	ITU	intensive therapy unit
СТ	computed tomography	IVT	intravenous thrombolysis
CTA	computed tomography angiography	LAO	large artery occlusion
CTA-CS	computed tomography angiography collateral scoring	LKW	last known well
CTA-SI	computed tomography angiography source images	MCA	middle cerebral artery
CTP	computed tomography perfusion	MDT	multidisciplinary team
DGH	district general hospital	MEND	Miami Emergency Neurological Deficit
DIDO	door-in-door-out	MERCI	Mechanical Embolus Removal in Cerebral Ischemia
DWI	diffusion-weighted imaging	MI	myocardial infarction
ECG	electrocardiogram	MINAP	Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project
ED	emergency department	MIP	maximum intensity projection
ESC	European Society of Cardiology	MRA	magnetic resonance angiography
ESD	early supported discharge	MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
FAST	Face Arm Speech Test	mRS	modified Rankin scale

MT	mechanical thrombectomy	RAPID	RApid processing of Perfusion and Diffusion
NCCT	non-contrast computed tomography	RCT	randomised controlled trial
NIAP	National Infarct Angioplasty Project	ROSIER	Recognition of Stroke in the Emergency Room
NHS	National Health Service	SEAT	Stroke Emergency Assessment Team
NICE	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence	sICH	symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage
NIHSS	National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale	SITS	Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis database
NINDS	National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke	SpR	specialist registrar
NMB	net monetary benefit	SSCA	Scottish Stroke Care Audit
NNT	number needed to treat	SSNAP	Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme
ODP	operating department practitioner	STEMI	ST elevation myocardial infarction
PACS	picture archiving and communication system	STP	sustainability and transformation plan
PPCI	primary percutaneous coronary intervention	SU	stroke unit
PSC	primary stroke centre	TIA	transient ischaemic attack
QALY	quality-adjusted life-year	WTE	whole-time equivalent
RACE	Rapid Arterial oCclusion Evaluation scale	WTP	willingness to pay

Contributors

Editors

Professor Gary A Ford	Chief Executive Officer, Oxford Academic Health Science Network; Consultant Physician, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust; Professor of Stroke Medicine, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford	Professor Phil White	Professor of Interventional and Diagnostic Neuroradiology, Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University; Honorary Consultant Neuroradiologist Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Professor Martin James	Consultant Stroke Physician, Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust; Honorary Clinical Professor, University of Exeter Medical School and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC) South West Peninsula; Associate Director, Stroke Programme, King's College London		
Chapter authors			
Dr Michael Allen	Senior Modeller, University of Exeter Medical School and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for	Professor Naomi J Fulop	Professor of Health Care Organisation and Management, Department of Applied Health Research, University College London
	Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC) South West Peninsula	Dr Jim McLenachan	Consultant Cardiologist, Leeds General Infirmary, Leeds
Dr John JM Black	Medical Director, South Central Ambulance NHS Foundation Trust, Bicester; ED Consultant, Oxford University Hospitals NHS FT, Oxford	Dr Peter McMeekin	Reader, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne
Ms Juliet Bouverie	Chief Executive Officer, Stroke Association	Professor Stephen Morris	Professor of Health Economics, Department of Applied Health Research, University
Dr Marcus Bradley	Clinical Lead for Imaging, Consultant Neuroradiologist, North Bristol NHS Trust,		College London
	Bristol	Dr Alexander Mortimer	Consultant Interventional Neuroradiologist, North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol

Dr Sanjeev Nayak	Consultant Interventional Neuroradiologist, Royal Stoke University Hospital, University Hospitals of North Midlands NHS Trust, Staffordshire	Dr Angus IG Ramsay	Senior Research Associate, Department of Applied Health Research, University College London
Ms Kerry Pearn	Associate Research Fellow, University of	Ms Carolyn Roper	Senior Programme Manager, NMSK Division, North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol
	Exeter Medical School and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC) South West Peninsula	Dr Don Sims	Consultant Stroke Physician and Clinical Lead for Stroke Medicine, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham
Dr Christopher Price	Stroke Association HRH Princess Margaret Senior Reader in Stroke Medicine, Stroke Research Group Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne	Professor Ken Stein	Professor (E&R) (Clinical), University of Exeter Medical School; Deputy Director, the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC) South West Peninsula

Acknowledgements

The editors would like to thank Jemma Lough for her invaluable editorial input in producing this implementation guide; Martin Leaver, Head of Communications for Oxford ASHN; and Oxford Medical Illustration for their support in producing the figures. We gratefully acknowledge all our chapter authors and colleagues for their contributions to this guide.

References

- Xu XM, Vestesson E, Paley L et al. The economic burden of stroke care in England, Wales and Northern Ireland: Using a national stroke register to estimate and report patient-level health economic outcomes in stroke. Eur Stroke J 2018;3:82-91.
- Newton JN, Briggs AD, Murray CJ et al. Changes in health in England, with analysis by English regions and areas of deprivation, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2015;386:2257-74.
- Aguiar de Sousa D, von Martial R, Abilleira S et al. Access to and delivery of acute ischaemic stroke treatments: a survey of national scientific societies and stroke experts in 44 European countries. Eur Stroke J 2018;4:13-28.
- Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D et al. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2015;372:11-20.
- Jovin TG, Chamorro A, Cobo E et al. Thrombectomy within 8 hours after symptom onset in ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2296-306.
- Bracard S, Ducrocq X, Mas JL et al. Mechanical thrombectomy after intravenous alteplase versus alteplase alone after stroke (THRACE): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2016;15:1138-47.
- Muir KW, Ford GA, Messow CM et al. Endovascular therapy for acute ischaemic stroke: the Pragmatic Ischaemic Stroke Thrombectomy Evaluation (PISTE) randomised, controlled trial. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2017;88:38-44.
- Khoury NN, Darsaut TE, Ghostine J et al. Endovascular thrombectomy and medical therapy versus medical therapy alone in acute stroke: a randomized care trial. J Neuroradiol 2017;44:198-202.
- Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK et al. Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2015;372:1019-30.
- Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ et al. Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging selection. N Engl J Med 2015;372:1009-18.
- Saver JL, Goyal M, Bonafe A et al. Stent-retriever thrombectomy after intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA alone in stroke. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2285-95.

- Mocco J, Zaidat OO, von Kummer R et al. Aspiration thrombectomy after intravenous alteplase versus intravenous alteplase alone. Stroke 2016;47:2331-8.
- Nogueira RG, Jadhav AP, Haussen DC et al. Thrombectomy 6 to 24 hours after stroke with a mismatch between deficit and infarct. N Engl | Med 2018;378:11-21.
- Albers GW, Marks MP, Kemp S et al. Thrombectomy for stroke at 6 to 16 hours with selection by perfusion imaging. N Engl J Med 2018;378:708-18.
- Goyal M, Menon BK, van Zwam WH et al. Endovascular thrombectomy after largevessel ischaemic stroke: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. *Lancet* 2016;**387**:1723-31.
- Flynn D, Francis R, Halvorsrud K et al. Intra-arterial mechanical thrombectomy stent retrievers and aspiration devices in the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis. *Eur Stroke J* 2017;2:308-18.
- Saver JL, Jahan R, Levy El et al. Solitaire flow restoration device versus the Merci Retriever in patients with acute ischaemic stroke (SWIFT): a randomised, parallelgroup, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2012;380:1241-9.
- Nogueira RG, Lutsep HL, Gupta R et al. Trevo versus Merci retrievers for thrombectomy revascularisation of large vessel occlusions in acute ischaemic stroke (TREVO 2): a randomised trial. Lancet 2012;380:1231-40.
- Broderick JP, Palesch YY, Demchuk AM et al. Endovascular therapy after intravenous t-PA versus t-PA alone for stroke. N Engl J Med 2013;368:893-903.
- Kidwell CS, Jahan R, Gornbein J et al. A trial of imaging selection and endovascular treatment for ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2013;368:914-23.
- Ciccone A, Valvassori L, Investigators SE. Endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2013;368:2433-4.
- 22. Evans MRB, White P, Cowley P *et al.* Revolution in acute ischaemic stroke care: a practical guide to mechanical thrombectomy. *Pract Neurol* 2017;17:252-65.

- 23. Balami JS, White PM, McMeekin PJ *et al.* Complications of endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke: prevention and management. *Int J Stroke* 2018;13:348-61.
- 24. Lapergue B, Blanc R, Gory B *et al.* Effect of endovascular contact aspiration vs stent retriever on revascularization in patients with acute ischemic stroke and large vessel occlusion: the ASTER randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2017;**318**:443-52.
- 25. Boulanger M, Lapergue B, Turjman F et al. First-line contact aspiration vs stentretriever thrombectomy in acute ischemic stroke patients with large-artery occlusion in the anterior circulation: systematic review and meta-analysis. Interv Neuroradiol 2019;0:1591019918821074.
- 26. Turk AS, 3rd, Siddiqui A, Fifi JT et al. Aspiration thrombectomy versus stent retriever thrombectomy as first-line approach for large vessel occlusion (COMPASS): a multicentre, randomised, open label, blinded outcome, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2019;**393**:998-1008.
- 27. Khatri P, Jovin T, Noqueira R *et al.* Endovascular therapy eligibility in the advent of DAWN and DEFUSE-3 results. *Stroke* 2018;**49**:A82.
- 28. McMeekin P, White P, James MA et al. Estimating the number of UK stroke patients eligible for endovascular thrombectomy. *Eur Stroke J* 2017;**2**:319-26.
- 29. Menon BK, Hill MD, Davalos A *et al.* Efficacy of endovascular thrombectomy in patients with M2 segment middle cerebral artery occlusions: meta-analysis of data from the HERMES Collaboration. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2019.
- Turc G, Bhogal P, Fischer U et al. European Stroke Organisation (ESO)–European Society for Minimally Invasive Neurological Therapy (ESMINT) guidelines on mechanical thrombectomy in acute ischaemic stroke endorsed by Stroke Alliance for Europe (SAFE). Eur Stroke J 2019;0:2396987319832140.
- Boudour S, Barral M, Gory B et al. A systematic review of economic evaluations on stent-retriever thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke. J Neurol 2018;265:1511-20.
- Achit H, Soudant M, Hosseini K et al. Cost-effectiveness of thrombectomy in patients with acute ischemic stroke: the THRACE randomized controlled trial. Stroke 2017;48:2843-7.

- Leppert MH, Campbell JD, Simpson JR et al. Cost-effectiveness of intra-arterial treatment as an adjunct to intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator for acute ischemic stroke. Stroke 2015;46:1870-6.
- 34. Health Information and Quality Authority. Health technology assessment of a national emergency endovascular service for mechanical thrombectomy in the management of acute ischaemic stroke. Dublin: Health Information and Quality Authority, 2017.
- Ganesalingam J, Pizzo E, Morris S et al. Cost-utility analysis of mechanical thrombectomy using stent retrievers in acute ischemic stroke. Stroke 2015;46:2591-8.
- Health Quality Ontario. Mechanical thrombectomy in patients with acute ischemic stroke: a health technology assessment. Ont Health Technol Assess Ser [Internet] 2016;16:1-79.
- Medtronic Australasia Pty Ltd. Mechanical thrombectomy for acute ischaemic stroke due to large vessel occlusion: submission to the Medical Services Advisory Committee. North Ryde, Australia: 2016.
- Kunz WG, Hunink MG, Sommer WH et al. Cost-effectiveness of endovascular stroke therapy: a patient subgroup analysis from a US healthcare perspective. Stroke 2016;47:2797-804.
- Arora N, Makino K, Tilden D *et al.* Cost-effectiveness of mechanical thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke: an Australian payer perspective. *J Med Econ* 2018;21:799-809.
- Aronsson M, Persson J, Blomstrand C et al. Cost-effectiveness of endovascular thrombectomy in patients with acute ischemic stroke. Neurology 2016;86:1053-9.
- Ruggeri M, Basile M, Zini A et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of mechanical thrombectomy with stent retriever in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke in Italy. J Med Econ 2018;21:902-11.
- 42. Lobotesis K, Veltkamp R, Carpenter IH *et al.* Cost-effectiveness of stent-retriever thrombectomy in combination with IV t-PA compared with IV t-PA alone for acute ischemic stroke in the UK. *J Med Econ* 2016;19:785-94.

- Steen Carlsson K, Andsberg G, Petersson J et al. Long-term cost-effectiveness of thrombectomy for acute ischaemic stroke in real life: an analysis based on data from the Swedish Stroke Register (Riksstroke). Int J Stroke 2017;12:802-14.
- Fiehler J, Cognard C, Gallitelli M et al. European recommendations on organisation of interventional care in acute stroke (EROICAS). Eur Stroke J 2016;1:155-70.
- Royal College of Physicians. Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme (SSNAP): summary report for October-December 2015, admissions and discharges. London: Kings College, 2015.
- IBD Scotland Publications. Scottish Stroke Improvement Programme: 2016 report. Edinburgh: NHS National Services Scotland, 2016.
- 47. Smith WS, Lev MH, English JD et al. Significance of large vessel intracranial occlusion causing acute ischemic stroke and TIA. Stroke 2009;40:3834-40.
- Tawil SE, Cheripelli B, Huang X et al. How many stroke patients might be eligible for mechanical thrombectomy? Eur Stroke J 2016;1:264-71.
- Hill MD, Demchuk AM, Goyal M et al. Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score to select patients for endovascular treatment: Interventional Management of Stroke (IMS)-III Trial. Stroke 2014;45:444-9.
- 50. Saver JL, Goyal M, van der Lugt A *et al.* Time to treatment with endovascular thrombectomy and outcomes from ischemic stroke: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2016;**316**:1279-88.
- Abilleira S, Cardona P, Ribo M *et al.* Outcomes of a contemporary cohort of 536 consecutive patients with acute ischemic stroke treated with endovascular therapy. *Stroke* 2014;45:1046-52.
- 52. Liebeskind DS, Jahan R, Nogueira RG et al. Serial Alberta Stroke Program early CT score from baseline to 24 hours in Solitaire Flow Restoration with the Intention for Thrombectomy study: a novel surrogate end point for revascularization in acute stroke. Stroke 2014;45:723-7.

- Borst J, Berkhemer OA, Roos YB et al. Value of computed tomographic perfusionbased patient selection for intra-arterial acute ischemic stroke treatment. Stroke 2015;46:3375-82.
- Furlan A, Higashida R, Wechsler L et al. Intra-arterial prourokinase for acute ischemic stroke. The PROACT II study: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 1999;282:2003-11.
- Chia NH, Leyden JM, Newbury J et al. Determining the number of ischemic strokes potentially eligible for endovascular thrombectomy: a population-based study. Stroke 2016;47:1377-80.
- 56. Rai AT, Seldon AE, Boo S et al. A population-based incidence of acute large vessel occlusions and thrombectomy eligible patients indicates significant potential for growth of endovascular stroke therapy in the USA. J Neurointerv Surg 2017;9:722-6.
- 57. Campbell BC, Hill MD, Rubiera M et al. Safety and efficacy of Solitaire stent thrombectomy: individual patient data meta-analysis of randomized trials. Stroke 2016;**47**:798-806.
- Royal College of Physicians. SSNAP annual portfolio for April 2015-March 2016, admissions and discharges. London: Kings College, 2015.
- Morris S, Hunter RM, Ramsay AI et al. Impact of centralising acute stroke services in English metropolitan areas on mortality and length of hospital stay: difference-indifferences analysis. BMJ 2014;349:g4757.
- Holodinsky JK, Williamson TS, Kamal N et al. Drip and ship versus direct to comprehensive stroke center: conditional probability modeling. Stroke 2017;48:233-8.
- Holodinsky J, Williamson T, Demchuk A et al. Drip and ship vs. direct to comprehensive stroke centre: the effect of large vessel occlusion screening tools on conditional probability modeling. Int J Stroke 2017;12:4-84.
- Price C, Emsley H, Roffe C et al. Meeting the future challenge of stroke: stroke medicine consultant workforce requirements 2011-2015 British Association of Stroke Physicians, 2017.
- 63. NHS England. Stroke services: configuration decision support guide. NHS England: 2015.

- 64. Gibson LM, Whiteley W. The differential diagnosis of suspected stroke: a systematic review. J R Coll Physicians Edinb 2013;43:114-8.
- 65. White PM, Bhalla A, Dinsmore J et al. Standards for providing safe acute ischaemic stroke thrombectomy services (September 2015). British Association of Stroke Physicians, 2015.
- Lenthall R, McConachie N, White P et al. BSNR training guidance for mechanical thrombectomy. Clin Radiol 2017;72:175 e11-18.
- 67. Rinaldo L, Brinjikji W, Rabinstein AA. Transfer to high-volume centers associated with reduced mortality after endovascular treatment of acute stroke. *Stroke* 2017;**48**:1316-21.
- Allen M, Pearn K, Villeneuve E et al. Feasibility of a hyper-acute stroke unit model of care across England: a modelling analysis. BMJ Open 2017;7:e018143.
- Allen M, Pearn K, James M et al. Maximising access to thrombectomy services for stroke in England: a modelling study. Eur Stroke J 2018;4:39-49.
- Allen M, Pearn K, Villeneuve E et al. Planning and providing acute stroke care in England: the effect of planning footprint size. Frontiers Neurol 2019;10:150.
- 71. NHS England/Transformation & Corporate Operations/Business Planning Team. NHS England business plan. Leeds: NHS England, 2016.
- 72. Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party. *National clinical guideline for stroke, fifth edition*. London: Royal College of Physicians, 2016.
- 73. NHS England. Seven day services clinical standards. 2017.
- Flynn D, Coughlan D, McMeekin P et al. Secondary transfer of stroke patients for thrombectomy by air ambulance in England: a cost-effectiveness analysis. Eur Stroke J 2018;3:3-204.
- 75. Froehler MT, Saver JL, Zaidat OO et al. Interhospital transfer before thrombectomy is associated with delayed treatment and worse outcome in the STRATIS registry (Systematic Evaluation of Patients Treated With Neurothrombectomy Devices for Acute Ischemic Stroke). *Circulation* 2017;**136**:2311-21.

- 76. Ng FC, Low E, Andrew E et al. Deconstruction of interhospital transfer workflow in large vessel occlusion: real-world data in the thrombectomy era. Stroke 2017;48:1976-9.
- 77. Perez de la Ossa N, Carrera D, Gorchs M et al. Design and validation of a prehospital stroke scale to predict large arterial occlusion: the rapid arterial occlusion evaluation scale. Stroke 2014;45:87-91.
- McClelland G, Rodgers H, Price CI. A survey of pre-hospital stroke pathways used by UK ambulance services. Int J Stroke 2018;13:35.
- 79. Lima FO, Silva GS, Furie KL et al. Field assessment stroke triage for emergency destination: a simple and accurate prehospital scale to detect large vessel occlusion strokes. Stroke 2016;47:1997-2002.
- Scheitz JF, Abdul-Rahim AH, MacIsaac RL et al. Clinical selection strategies to identify ischemic stroke patients with large anterior vessel occlusion: results from SITS-ISTR (Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke International Stroke Thrombolysis Registry). Stroke 2017;48:290-7.
- 81. Smith EE, Kent DM, Bulsara KR et al. Effect of dysphagia screening strategies on clinical outcomes after stroke: a systematic review for the 2018 guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke. Stroke 2018;49:e123-e8.
- Vidale S, Agostoni E. Prehospital stroke scales and large vessel occlusion: a systematic review. Acta Neurol Scand 2018;138:24-31.
- Krebs W, Sharkey-Toppen TP, Cheek F et al. Prehospital stroke assessment for large vessel occlusions: a systematic review. Prehosp Emerg Care 2018;22:180-8.
- Turc G, Maier B, Naggara O et al. Clinical scales do not reliably identify acute ischemic stroke patients with large-artery occlusion. Stroke 2016;47:1466-72.
- Amadi-Obi A, Gilligan P, Owens N et al. Telemedicine in pre-hospital care: a review of telemedicine applications in the pre-hospital environment. Int J Emerg Med 2014;7:29.
- Liman TG, Winter B, Waldschmidt C et al. Telestroke ambulances in prehospital stroke management: concept and pilot feasibility study. Stroke 2012;43:2086-90.

- Yamal JM, Rajan SS, Parker SA *et al.* Benefits of stroke treatment delivered using a mobile stroke unit trial. *Int J Stroke* 2018;13:321-7.
- Rajan SS, Baraniuk S, Parker S *et al.* Implementing a mobile stroke unit program in the United States: why, how, and how much? *JAMA Neurol* 2015;**72**:229-34.
- Kunz A, Ebinger M, Geisler F et al. Functional outcomes of pre-hospital thrombolysis in a mobile stroke treatment unit compared with conventional care: an observational registry study. *Lancet Neurol* 2016;15:1035-43.
- Berglund A, Svensson L, Sjostrand C et al. Higher prehospital priority level of stroke improves thrombolysis frequency and time to stroke unit: the Hyper Acute STroke Alarm (HASTA) study. Stroke 2012;43:2666-70.
- Puolakka T, Kuisma M, Lankimaki S et al. Cutting the prehospital on-scene time of stroke thrombolysis in Helsinki: a prospective interventional study. Stroke 2016;47:3038-40.
- 92. NHS England. Ambulance quality indicators: clinical outcomes specification for data from April 2018. NHS England, 2018.
- Choi B, Tsai D, McGillivray CG et al. Hospital-directed feedback to emergency medical services improves prehospital performance. Stroke 2014;45:2137-40.
- Farzin B, Fahed R, Guilbert F et al. Early CT changes in patients admitted for thrombectomy: intrarater and interrater agreement. *Neurology* 2016;87:249-56.
- Menon BK, d'Esterre CD, Qazi EM et al. Multiphase CT angiography: a new tool for the imaging triage of patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Radiology* 2015;275:510-20.
- 96. Alemseged F, Shah DG, Diomedi M et al. The basilar artery on computed tomography angiography prognostic score for basilar artery occlusion. *Stroke* 2017;**48**:631-37.
- 97. Bal S, Menon BK, Demchuk AM *et al.* Routine CT angiography in acute stroke does not delay thrombolytic therapy. *Can J Neurol Sci* 2012;**39**:499-501.
- Salottolo KM, Fanale CV, Leonard KA et al. Multimodal imaging does not delay intravenous thrombolytic therapy in acute stroke. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2011;32:864-

- Hopyan JJ, Gladstone DJ, Mallia G et al. Renal safety of CT angiography and perfusion imaging in the emergency evaluation of acute stroke. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2008;29:1826-30.
- 100. Krol AL, Dzialowski I, Roy J et al. Incidence of radiocontrast nephropathy in patients undergoing acute stroke computed tomography angiography. Stroke 2007;**38**:2364-6.
- 101. Aulicky P, Mikulik R, Goldemund D et al. Safety of performing CT angiography in stroke patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2010;81:783-7.
- Royal College of Radiologists. Clinical radiology: UK workforce census 2017 report. London: Royal College of Radiologists, 2018.
- Cora EA, Ford GA, Flynn D et al. CTA in acute stroke: short intensive training intervention is highly effective in improving radiologists' performance. *Clin Radiol* 2017;**72**:871-7.
- Liebeskind DS, Sanossian N, Yong WH et al. CT and MRI early vessel signs reflect clot composition in acute stroke. Stroke 2011;42:1237-43.
- 105. Abul-Kasim K, Selariu E, Brizzi M et al. Hyperdense middle cerebral artery sign in multidetector computed tomography: definition, occurrence, and reliability analysis. *Neurol India* 2009;57:143-50.
- 106. Riedel CH, Zimmermann P, Jensen-Kondering U et al. The importance of size: successful recanalization by intravenous thrombolysis in acute anterior stroke depends on thrombus length. Stroke 2011;42:1775-7.
- 107. Bash S, Villablanca JP, Jahan R et al. Intracranial vascular stenosis and occlusive disease: evaluation with CT angiography, MR angiography, and digital subtraction angiography. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2005;26:1012-21.
- 108. Lev MH, Farkas J, Rodriguez VR et al. CT angiography in the rapid triage of patients with hyperacute stroke to intraarterial thrombolysis: accuracy in the detection of large vessel thrombus. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2001;25:520-8.

76

8.

- 109. Campbell BC, Christensen S, Tress BM et al. Failure of collateral blood flow is associated with infarct growth in ischemic stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2013;33:1168-72.
- 110. Hakimelahi R, Vachha BA, Copen WA et al. Time and diffusion lesion size in major anterior circulation ischemic strokes. Stroke 2014;45:2936-41.
- 111. Yoo AJ, Barak ER, Copen WA et al. Combining acute diffusion-weighted imaging and mean transmit time lesion volumes with National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale Score improves the prediction of acute stroke outcome. Stroke 2010;41:1728-35.
- 112. Schwamm LH, Rosenthal ES, Swap CJ et al. Hypoattenuation on CT angiographic source images predicts risk of intracerebral hemorrhage and outcome after intraarterial reperfusion therapy. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2005;26:1798-803.
- 113. Schramm P, Schellinger PD, Klotz E et al. Comparison of perfusion computed tomography and computed tomography angiography source images with perfusionweighted imaging and diffusion-weighted imaging in patients with acute stroke of less than 6 hours' duration. Stroke 2004;35:1652-8.
- 114. Bhatia R, Bal SS, Shobha N et al. CT angiographic source images predict outcome and final infarct volume better than noncontrast CT in proximal vascular occlusions. Stroke 2011;42:1575-80.
- 115. Puetz V, Dzialowski I, Hill MD et al. Malignant profile detected by CT angiographic information predicts poor prognosis despite thrombolysis within three hours from symptom onset. Cerebrovasc Dis 2010;29:584-91.
- 116. Pulli B, Schaefer PW, Hakimelahi R et al. Acute ischemic stroke: infarct core estimation on CT angiography source images depends on CT angiography protocol. *Radiology* 2012;**262**:593-604.
- 117. Mortimer AM, Simpson E, Bradley MD et al. Computed tomography angiography in hyperacute ischemic stroke: prognostic implications and role in decision-making. Stroke 2013;44:1480-8.

- 118. Souza LC, Yoo AJ, Chaudhry ZA et al. Malignant CTA collateral profile is highly specific for large admission DWI infarct core and poor outcome in acute stroke. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2012;33:1331-6.
- 119. Jansen IG, Mulder MJ, Goldhoorn RB et al. Impact of single phase CT angiography collateral status on functional outcome over time: results from the MR CLEAN Registry. J Neurointerv Surg 2019.
- 120. Jansen IGH, van Vuuren AB, van Zwam WH et al. Absence of cortical vein opacification is associated with lack of intra-arterial therapy benefit in stroke. Radiology 2018;286:731.
- 121. Grines CL, Browne KF, Marco J et al. A comparison of immediate angioplasty with thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1993;328:673-9.
- 122. Gibbons RJ, Holmes DR, Reeder GS et al. Immediate angioplasty compared with the administration of a thrombolytic agent followed by conservative treatment for myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1993;328:685-91.
- 123. Zijlstra F, de Boer MJ, Hoorntje JC et al. A comparison of immediate coronary angioplasty with intravenous streptokinase in acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1993;**328**:680-4.
- 124. Keeley EC, Boura JA, Grines CL. Primary angioplasty versus intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: a quantitative review of 23 randomised trials. *Lancet* 2003;**361**:13-20.
- 125. DH Vascular Programme Team. Treatment of heart attack national guidance: final report of the National Infarct Angioplasty Project (NIAP). London: Department of Health, 2008.
- Boyle R. Mending hearts and brains: clinical case for change. London: Department of Health, 2006.
- 127. MacCarthy PA, Blackman DJ, Hildick-Smith D et al. Primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST elevation myocardial infarction position statement for facilities and emergency medical staffing July 2016. London: British Cardiovascular Intervention Society, 2016.

- NHS Improvement. A guide to implementing primary angioplasty. Leicester: NHS Improvement, 2009.
- 129. McLenachan JM, Machin S, Marley C. National roll-out of primary PCI for patients with ST segment elevation myocardial infarction: an interim report. Leicester: NHS Improvement, 2010.
- 130. NHS Improvement. Growth of primary PCI for the treatment of heart attack patients in England 2008–2011: the role of the cardiac networks. Leicester: NHS Improvement, 2012.
- 131. Royal Stoke University Hospital, University Hospitals of North Midlands NHS Trust. Mechanical thrombectomy for large vessel occlusion stroke: improving clinical outcomes and reducing cost: NICE Quality and Productivity Proven Case Study. London: NICE, 2016.
- National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study Group.
 Tissue plasminogen activator for acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 1995;333:1581-7.
- 133. Hacke W, Donnan G, Fieschi C et al. Association of outcome with early stroke treatment: pooled analysis of ATLANTIS, ECASS, and NINDS rt-PA stroke trials. Lancet 2004;363:768-74.
- National Audit Office. Reducing brain damage: faster access to stroke care. London: The Stationery Office, 2005.
- 135. Department of Health. National stroke strategy. London: Department of Health, 2007.
- 136. Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme (SSNAP). Is stroke care improving? The second SSNAP annual report: care received from April 2014 to March 2015. London: Royal College of Physicians.
- Flynn D, Ford GA, Rodgers H et al. A time series evaluation of the FAST National Stroke Awareness Campaign in England. PLoS One 2014;9:e104289.
- 138. Wahlgren N, Ahmed N, Davalos A et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase for acute ischaemic stroke in the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke-Monitoring Study (SITS-MOST): an observational study. Lancet 2007;369:275-82.

- 139. Harbison J, Hossain O, Jenkinson D et al. Diagnostic accuracy of stroke referrals from primary care, emergency room physicians, and ambulance staff using the face arm speech test. Stroke 2003;34:71-6.
- Harbison J, Massey A, Barnett L et al. Rapid ambulance protocol for acute stroke. Lancet 1999;353:1935.
- 141. Schumacher HC, Bateman BT, Boden-Albala B et al. Use of thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke: analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample 1999 to 2004. Ann Emerg Med 2007;50:99-107.
- 142. Agarwal S, Day DJ, Sibson L et al. Thrombolysis delivery by a regional telestroke network--experience from the U.K. National Health Service. J Am Heart Assoc 2014;3:e000408.
- 143. Dutta D, Kendall J, Holmes C et al. Evaluation of a telephone advice system for remote intravenous thrombolysis in ischemic stroke: data from a United kingdom network. Stroke 2015;46:867-9.
- 144. Dawson A, Cloud GC, Pereira AC et al. Stroke mimic diagnoses presenting to a hyperacute stroke unit. Clin Med (Lond) 2016;16:423-6.
- 145. Nor AM, Davis J, Sen B et al. The Recognition of Stroke in the Emergency Room (ROSIER) scale: development and validation of a stroke recognition instrument. Lancet Neurol 2005;4:727-34.
- 146. Meretoja A, Strbian D, Mustanoja S et al. Reducing in-hospital delay to 20 minutes in stroke thrombolysis. Neurology 2012;79:306-13.
- Meretoja A, Weir L, Ugalde M et al. Helsinki model cut stroke thrombolysis delays to 25 minutes in Melbourne in only 4 months. *Neurology* 2013;81:1071-6.
- 148. NHS England. Commissioning for Quality and Innovation. Wakefield: NHS England.
- 149. Office for National Statistics. 2011 Census: Usual resident population, local authorities in England and Wales. 2012 [30 August 2018]. Available from: <u>http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/census/2011-census/key-statistics-for-local-authoritiesin-england-and-wales/rft-table-ks101ew.xls.</u>

- 150. Douw K, Nielsen CP, Pedersen CR. Centralising acute stroke care and moving care to the community in a Danish health region: challenges in implementing a stroke care reform. *Health Policy* 2015;119:1005-10.
- 151. Prabhakaran S, O'Neill K, Stein-Spencer L et al. Prehospital triage to primary stroke centers and rate of stroke thrombolysis. JAMA Neurol 2013;70:1126-32.
- Weir N, Buchan A. A study of the workload and effectiveness of a comprehensive acute stroke service. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2005;76:863-5.
- 153. Swartz RH, Linkewich E, Sharp S et al. A system-based intervention to improve access to hyperacute stroke care. *Can J Neurol Sci* 2017;**44**:475-82.
- 154. Lahr MM, Luijckx G-J, Vroomen PC et al. Proportion of patients treated with thrombolysis in a centralized versus a decentralized acute stroke care setting. Stroke 2012;43:1336-40.
- 155. Cadilhac DA, Purvis T, Kilkenny MF et al. Evaluation of rural stroke services does implementation of coordinators and pathways improve care in rural hospitals? Stroke 2013;44:2848-53.
- 156. Ramsay AIG, Morris S, Hoffman A et al. Effects of centralizing acute stroke services on stroke care provision in two large metropolitan areas in England. Stroke 2015;46:2244-51.
- 157. Morris S, Hunter RM, Ramsay AIG et al. Impact of centralising acute stroke services in English metropolitan areas on mortality and length of hospital stay: difference-indifferences analysis. BMJ 2014;349:g4757.
- 158. Morris S, Ramsay AIG, Boaden R et al. Impact and sustainability of centralising acute stroke services in English metropolitan areas: retrospective analysis of hospital episode statistics and stroke national audit data. BMJ 2019;364:11.
- 159. Cameron JI, Rappolt S, Lewis M et al. Development and implementation of the Ontario Stroke System: the use of evidence. Int J Integr Care 2007;7:e57.

- 160. O'Toole Jr LJ, Slade CP, Brewer GA et al. Barriers and facilitators to implementing primary stroke center policy in the United States: results from 4 case study states. Am J Public Health 2011;101:561-6.
- Clinical Effectiveness and Evaluation Unit. National Sentinel Stroke Audit 2006 London: Royal College of Physicians, 2007.
- 162. Nor AM, McAllister C, Louw S et al. Agreement between ambulance paramedic-and physician-recorded neurological signs with Face Arm Speech Test (FAST) in acute stroke patients. Stroke 2004;35:1355-9.
- 163. Fulop N, Boaden R, Hunter R et al. Innovations in major system reconfiguration in England: a study of the effectiveness, acceptability and processes of implementation of two models of stroke care. Implement Sci 2013;8.
- 164. Fulop NJ, Ramsay AIG, Hunter RM et al. Evaluation of reconfigurations of acute stroke services in different regions of England and lessons for implementation: a mixedmethods study. *Health Serv Deliv Res* 2019;7.
- 165. Hunter RM, Fulop N, Boaden R et al. The potential role of cost-utility analysis in the decision to implement major system change in acute stroke services in metropolitan areas in England. *Health Res Policy Syst* 2018;16:23-6.
- 166. Perry C, Papachristou I, Ramsay AIG et al. Patient experience of centralised acute stroke care pathways. Health Expect 2018;21:909-18.
- 167. Turner S, Ramsay AI, Perry C et al. Lessons for major system change: centralisation of stroke services in two metropolitan areas of England. J Health Serv Res Policy 2016;21:156-65.
- 168. Fraser A, Baeza JI, Boaz A. 'Holding the line': a qualitative study of the role of evidence in early phase decision-making in the reconfiguration of stroke services in London. *Health Res Policy Syst* 2017;15:45.
- 169. Fulop N, Ramsay A, Perry C et al. Explaining outcomes in major system change: a qualitative study of implementing centralised acute stroke services in two large metropolitan regions in England. Implement Sci 2016;11:80.



© 2019

Oxford Academic Health Science Network

Magdalen Centre North, Oxford Science Park, Oxford OX4 4GA, United Kingdom

t: +44 (0) 1865 784944 e: info@oxfordahsn.org 🔰 @Oxford AHSN

IMPACT • IMPROVEMENT • INNOVATION • INDUSTRY • INVOLVEMENT